

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)  
Washington Nuclear Project - Unit 2

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7

PAGE (3)

1 OF 0 2

TITLE (4)

## Technical Specification Violation

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)
*										0 5 0 0 0
0	2	21	8	4	0 1 2	0	3	1	9 8 4	0 5 0 0 0

OPERATING MODE (9)

THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)

4	20.402(b)	20.406(e)	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)
POWER LEVEL (10)	20.406(a)(1)(i)	50.38(a)(1)	X 50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(a)
0 0 0	20.406(a)(1)(ii)	50.38(a)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)
	20.406(a)(1)(iii)	X 50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	
	20.406(a)(1)(iv)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	
	20.406(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(ix)	

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME  
L. D. Kassakatis, Plant Compliance Engineer

TELEPHONE NUMBER

AREA CODE

5 0 9 3 7 7 - 2 5 0 1

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

Ext. 4727

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC
A		D G	E 1 4 7	Y					

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	X NO	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

- \*1) 02/21/84 Violation of Technical Specification 4.8.1.1.2.d.3. Diesel Generator fuel oil tanks chemistry results not received in the required time.
- 2) 02/24/84 Violation of Technical Specification 4.8.1.1.2.d.2. New Diesel Generator fuel oil chemistry results not received in the required time.
- 3) 03/02/84 Violation of Technical Specification 4.8.1.1.2.d.3. New Diesel Generator fuel oil chemistry results not received in the required time.

These events are the result of a misunderstanding between the Supply System and the laboratory performing the analysis concerning payment and expediting. Additionally, one sample bottle was broken in shipment, thus requiring another sample shipment.

## Corrective Action:

- 1) Problems with payment have been resolved with the laboratory.
- 2) Samples will be more carefully packaged.

8403260025 840319  
PDR ADOCK 05000397  
S PDR

IE22

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 9/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Project - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7	8 4	— 0 1 2	— 0 0	0 2	OF	0 2

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 386A's) (17)

Plant Operating Conditions Prior to All Three Conditions:

- a) Power Level - Zero
- b) Mode Switch Position - Shutdown
- c) Control Rod Position - All Rods In

All three events are the result of misunderstandings between the Supply System and the laboratory performing the analysis. The Supply System did not fully convey to the laboratory the need to expedite the analysis or provide correct funding required to expedite the analysis. Since this time the Supply System has clarified with the laboratory the need to receive the sample results within the required seven calendar days per ASTM-D2274-70, and fourteen calendar days per ASTM-D-975-77 (Events 1 and 2). Additionally, Event #3 occurred because one sample bottle received by the laboratory was broken in transit. The laboratory shipped the broken sample bottle back to the Supply System but did not inform the Supply System until telephone inquiries were made regarding results.

Sample results were received by telephone upon completion of the analysis and written confirmation received within three days of analysis. The results of the testing were satisfactory in all respects.

The consequences of this violation are minimal. The fuel in the day tanks was not affected and one DG was operable, as required by Tech Specs, at all times. Diesel oil received at WNP-2 comes directly from the producing refinery and has consistently exceeded requirements. In the event that a need arose to burn this fuel without analysis, the risk involved would be very small.

## Washington Public Power Supply System

P.O. Box 968 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 372-5000

Docket No. 50-397  
March 19, 1984

Document Control Desk  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: **NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2**  
**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 84-012**

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 84-012 for WNP-2 Plant. This report is submitted in response to the report requirements of Technical Specification Section 6.9.1.7 and discusses the item of noncompliance, corrective action taken, and action taken to preclude recurrence.

Very truly yours,

*J. D. Martin for*  
J. D. Martin (927M)  
WNP-2 Plant Manager

JDM:de

Enclosure:  
Licensee Event Report No. 84-012

cc: Mr. John B. Martin, Administrator  
Region V, Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1450 Maria Lane  
Walnut Creek, California 94596  
Mr. A. D. Toth, NRC Resident Inspector (901A)

*IE22*  
*11*