Tennessee Valley Authority, 1101 Market Street, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402

April 15, 1991

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of	)	Docket Nos. 50-327
Tennessee Valley Authority	)	50-328

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT (SQN) - MARCH 1991 MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

Enclosed is the March 1991 Monthly Operating Report as required by SQN Technical Specification 6.9.1.10.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please call M. A. Cooper at (615) 843-8422.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

M. A. Cooper

for E. G. Wallace, Manager
Nuclear Licensing and
Regulatory Affairs

Enclosure
cc: See page 2

IE24
1/1

cc (Enclosure):
Mr. D. E. LaBarge, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint, North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

INPO Records Center
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500
Atlanta, Georgia 30389

Mr. Ted Marston, Director
Electric Power Research Institute
P.O. Box 10412
Palo Alto, California 94304

NRC Resident Inspector
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant
2600 Igou Ferry Road
Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Regional Administration
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. B. A. Wilson, Project Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Fred Yost, Director, Research Services
Utility Data Institute
1700 K Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

NUCLEAR POWER GROUP
SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

TO THE

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

MARCH 1991

UNIT 1

DOCKET NUMBER 50-327

LICENSE NUMBER DPR-77

UNIT 2

DOCKET NUMBER 50-328

LICENSE NUMBER DPR-79

OPERATIONAL SUMMARY
MARCH 1991

UNIT 1

Unit 1 generated 854,260 MWh (gross) electrical power during March, with a capacity factor of 98.8 percent. Unit 1 was operating at approximately 99 percent reactor thermal power at the beginning of the month with a loss of efficiency resulting from the loss of No. 1 preferred inverter that caused the moisture separator reheater (MSR) valves to close. On March 1, 1991, at 0005 Eastern standard time (EST), the MSRs were manually placed back in service. Unit 1 was at 100 percent reactor power level at 0746 EST on March 1, 1991.

On March 26, 1991, at 1326 EST, with Unit 1 operating at 100 percent reactor power, the No. 1 reactor coolant pump (RCP) oil reservoir high/low alarm came in. Operations began monitoring the thrust bearing temperature and upper radial bearing temperature. Westinghouse Electric Corporation was contacted and recommended that the pump be shut down if either the thrust bearing temperature or upper radial bearing temperature increased 5 degrees. On March 28, 1991, at 1017 EST, a load decrease was initiated for the inspection of the No. 1 RCP oil leak. Unit 1 reactor power was decreased to approximately 24 percent to facilitate as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) for the containment entry. Two containment entries were made. The first entry found that the oil level was approximately one inch below normal and that a small quantity of visible oil was primarily in the vicinity of the bearing lift system, which is used only during plant RCP startup and RCP shutdown. The second entry was made to add oil and clean noted standing oil to the extent possible taking into consideration ALARA.

On March 28, 1991, at 2320 EST, Unit 1 power increase was initiated. Unit 1 reached 99 percent reactor power on March 29, 1991, at 1555 EST. At 2145 EST, a power reduction was initiated to allow Operations to remove the No. 3 heater drain tank (HDT) pump from service so that the 3C auxiliary oil pump could be manually stopped. Reduction in power to 80 percent ensured that a balance-of-plant runback did not occur when the No. 3 HDT pump was removed from service. Unit 1 was returned to 100 percent reactor power on March 30, 1991, at 0543 EST, and continued to operate at 100 percent through the end of the month.

UNIT 2

Unit 2 generated 866,260 MWh (gross) electrical power during March, with a capacity factor of 100.2 percent. Unit 2 was operating at approximately 100 percent reactor power on March 3, 1991, at 0143 EST, when the load dispatch requested a reduction of 100 MWe. At 0245 EST, the load dispatch requested a further reduction of 100 MWe. At 0313 EST, load dispatch requested another reduction of 100 MWe, reducing power on Unit 2 to 876 MWe. At 0437 EST, Unit 2 was operating at approximately 73 percent reactor power, producing 880 MWe. At 0544 EST, the load dispatch notified Operations to begin load increase to 100 percent reactor power. At 1055 EST, Unit 2 was again operating at 100 percent reactor power.

Unit 2 continued to operate at approximately 100 percent reactor power level through the end of March.

POWER OPERATED RELIEF VALVES (PORV) AND SAFETY VALVES SUMMARY

There were no challenges to PORVs or safety valves in March.

OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL (ODCM) CHANGES

There were no changes to the ODCM during March.

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO. 50-327 UNIT No. One DATE: 04-09-91

COMPLETED BY: T. J. Hollomon TELEPHONE: (615) 843-7528

MONTH: MARCH 1991

DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	1136	17	1135
2	1137	18	1136
3	1136	19	1135
4	1136	20	1134
5	1135	21	1135
6	1135	22	1137
7	1135	23	1137
8	1135	24	1136
9	1135	25	1137
10	1136	26	1137
11	1129	27	1138
12	1136	28	770
13	1136	29	749
14	1113	30	1107
15	1134	31	1140
16	1135		

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO. 50-328 UNIT No. Two DATE: 04-09-91

COMPLETED BY: T. J. Hollomon TELEPHONE: (615) 843-7528

MONTH: MARCH 1991

DAY	AVERACE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	1132	17	1130
2	1133	18	1130
3	1060	19	1129
4	1114	20	1129
5	1122	21	1129
6	1126	22	1129
7	1127	23	1129
8	1126	24	1128
9	1128	25	1127
10	1127	26	1129
11	1128	27	1128
12	1131	28	1128
13	1132	29	1128
14	1129	30	1128
15	1130	31	1129
16	1131		

