Southern California Edison Company

23 PARKER STREET

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92718

January 10, 1992

R. M. ROSENBLUM
MANAGER OF
NUCLEAR REGULATORY AFFAIRS

TELEPHONE
(714) 454-4505

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket No. 50-362
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
Unit 3

Reference: December 30, 1991, letter from H. W. Newton (SCE) to A. L. Coe (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region), Subject: NPDES Monitoring Report, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 3.

Section 3.2 of Appendix B to Facility License No. NPF-15 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) Unit 3 requires Southern California Edison (SCE) to report violations of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. This letter reports one NPDES permit violation for Unit 3. The referenced letter is provided as an enclosure.

Unit 3 was operational all month. On November 16, the circulating water differential temperature exceeded the 20°F limit by 1°F. This was due to a limitation of the model used to predict allowable operating temperatures and has since been corrected.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

RM Rosenblum/EDP.

cc: J. B. Martin, Regional Administrator, NRC Region V
C. W. Caldwell, NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre Units 1, 2&3
George Kalman, NRC Senior Project Manager, San Onofre Units 1, 2&3

9201130333 920110
PDR ADOCK 05000362
S PDR

IE23
1/1

December 30, 1991

Mr. Arthur L. Coe
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Diego Region
9771 Clairemont Mesa Boulevard, Suite B
San Diego, California 92124-1331

Dear Mr. Coe:

Subject: NPDES Monitoring Report
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 3

The NPDES monitoring reports for San Onofre Unit 3 covering the month of November is submitted in accordance with the requirements of CRWQCB Order 85-13 (NPDES Permit No. CA0108181). A summary of the generating unit's status and significant analytical results is provided below.

The unit was operational all month. All sampled water sources were found to be within permit limits, with one exception. The circulating water delta temperature exceeded the 20 degree limit on November 16 by one degree. The temperature prediction model allowed the use of rounded numbers, which erroneously predicted the allowable operating temperature. The procedure governing this model has been changed to provide a more conservative approach.

Pursuant to Order No. 85-13, Reporting Requirement 12, your letter dated June 25, 1985, authorizes the following representative to sign and certify all reports required by this order: Arthur Kneisel, Environmental Engineer.

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in the attached document, and based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

Sincerely,

H. W. Newton
Manager, Site Support Services

ak:91NOV3.NPD
cc: Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

bcc: H. W. Newton/M. J. Johnson K. Herbinson
R. D. Plappert A. R. Kneisel L. D. Brevig
R. V. D. Reid SSSD Files CDM Files