

April 6, 1995

Docket No. 55-21701

Director  
Division of Reactor Controls and Human Factors  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Sirs:

This letter is to request an informal staff review of the operating test administered to me on March 7, 1995 be held.

The portion of the exam that I feel is in error is on JPM #5 (PRT/return PRT to normal). Question #1 asks for three sources of water to the PRT other than the pressurizer safety valves and the PORV's. My response was: CCP suction relief valve, letdown relief valve, excess letdown relief valve and valve leakoff.

As seen on the attached drawings the CCP suction relief valve does go to the PRT. The letdown relief valve also goes to the PRT. The relief valve that I called the "excess letdown relief" is at a point on the piping downstream of where excess letdown and the RCP seal return lines tee together and then go to the Seal Water Heat Exchanger and then on to the CCP suction. This relief valve serves as the relief for excess letdown and RCP seal return headers. With this explanation of the excess letdown relief all four of my responses are correct. In the expected responses it is called the seal return relief. This is the same valve that I was referring to and now request this question be re-graded to be a correct response.

With a satisfactory grade on the operating portion of JPM #5, I request that this JPM be marked as satisfactory. If this meets with your approval, I then propose that the operating test be regraded as satisfactory.

Sincerely,

*James T. Haney*  
James T. Haney

9504170408 950406  
PDR ADOCK 05000259  
V PDR

Per  
D. Haney  
FOB  
11



## FAX COVER

## Send To:

Name: Doris Hoover Phone: \_\_\_\_\_Company: NRC

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 4-13-95 Number of pages: 1Fax Number: 301-415-3707 Verification Number: \_\_\_\_\_Subject: signed informal Hearing Request

## From: Tennessee Valley Authority

Name: James T. Haney Phone: 615-365-2013Organization: TVA

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Fax Number: 615-365-3797 Verification Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Instructions: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Important! If you do not receive all pages, call us back immediately

April 6, 1995

Docket No. 55-21701

Director  
Division of Reactor Controls and Human Factors  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Sirs:

This letter is to request an informal staff review of the operating test administered to me on March 7, 1995 be held.

The portion of the exam that I feel is in error is on JPM #5 (PRT/return PRT to normal). Question #1 asks for three sources of water to the PRT other than the pressurizer safety valves and the PORV's. My response was: CCP suction relief valve, letdown relief valve, excess letdown relief valve and valve leakoff.

As seen on the attached drawings the CCP suction relief valve does go to the PRT. The letdown relief valve also goes to the PRT. The relief valve that I called the "excess letdown relief" is at a point on the piping downstream of where excess letdown and the RCP seal return lines tee together and then go to the Seal Water Heat Exchanger and then on to the CCP suction. This relief valve serves as the relief for excess letdown and RCP seal return headers. With this explanation of the excess letdown relief all four of my responses are correct. In the expected responses it is called the seal return relief. This is the same valve that I was referring to and now request this question be re-graded to be a correct response.

With a satisfactory grade on the operating portion of JPM #5, I request that this JPM be marked as satisfactory. If this meets with your approval, I then propose that the operating test be regraded as satisfactory.

Sincerely,

James T. Haney



Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Director  
Division of Reactor Controls & Human Factors  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555



**From:** Doris J. Hoover (DJH1)  
**To:** BAB2  
**Date:** Thursday, April 13, 1995 2:39 pm  
**Subject:** Your Request to Docket

RE: Your request to docket letter dated 4/6/95 from James T. Haney, TVA to you, Director, DRCH.

I could not docket this letter without Mr. Haney's signature. I called Mr. Haney, TVA and he sent me via FAX a signed copy. I placed in the mail for you a copy of this letter and also a copy of my request to docket letter without the drawings.

If you have any questions, please call me on 415-1869 or EMail DJH1.

**CC:** KOG