

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK:

1					6

[PLEASE PRINT ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION]

LICENSEE NAME										LICENSE NUMBER										LICENSE TYPE					EVENT TYPE			
01	N	Y	N	M	P	1			-					-		4	1	1	1	1	1	0	4					
7	8	9				14	15									25	26				30	31	32					
CATEGORY										REPORT TYPE		REPORT SOURCE		DOCKET NUMBER					EVENT DATE					REPORT DATE				
01	CONT	M	I				T	L	0	5	0	-	0	2	2	0	0	8	0	5	7	6	0	8	1	3	7	
7	8						57	58	59	60	61				63	69					74	75						

[illegible]

03 | During routine management review it was discovered that a milk sample sent to a
7 5 9
03 | contractor for I-131 analysis was not counted within 8 days of collection as per
7 5 9
04 | ETS Section 3.2.

LER 76-29

SYSTEM CODE CAUSE CODE COMPONENT CODE PRIME COMPONENT SUPPLIER COMPONENT MANUFACTURER VIOLATION

[0] [7] [7] [3] [F] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z] [Z]

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 43 44 45 46 47 48

CAUSE DESCRIPTION

The sample was sent just prior to a holiday weekend and was not counted within the required 8 days due to the back log of mail at the contractor facility.

FACILITY STATUS		% POWER			OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION	
1	1	2	2	2			a		Management Review	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	44	45	46	
FORM OF ACTIVITY RELEASED		CONTENT OF RELEASE		AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY					LOCATION OF RELEASE	
1	2	2		N/A					N/A	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	44	45	46	

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

NUMBER		TYPE	DESCRIPTION		
7	8	9	11	12	13
1	2	3			

PERSONNEL INJURIES

NUMBER			DESCRIPTION
7	8	9	11 12
1	4	0 0 0	N/A

QUEST CONSEQUENCES probable

15		None
7	3	3

LOSS OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY

7		8		9		10	
TYPE		DESCRIPTION					
N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

PUBERTY

<table border="1"><tr><td>7</td></tr></table>	7	<table border="1"><tr><td>7</td></tr></table>	7		N/A
7					
7					
Z	B	9			

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

Two contractors are involved in milk sample collection and analysis. The contractor

LER

Docket No. 50-220

Continued


19. (Lawler, Matusky, and Skelly located in Oswego, N.Y.) were contacted and directed to send milk samples the day after collection at the latest. This includes special arrangements to ship samples on Saturdays.

Eberline Instrument Corporation, Midwest Facility, Chicago, was instructed to inspect any milk samples received for date of collection and make the necessary arrangements to have the samples counted within the required 8 days.

Both contractors were informed that Niagara Mohawk would accept any additional costs incurred, due to necessary overtime worked, to insure the milk samples are counted on time.

IE FILE COPY

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

NIAGARA  MOHAWK

300 ERIE BOULEVARD, WEST
SYRACUSE, N. Y. 13202

August 13, 1976



Mr. James P. O'Reilly
Directorate of Regulatory Operations
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pa. 29406

RE: Docket No. 50-220

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

In accordance with Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit #1
Environmental Technical Specification 5.6.3.1, we hereby submit
Licensee Event Reports 76-29 and 76-30.

These reports were completed within the intent of the Licensee
Event Report Instruction Booklet 00E-SS-001, dated October 1974, re-
vised December 24, 1974. Due to the minimal significance category
of the reports, no supplemental report is included.

Very truly yours,

Original Signed by R.R. Schneider

R.R. Schneider
Vice President
Electric Operations

MCH/aih

Enc. 2 copies

cc: Director, Office of Inspection
and Enforcement (20 copies)

Director, Office of Management Information
and Program Control (20 copies)

8453

~~COPY SENT REGION~~