

033



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD & UTIL. FAC... 50-454 OL
57-455 OL

Sinnissippi Alliance for the Environment

326 North Avon Street Rockford, Illinois 61103
84 MAY -7 12:25

Alan Bielawski
Isham, Lincoln & Beale
Suite 5200
Three First National Plaza
Chicago, IL. 60602

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH May 2, 1984

Re: Intervenors May 1, 1984 response to Commonwealth Edison's April 16, 1984 notification letter on Commitments Q, R, S, T, and X.

Dear Alan:

Pertaining to our phone conversation this morning Intervenors wish to notify parties to disregard paragraph two of the cover letter to Intervenors May 1, 1984 response letter. Paragraph two erroneously states that "Intervenors will petition the Board for a hearing within fifteen days hereof, if Commitments Q, R, S, and T are not satisfied".

As you indicated by phone the provisions of the March 30, 1983 Stipulation signed by the parties to the Byron Operating License Proceedings sets the deadline for Intervenors to petition the Board for hearings on any commitments we still consider unmet, at thirty days from Intervenors receipt of the company notification that all commitments have been met.

Thank you for the correct information. Intervenors apologize to the parties for the harsh tenor of paragraph two and wish to correct any misimpression in the minds of the parties due to a reading of the cover letter, that Intervenors are "gung-ho" for further hearings. Paragraph two was written under the mistaken interpret-

8405080126 840502
PDR ADOCK 05000454
PDR

5503

ation of the Stimulation that Intervenor's would waive petition rights if they were not exercised thirty days from receipt of the Company's notification that each individual commitment had been satisfied. Intervenor's acknowledge the basic error and apologize for any inconvenience it created. As I discussed with you over the phone, Intervenor's await Commonwealth Edison's response to our May 1, 1984 letter, and will gladly cooperate with the Company in seeking to resolve remaining issues. Intervenor's will, at the Company's request assist with suggestions, in the good-faith assumption that such suggestions will be used constructively and not merely criticized. Intervenor's also agree that the Company's request for a completion date for Intervenor's spot check verification of the distribution of Public Information Brochures in connection with Commitment T is reasonable. That information will be provided in our response.

One last matter. I renew with the Company, Intervenor's request to be provided with a copy of IPRA, Revision 1. We understand the Company's position that it regards these first five Commitment notification letters as independent of IPRA. We do not agree that the Commitments are not unrelated and would be easier in our minds if we did have it for verification and suggestion purposes.

Very truly yours,

Diane Chavez
legal representative, DAARE/SAFE

cc: Richard Rawson
Judges Smith, Cole, Callihan
Jane Whicher