

146

ISHAM, LINCOLN & BEALE
COUNSELORS AT LAW

RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

EDWARD S. ISHAM 1872-1902
ROBERT T. LINCOLN 1872-1889
WILLIAM G. BEALE 1885-1923

THREE FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602
TELEPHONE 312 558-7500
TELEX 2-5288

DOCKETED
USNRC

WASHINGTON OFFICE
1120 CONNECTICUT AVENUE N.W.
SUITE 840
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036
202 833-9730

May 9, 1984

Ivan W. Smith, Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Com-
mission
Washington, D. C. 20555

⁸⁴ MAY 14 4:11 PM
Dr. A. Dixon Canfield
Administrative Judge
Union Carbide Corporation
P. O. Box 4
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Richard F. Cole
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

50-454/455 OL

Re: In the matter of Commonwealth Edison Company
(Byron Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2)
Docket Nos. STN 50-354 OL and STN 50-455 OL

Dear Administrative Judges:


In accordance with our telephone conference call today, the following is a list of issues which Commonwealth Edison Company has identified as matters of concern or unresolved quality assurance issues in the Licensing Board's initial decision. By setting forth this list we do not advocate that any or all of these issues be litigated in the remanded hearing. We call them to the attention of the Licensing Board so that it may make the determination described in footnote 72 of ALAB-770 (slip op. page 35).

1. Staff acceptance of the reinspection program.
2. The basis for determination of inaccessible and non-recreatable inspection attributes in the reinspection program.
3. Relationship of deficiencies identified during the reinspection program to a trend analysis.

4. The number of Hatfield inspectors requiring recertification and retraining at the inception of the recertification program.
5. Hunter documentation practices regarding discrepant conditions identified during the reinspection program.
6. Further evidence regarding possible fraudulent practices by contractors in the certification of quality control and quality assurance personnel.
7. The disposition of allegations open as of August 10, 1983.
8. Commonwealth Edison Company's general control of its site contractors.
9. Supplemental evidence regarding Hunter "tabling" practices and any pattern of nonconformances by Hatfield.

It is my understanding that Ms. Whicher will provide intervenors' list of potential additional issues to be addressed at the remanded hearings by May 17, 1984 and that the Board is available for a prehearing conference during the week of May 21. Applicant submits that such a prehearing conference should be held as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,



Michael I. Miller
One of the Attorneys for
Commonwealth Edison Company

MIM:es

cc Service List