

51

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

(3)

DOCKET  
3-19-84

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

84 MAR 22 A10:32

Glenn O. Bright  
Dr. James H. Carpenter  
James L. Kelley, Chairman

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

In the Matter of

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT CO. et al.  
(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant,  
Units 1 and 2)

Docket 50-400 OL

ASLBP No. 82-468-01  
OL

Tom Baxter

SFP&amp;T

1800 M St NW Washington DC 20036

Dear Tom Baxter

I have now received the responses on Eddleman 41 you sent Mar 13. I'm sorry the letter of 3-17 went out first.

I have some questions. Do you consider (1) the welding curricula in Appendix B, or (2) the actual training/qualifications of welders, as confidential privileged under the Board's Mar 8 Order? If so, please explain Applicants' position on each such item. (3) Do you consider the information provided to be complete? e.g. there is no indication of reason for leaving (layoff, resignation or discharge) provided. Yet it's asked in the interrogatory. Also, what jobs are counted as experience? Any job? Or actual work as a welder?

If you would prefer I pose these questions as 2d round interrogatories, I'm willing to include them with those based on welder information.

Also, re Board order dated Mar 15, pp 15-16, I can meet with CPT on Eddleman 85 after April 3. Please bring your analyses + data re 85.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

March 17, 1984

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Glenn O. Bright  
Dr. James H. Carpenter  
James L. Kelley, Chairman

In the Matter of

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT CO. et al.  
(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant,  
Units 1 and 2)

Docket 50-400 OL

ASLBP No. 82-468-01  
OL

Tom Baxter  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
1800 M St. NW, Washington DC 20036

Dear Tom Baxter:

This letter is to make some minor corrections to the statement of schedule agreements between Staff and me, and Applicants and me, in your letter of 3-13 (received 3-16), and to ask you a question.

First, the extension to April 3 for Eddleman 41 applies only to second-round questions not based on welder information.

The Board on March 8 denied your motion for a protective order concerning the welder information. I have not received it. Do you plan to appeal this decision or seek other relief instead of giving me the information? That's my question. If the answer is Yes, then filing of appeal or other filings seeking relief will be sufficient answer to my question. If no, I'd appreciate getting the info soon. <sup>3-19 It has arrived</sup>

Second, the agreement with Staff is virtually identical, as I said in the message I left with your office. The difference is they allow til March 23d for interrogatories on Joint VII, and we reciprocate.

<sup>C. Today,</sup>  
<sup>ELD, NR,</sup>  
<sup>OKty & Suc (3x)</sup>  
<sup>Licensing Bd</sup>  
<sup>Judges</sup>  
<sup>Mr. H, all others on service list</sup>  
I've now noticed on your letterhead that John O'Neill is a Professional Corporation. I presume congratulations are in order.

*Wells Eddleman* Wells Eddleman