Duke Power Company
P.O. Box 1006
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

M. S. Tuckman
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Generation
(704)382-2200 Office
(704)382-4360 Fax

DUKE POWER

May 31, 1995

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Document Control Desk

Subject: Catawba Nuclear Station
Docket Numbers 50-413 and -414
Technical Specification Change and Exemption to 10 CFR 50 Appendix J

By letter dated May 18, 1995, an application for a Technical Specification change and exemption to 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, to defer a scheduled containment integrated leak rate test at Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2, was submitted. The letter referred to a table which was inadvertantly omitted. This table is attached. We apologize for any inconvenience this omission may have caused.

If there are any questions, or additional information is required, please call Scott Gewehr at (704) 382-7581.

Very truly yours,

M. S. Tuckman

M. S. Tuckman

A017
1/1

xc: R. E. Martin, Project Manager
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop 14H25, OWFN
Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW - Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

R. J. Freudenberger
Senior Resident Inspector
Catawba Nuclear Station

Catawba Nuclear Station
Unit 2
ILRT Test Results

Test Type	*Test Date*	*Test Method*	*Test Duration*	*Test Results (%/day)*	
			hours	*As-Found*	*As-Left*
Pre-operational	7/8/85	Mass Point	27.5	N/A	0.1256
1st Periodic	3/17/89	Mass Point	24	0.0243	0.0243
2nd Periodic	2/7/93	Total Time	8	0.1461	0.1461
		Mass Point		0.0906	0.0906

Acceptance Criteria (0.75 La): < 0.225 %/day
Allowable Leakage (1.0 La): < 0.300 %/day

Notes:

(1) All test results reported at the 95 % Upper Confidence Limit and include the leakage penalty total for all Type B or C penetrations not challenged during performance of the ILRT.

(2) Both the 1st and 2nd periodic tests were performed at the front of the outage prior to any repairs or adjustments; therefore, the As-Found test result is equal to the As-Left test result.

(3) For the 2nd periodic test, both the Total Time and Mass Point results were reported in the final test report. The Total Time leakage calculated in accordance with the BN-TOP-1 methodology is always greater than the mass point result since its UCL is calculated at the 97.5 % confidence interval.