

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: 1 (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 N J O C P 1 2 0 0 0 - 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 - 1 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 4 5
7 8 9 14 15 16 25 26 30 37 CAT 38

CON'T
01 L 6 0 5 1 0 0 0 1 2 1 9 7 1 1 2 1 0 1 1 8 1 3 9 9
7 8 9 60 61 62 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 74 75 80 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
3 2 On Thursday, December 1, 1983, several maintenance and surveillance pro-
0 3 cedures were identified as not adequately verifying excess flow check
0 4 valves open following valving operations. This is a violation of
0 5 Technical Specification 4.5 Item 0. A deviation report was submitted
0 6 for review. This event is considered to be a reportable occurrence as
0 7 defined in the Technical Specifications, paragraph 6.9.2.b.3.
0 8 _____
7 8 9

0 9 2 2 11 D 17 13 14 15 16
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

17 8 3 0 1 2 5 0 3 1 0
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

18 G 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27
1 0 The cause of this occurrence was inadequate plant maintenance procedures
1 1 and surveillance procedures. The deficient procedures will be revised
1 2 to meet Technical Specification requirements.
1 3 _____
1 4 _____
7 8 9

1 5 H 0 0 0 28 N/A 30 2 31 N/A 32
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 6 2 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 7 0 0 0 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 8 0 0 0 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 9 2 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

2 0 N 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

NAME OF PREPARER Robert J. Murdock PHONE 609-971-4891 LEA



GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388
Route 9 South
Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388
609 971-4000
Writer's Direct Dial Number:

January 3, 1984

Dr. Thomas E. Murley, Administrator
Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Dr. Murley:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Docket No. 50-219
Licensee Event Report
Reportable Occurrence No. 50-219/83-25/03L

This letter forwards three copies of a Licensee Event Report (LER) to report Reportable Occurrence No. 50-219/83-25/03L in compliance with paragraph 6.9.2.b.3 of the Technical Specifications.

Very truly yours,

Peter B. Fiedler
Vice President and Director
Oyster Creek

PBF:dam
Enclosures

cc: Director (40 copies)
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Director (3 copies)
Office of Management Information and
Program Control
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

NRC Resident Inspector
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Forked River, NJ 08731

EE22
11

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Licensee Event Report
Reportable Occurrence No. 50-219/83-25/03L

Report Date

January 3, 1984

Occurrence Date

December 1, 1983

Identification of Occurrence

Six (6) plant maintenance and two (2) surveillance procedures did not clearly contain requirements for verifying excess flow check valves open, as required by Technical Specification 4.5 Item 0.

This event is considered to be a reportable occurrence as defined in the Technical Specifications paragraph 6.9.2.b.3.

Conditions Prior to Occurrence

Plant is in an outage condition with no fuel in the vessel.

Description of Occurrence

On Thursday, December 1, 1983, during a review of plant procedures, six (6) maintenance and two (2) surveillance procedures were identified as not adequately addressing the Technical Specification requirement that each time an instrument line is returned to service after any condition which could have produced a pressure or flow disturbance in that line, the open position of the flow check valve in that line shall be verified.

Apparent Cause of Occurrence

The cause of this occurrence is attributed to the failure to include instructions in the procedures which would insure verification of proper post-maintenance operation.

Analysis of Occurrence

The operability of the instrument excess flow check valves is demonstrated to assure isolation capability for excess flow and to assure the operability of the instrument sensor when required.

Most of the deficient procedures are procedures normally used only in the Shutdown mode. As part of the post-outage start up program, each of the excess flow check valves are closed and then verified to be open. In addition, other Technical Specification required surveillance testing assures operability of instruments connected to the excess flow check valves. Therefore, the safety significance of this event is minimal.

In that these deficiencies were discovered during a review of all Technical Specification surveillance procedures to ensure they reflected Technical Specification requirements, recurrence of this problem is considered unlikely.

Corrective Action

Some of the excess flow check valves covered in the identified procedures have already been verified open. This was accomplished by special test instructions on job orders for the instruments on these lines.

A job order has been issued to verify check valves open that were contained in identified procedures, but were not tested by the aforementioned job orders. Therefore, all excess flow check valves affected by the deficient procedures will be verified open prior to returning the associated systems to service.

Deficient procedures will be revised to meet Technical Specification requirements.