

Georgia Power Company  
40 Inverness Center Parkway  
Post Office Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201  
Telephone 205 877-7122

C. K. McCoy  
Vice President, Nuclear  
Vogtle Project



Georgia Power  
the southern electric system

August 11, 1995

Docket Nos. 50-424  
50-425

LCV-0660

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555


Vogtle Electric Generating Plant  
Fitness For Duty Performance Data

Gentlemen:

Georgia Power Company hereby submits Fitness For Duty Performance Data for the six-month reporting period, January 1995 through June 1995, as required by 10 CFR 26.71(d). The data is summarized in the attached enclosures.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

  
C. K. McCoy

CKM/JMG

Enclosure 1: FFD Performance Data Sheets  
Enclosure 2: Vogtle FFD Program Summary

cc: (See next page)

100009

9508180045 950811  
PDR ADDCK 05000424  
R PDR

AD21

cc: Georgia Power Company

Mr. J. B. Beasley, General Manager - Plant Vogtle

Mr. M. Sheibani, Supervisor - Nuclear Safety and Compliance

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC

Mr. L. L. Wheeler, Licensing Project Manager, Vogtle

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II

Mr. S. D. Ebnetter, Regional Administrator

Mr. C. R. Ogle, Senior Resident Inspector - Vogtle

LCV-0660

File: J.03

**Fitness for Duty Program  
Performance Data  
Personnel Subject to 10CFR 26**

Georgia Power Company		06/30/95	
<div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Company</div> Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Waynesboro, GA		<div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">6 Months Ending</div>	
<div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Location</div>		<div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Contact Name</div>	
Ron Bush		(205) 868-5104	
<div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Phone (include area code)</div>		<div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Cutoffs: Screen/Confirmation (ng/ml)</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-start;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>Marijuana      50 / 15 ng/mL</p> <p>Cocaine            /</p> <p>Opiates            /</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Appendix A to 10CFR 26</div> <p>Amphetamines      /</p> <p>Phencyclidine      /</p> <p>Alcohol (% BAC)    /</p> </div> </div>	

Testing Results		Licensee Employees		Long-Term Contractor Personnel		Short-Term Contractor Personnel	
Average Number with Unescorted Access		1015		44		348	
Categories		# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Pre-Access		29	0	12	2	694	4
For Cause	Post accident	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Observed behavior	2	0	0	0	1	0
Random		284	0	35	0	52	0
Follow-up		9	0	0	0	7	0
Other    Safety & Health re-test , rtw		3	0	0	0	8	1
Total		327	0	47	2	762	5

**Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances**

	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Amphetamine	Phencyclidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test	1	2	3	4	5	
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Long-Term Contractors	0	2	0	0	0	0	0						
Short-Term Contractors	3	0	0	2	0	1	0						A
Total	3	2	0	2	0	1	0						8

## Enclosure 2

### Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Fitness For Duty Program Summary

The data generated under the Fitness For Duty (FFD) program from January 1995 through June 1995 has been reviewed and analyzed.

The random pool contains not only those badges for unescorted access, but also those employees who may, in an emergency condition, be called upon to work at the site and may require unescorted access. Contractors without approved programs are included in the testing pool while on site. Testing during this time period was performed on a nominal weekly basis to include swing shifts, weekends, and holidays. During this testing period, the rate of testing was equal to 50% yearly of the total population.

In summarizing management actions associated with the FFD program, it should be emphasized that the incidents of confirming positive tests were very low. Consequently, management actions relative to determination of FFD have been limited. Contractor employees screened as positive are denied access and no further action is taken.

There were no management actions taken on licensee employees during this six month period.

During the first quarter of 1995, an evaluation of the random selection program was performed by an independent consultant confirming that the random selection process continues to operate properly and efficiently. Monthly quality control checks of the Fitness for Duty random pool revealed no major discrepancies.