

Initial Telephone

Report Date: 8-20-75

Date of

Occurrence: 8-17-75 -- 8-20-75

Initial Written

Report Date: 8-21-75

Time of

Occurrence: _____

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
FORCED RIVER, NEW JERSEY 08731

Abnormal Occurrence
Report No. 50-219/74/23

IDENTIFICATION
OF OCCURRENCE:

Failure to monitor the stack effluent for iodines and particulates for a period of three (3) days during routine power operations.

This event is considered to be an abnormal occurrence as defined in the Technical Specifications, paragraph 1.15 G.

CONDITIONS PRIOR
TO OCCURRENCE:

☒ Steady State Power
☐ Hot Standby
☐ Cold Shutdown
☐ Refueling Shutdown
☐ Routine Startup
☐ Operation

☐ Routine Shutdown
☐ Operation
☒ Load Changes During
Routine Power Operation
☐ Other (Specify) _____

DESCRIPTION

OF OCCURRENCE: On August 17, 1975 at about 0840 hours the stack gas low flow alarm sounded in the control room. The shift foreman investigated and found the particulate and charcoal filters in the "A" filter train required replacement due to normal loading. Assuming that there were new filters already installed in "B" filter train, the foreman put "B" train in service. He then notified the radiation technician, who came down immediately to replace the filters in "A" train. After the filters had been changed the technician failed to notice that "B" train was still in service and therefore did not change the flow path back through the filters in "A" train.

This condition existed undetected until 0825 on August 20, 1975, when it was discovered during a routine filter change. Procedures had been prepared and approved by the Plant Operations Review Committee to cover any changes made in the stack sampling flow path or filter changes. These had not been finally typed or distributed prior to this occurrence.

8302240186 750821
PDR ADOCK 05000219
S PDR

ASSIGN CAUSE
OCCURRENCE:

☐ Design
☐ Manufacture
☐ Installation/
☐ Construction
☐ Operator

☒ Procedure
☐ Unusual Service Condition
☐ Inc. Environmental
☐ Component Failure
☐ Other (Specify) _____

ANALYSIS OF
INCIDENT:

During the period of time that the iodine and particulate activity was not sampled no plant operations were conducted which would be expected to increase the activity above normal levels and the radiogas activity showed no unusual fluctuation. As levels of iodine and particulate activity prior to this occurrence were only about 2-4% of technical specification requirements the safety significance of this occurrence appears to be minimal.

CORRECTIVE
ACTION:

Immediate corrective action was to install a filter in the operating stack gas sampling train. Procedures will be distributed to preclude this happening in the future.

REFERENCE DATA: N/A

Prepared by: J. L. Anderson

Date: 2/21/75

TO:

James P. O'Reilly
Directorate of Regulatory Operations
Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

FROM:

Jersey Central Power & Light Company
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Docket #50-2
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

SUBJECT:

Abnormal Occurrence Report No. 50-219/75/ 23

The following is a preliminary report being
submitted in compliance with the Technical
Specifications, paragraph 6.6.2.

Preliminary Approval:

J. T. Carroll 8/21/75
J. T. Carroll, Jr. Date

CC: Mr. A. Gianbusso