

GPU EXHIBIT 482 FOR IDENT
7/8/82 H. A. RUDOLPH

COMPARISON OF B&W AND TVA POSITIONS FOLLOWING
DECEMBER 4, 1978, TELECON ON SMALL BREAK
LOCA ANALYSIS

August 3, 1979

B&W Position: As a result of the December 4, 1978, telecon, B&W believed that TVA's technical questions outlined in the Michelson report had been resolved and that only documentation of this resolution was required (see page 2 of Attachment 1).

TVA Position: It appeared during the telecon that B&W had not evaluated the Michelson report in sufficient detail to respond to all TVA's questions in the December 4, 1978, telecon (see Attachments 2, 3, and 4 for the observations of three telecon participants). Further, B&W indicated they had not performed a detailed calculation of the small break LOCA event and did not feel such a calculation was warranted. B&W did note that the NRC was satisfied with the B&W LOCA analyses, including the small break case. TVA requested documentation of the NRC review and approval of the small break LOCA analysis. On December 10, 1978, TVA telecopied to B&W a typewritten statement to clarify its concerns relating to the small break analysis and asked that this statement be considered when answering TVA (see Attachment 5 for the draft copy). B&W was to respond in writing.

Additional Observation: During the December 4, 1978, telecon, B&W appeared to lack an understanding as to what the Michelson report was trying to say and showed a reluctance to find out. Their apparent position was that the B&W small break analysis had been reviewed and approved by the NRC and that nothing more was needed. TVA, therefore, shifted its telecon emphasis from that of trying to obtain specific answers concerning the report to that of confirming that the NRC/B&W small break analysis review process might contain answers to TVA's concerns without a need for further B&W analysis. To this end, TVA requested during the telecon that B&W document in a letter to TVA its negotiations with the NRC and indicate the availability of related information to TVA for internal review. This request was also included in the subsequent telecopy to B&W and extended to include availability to NRC in case TVA chose to solicit their view. B&W has not responded to the documentation request.

8307060518 790803
PDR ADOCK 05000289
P HOL

X010539

Comparison of B&W & TVA Positions Following
December 4, 1978 Telecon on Small Break LOCA
Analysis

COMPUTED 510 DATE 3 Aug 79

CHECKED _____ DATE _____

B&W Position: As a result of the December 4, 1978 telecon, B&W believed that TVA's technical questions outlined in the Michelson report had been resolved & that only documentation of this resolution was required. (See page 2 of Attachment I).

TVA Position: It appeared during the telecon that B&W had not evaluated the Michelson report in sufficient detail to respond to all TVA's questions in the December 4, 1978 telecon (see Attachment 2, 3, & 4 for the observations of three telecon participants). Further, B&W indicated they had not performed a detailed calculation of the same small break LOCA event and did not feel such a calculation was warranted. B&W did note that the NEC was satisfied with the B&W LOCA analyses, including the small break case. TVA requested documentation of the NEC review & approval of the small break LOCA analysis. On December 10, 1978, TVA telecopied to B&W a typewritten statement to clarify its concerns relating to the small break analysis and asked that this statement be considered when answering TVA (see Attachment 5 to the draft copy). B&W was to respond in writing.

XC4C540

Additional Observation: During the December 4, 1978, telecon, B&W appeared

COMPUTED _____

DATE _____

CHECKED _____

DATE _____

to lack an understanding as to what the Michelson report was trying to say and showed a reluctance to find out. Their apparent position was that the B/W small break analysis had been reviewed and approved by the NRC and that nothing more was needed. TVA, therefore, shifted its telecon emphasis from that of trying to obtain specific answers concerning the report to that of confirming that the NRC/B/W small break analysis review process might contain answers to TVA's questions or serve without a need for further B/W analysis. To this end, TVA requested during the telecon that B/W document in a letter to TVA its negotiations with the NRC and indicate the availability of related information to TVA for internal review. This request was also included in the subsequent teletype to B/W and extended to include availability to NRC in case TVA chose to solicit their review view. B/W has not responded to the documentation request.

X04057: