



## GE Nuclear Energy

J. E. Quinn, Projects Manager  
LMR and SBWR Programs

General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125-1014  
408 925-1005 (phone) 408 925-3991 (facsimile)

April 21, 1995

MFN No. 062-95

Docket STN 52-004

Document Control Desk  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington DC 20555


Attention: Richard W. Borchardt, Director  
Standardization Project Directorate

Subject: **SBWR PANTHERS Audit Report (Proprietary)**

Transmitted herewith is a copy of the Proprietary version of GE Audit Report No. ARP 95-3, Quality Assurance Audit of the SBWR PANTHERS Test Program by Services & Projects Quality, dated February 6 - 8, 1995, as requested by NRC staff. This audit examined the adequacy, implementation and resulting documentation of the Societa' Informazioni Esperienze Termoidrauliche (SIET) Quality Assurance Plan, Quality Plan Relative to Nuclear Area Orders, and applicable procedures which implemented the requirements of the SBWR Design and Certification Program Quality Assurance Plan. The applicable elements of NQA-1 were covered. The report concludes that future testing can be started upon satisfactory disposition of corrective actions and recommendations identified in the report.

Please note that the information contained in the attachment is of the type which GE maintains in confidence and withholds from public disclosure. It has been handled and classified as proprietary to GE as indicated in the attached affidavit. We hereby request that this information be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of 10CFR2.790.

Sincerely,



James E. Quinn, Projects Manager  
LMR and SBWR Programs

Enclosure: Audit Report No. ARP 95-3 (Proprietary), Quality Assurance Audit of SBWR PANTHERS Test Program by Services & Projects Quality, dated February 6 - 8, 1995.

cc:	P. A. Boehnert	(NRC/ACRS)	(2 paper copies plus E-Mail w/o encl.)
	I. Catton	(ACRS)	(1 paper copy plus E-Mail w/o encl.)
	S. Q. Ninh	(NRC)	(2 paper copies plus E-Mail w/o encl.)
	J. H. Wilson	(NRC)	(1 paper copy plus E-Mail w/o encl.)

9505040357 950421  
PDR ADOCK 05200004  
A PDR

2040 Change: NRC POR 4x Encl  
1/1 PNL 1 0  
1 0



**GE Nuclear Energy**

J. E. Quinn, Projects Manager  
LMR and SBWR Programs

General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125-1014  
408 925-1005 (phone) 408 925-3991 (facsimile)

## GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

### AFFIDAVIT

I, **David J. Robare**, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

- (1) I am Project Manager, General Electric Company ("GE") and have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The specific information sought to be withheld is contained in the attachment entitled: *Audit Report No. ARP 95-3, Quality Assurance Audit of SBWR PANTHERS Test Program by Services & Projects Quality, February 6 - 8, 1995*. The proprietary information is delineated by bars marked in the margin adjacent to the specific material.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is an owner, GE relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), 2.790(a)(4), and 2.790(d)(1) for "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought is all "confidential commercial information", and some portions also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, *Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and *Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA*, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
  - a. Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by General Electric's competitors without license from General Electric constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;



## **GE Nuclear Energy**

J. E. Quinn, Projects Manager  
LMR and SBWR Programs

General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125-1014  
408 925-1005 (phone) 408 925-3991 (facsimile)

- b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;
- c. Information which reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of General Electric, its customers, or its suppliers;
- d. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future General Electric customer-funded development plans and programs, of potential commercial value to General Electric;
- e. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in both paragraphs 4.b and 4.d, above.

- (5) The information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GE, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GE, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge. Access to such documents within GE is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist or other equivalent authority, by the manager of the cognizant marketing function (or his



## **GE Nuclear Energy**

J. E. Quinn, Projects Manager  
LMR and SBWR Programs

General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125-1014  
408 925-1005 (phone) 408 925-3991 (facsimile)

delegate), and by the Legal Operation, for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GE are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.

- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2), above, is classified as proprietary because it would provide other parties, including competitors, with information related to validation of General Electric proprietary design and analysis computer code which were developed at a considerable expense to General Electric.
- (9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GE's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GE's comprehensive BWR technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process.

The research, development, engineering, and analytical costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GE.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GE's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GE experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to GE would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GE of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing these very valuable designs.



**GE Nuclear Energy**

J. E. Quinn, Projects Manager  
LMR and SBWR Programs


General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125-1014  
408 925-1005 (phone) 408 925-3991 (facsimile)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA )SS:  
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA )


David J. Robare, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he has read the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge,

Executed at San Jose, California, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of APRIL, 1995

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Robare  
General Electric Company

Subscribed and sworn before me this 26<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1995

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public, State of California

