



Docket No. 50-346

License No. NPF-3

Serial No. 1036

March 26, 1984

RICHARD P. CROUSE
Vice President
Nuclear
(419) 259-5221

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. John F. Stolz
Operating Reactor Branch No. 4
Division of Operating Reactors
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Stolz:

This is in response to your letter dated February 21, 1984 (Log No. 1455) concerning Safety Evaluation Report (SER) addressing TMI Task Action Plan NUREG 0737 Item II.E.1.1 for Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1 Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System. The SER closed out five open items, but three items remain open and you requested proposed Technical Specifications for the open items listed below:

- Item 1 Proposed Technical Specifications which would require that all local manual valves in the auxiliary feedwater pumps suction and discharge lines are locked in the open position and that the locked open position of these valves would be verified on a monthly basis.
- Item 2 That your letter dated June 15, 1982, (Serial No. 956) be supplemented with proposed Technical Specifications which would require a flow verification test of the AFW system to put water into the Steam Generators after each extended cold shutdown.
- Item 3 Proposed Technical Specifications which would require that a dedicated individual who would be in communication with the Control Room to be stationed at the manual valves of the AFW system when conducting periodic tests of the AFW system which require local manual realignment of valves to conduct the periodic tests of the AFW system.


8404020054 840326
PDR ADDCK 05000346
P PDR

8046
/10

The AFW system (II.E.1.1) has been discussed between Toledo Edison and the NRC staff for the past two years. The above open items were subject to verbal agreement and proposed Technical Specifications for item two were submitted on June 15, 1983 (Serial No. 956). Also discussed and mutually agreed upon were items one and three for which no submittal was required. We have implemented the submittal resulting from our discussions concerning the AFW system, but now your letter requests us to negate that verbal agreement.

Your letter requests Toledo Edison to either submit the proposed Technical Specifications or to request an appeal meeting. Toledo Edison hereby requests an appeal meeting.

Very truly yours,



RPC:GAB:lrh

cc: DBI Resident Inspector

cj a/22