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-327
DATE Apr. 9, 1991
COMPLETED BY T. J. Hollomon
TELEPHONE (615) 843-7528

OPERATING STATUS

Notes

1. Unit Name: Sequoyah Unit One
2. Reporting Period: March 1991
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 3411.0
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 1220.6
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 1148.0
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 1162.0
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 1122.0
8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): N/A
10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: N/A

	This Month	Yr-to-Date	Cumulative
11. Hours in Reporting Period	744	2,160	85,465
12. Number of Hours Reactor Was Critical	744.00	2,029.3	42,101
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0	0	0
14. Hours Generator On-Line	744.0	2,010.3	41,106.3
15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0	0
16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	2,504,521.5	6,758,362.6	134,044,430
17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	854,260	2,311,390	45,431,976
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	825,887	2,228,943	43,525,819
19. Unit Service Factor	100.0	93.1	48.1
20. Unit Availability Factor	100.0	93.1	48.1
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	98.8	92.0	45.4
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	96.7	89.9	44.4
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate	0.0	6.9	44.2

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup: N/A

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-328
DATE Apr. 9, 1991
COMPLETED BY T. J. Hollomon
TELEPHONE (615) 843-7528

OPERATING STATUS

Notes

1. Unit Name: Sequoyah Unit Two
2. Reporting Period: March 1991
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 3411.0
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 1220.6
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 1148.0
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 1162.0
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 1122.0
8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): N/A
10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: N/A

	This Month	Yr-to-Date	Cumulative
11. Hours in Reporting Period	744	2,160	77,425
12. Number of Hours Reactor Was Critical	744.0	2,160.0	42,631
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0	0	0
14. Hours Generator On-Line	744.0	2,123.0	41,683.4
15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0	0
16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	2,525,808.9	7,129,999.3	129,404,450
17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	866,260	2,441,480	43,929,696
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	836,594	2,355,456	41,981,534
19. Unit Service Factor	100.0	98.3	53.8
20. Unit Availability Factor	100.0	98.3	53.8
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	100.2	97.2	48.3
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	97.9	95.0	47.2
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate	0.0	1.7	33.2

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup: N/A

DOCKET NO: 50-327
UNIT NAME: One
DATE: 04/09/91
COMPLETED BY: I. J. Hollomon
TELEPHONE: (615) 843-7528

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH: March 1991

No.	Date	Type[1]	Duration (Hours)	Reason[2]	Method of Shutting Down Reactor[3]	Licensee Event Report No.	System Code[4]	Component Code[5]	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
3	910328	F		B	5		AB	P	At 1017 EST, a load decrease was initiated for inspection and repair of the No. 1 RCP which had an oil reservoir high/low alarm come in. Unit 1 reactor power level was decreased approximately 24 percent to facilitate ALARA for the containment entry. Two containment entries were made. The first entry identified that the oil level was approximately one inch below normal. The second entry was made to add oil. At 2320 EST, Unit 1 power increase was initiated. Power was held at 45 percent for approximately four hours while repairs were completed on the 1B MFP 1B1 main oil pump relay 86B1. Unit 1 reached 99 percent reactor power level on March 29, 1991, at 1555 EST.
4	910329	F		F	5				At 2145 EST, a power reduction was initiated to allow Operations to remove the No. 3 HDT pump from service so that the 3C auxiliary oil pump could be manually stopped. Reduction in power to 80 percent ensured that a balance-of-plant runback did not occur when the No. 3 HDT pump was removed from service. Unit 1 was returned to 100 percent reactor power on March 30, 1991, at 0543 EST, and continued to operate at 100 percent through the end of the month.

[1] F: Forced
S: Scheduled

[2] Reason:
A-Equipment Failure (Explain)
B-Maintenance or Test
C-Refueling
D-Regulatory Restruction
E-Operator Training and License Examination
F-Administrative
G-Operational Error (Explain)
H-Other (Explain)

[3] Method:
1-Manual
2-Manual Scram
3-Automatic Scram
4-Continuation of Existing Outage
5-Reduction
9-Other

[4] Exhibit G-Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-1022)

[5] Exhibit I-Same Source

DOCKET NO: 50-328
UNIT NAME: Two
DATE: 04/09/91
COMPLETED BY: T. J. Hollomon
TELEPHONE: (615) 843-7528

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH: March 1991

No.	Date	Type[1]	Duration (Hours)	Reason[2]	Method of Shutting Down Reactor[3]	Licensee Event Report No.	System Code[4]	Component Code[5]	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
2	910303	F		F	5				At 0143 EST, the load dispatch requested a reduction of 100 MWe. At 0245 EST, the load dispatch requested a further reduction of 100 MWe. At 0313 EST, the load dispatch requested another reduction of 100 MWe, reducing power on Unit 2 to 876 MWe. At 0437 EST, Unit 2 was operating at approximately 73 percent reactor power level, producing 880 MWe. At 0544 EST, the load dispatch notified Operations to begin load increase to 100 percent reactor power. At 1055 EST, Unit 2 was again operating at 100 percent power.

[1] F: Forced
S: Scheduled

[2] Reason:
A-Equipment failure (Explain)
B-Maintenance or Test
C-Refueling
D-Regulatory Restruction
E-Operator Training and License Examination
F-Administrative
G-Operational Error (Explain)
H-Other (Explain)

[3] Method:
1-Manual
2-Manual Scram
3-Automatic Scram
4-Continuation of Existing Outage
5-Reduction
9-Other

[4] Exhibit G-Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-1022)

[5] Exhibit I-Same Source