



**Consumers
Power
Company**

Dean L Quamme
Site Manager
Midland Project

Midland Project: PO Box 1963, Midland, MI 48640 • (517) 631-8650

5D-329
5D-330

January 5, 1984

| PRINCIPAL STAFF | | | |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|
| ✓ RA | Das | DRP | |
| D/RA | | DE | |
| A/RA | | DMSE | |
| PO | | DRM | |
| NO | | SS | ✓ |
| SA | | TE | |
| INF | | File | Law |

page 13

Mr S W Baranow
Stone & Webster Michigan, Inc
P O Box 1963
Midland, MI 48640

MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER GWO 7020
NIR-012, AUDIT OF DOCUMENT CONTROL STATIONS
File: B1.1.7, 0655 UFI: 99*08, 53*50*04 Serial: CSC-7136

Attached is QAR No RS-00106 which was generated by MPQAD to track on their
QUAIL program deficiencies identified in NIR-012.

Dean L Quamme

DLQ/DDJ/klp

cc: JGKeppler, NRC Region III w/a
JJHarrison, NRC Region III w/a
RJCook, NRC Site w/a
RAWells, MPQAD w/a
BHPeck, MEC w/a
NIReichel, MEC w/a

8401160090 840105
PDR ADCK 05000329
S PDR

JAN 11 1984

1/1 IED

ORIGINAL

MIDLAND PROJECT
QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

QUALITY ACTION REQUEST

6. QAR NO: RS-00106
7. DATE ISSUED: 1/5/84 8 REV: 0
9. PAGE 1 OF 2
10. ASME RELATED
☐ YES ☒ NO

1. REQUIREMENT:

See attached page 2 of 2 for description of requirements associated with this QAR.

2. DEFICIENCY:

See attached page 2 of 2 for description of deficiencies found at stations MPQAD Sta. 8; FSO Sta. 10 and BOP Standish Sta. 13.

11. POTENTIAL 50.55(e)
YES ☐ NO ☒

3. QAR ORIGINATED BY:

Re Suvo

4. DISCIPLINE/DIVISION/SECTION

MPQAD - Soils QA

5. RESPONSE DUE DATE

1/6/84

12. REPORTED TO MPQA
MANAGER:
DATE N/A

13. ACTION ITEM NO:

U-00508

15. ITEM PRIORITY:

01

17. S/U CODE:

PGMOR

19. ACTION ORGANIZATION

Site Document
Control & FSO
Document Control

20. QAR REVIEWED BY:

Donald E. Horn

14. DISCIPLINE:

Doc. Control

16. TREND CODE:

G-5, R-5, G-9

18. RESB CODE:

BPA - Field
BFSOC

21. DATE:

1/5/84

22. CAUSE:

23. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION:

24. RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION/PERSON:

25. PROPOSED COMPLETION DATE

26. DISPOSITION CONCURRENCE:

QAR REVIEWER

DATE

PQAE (ASME ONLY)

DATE

27. DISPOSITION ACTION TAKEN:

28. METHOD OF DISPOSITION VERIFICATION

29. QAR CLOSED BY

MPQAD

DATE

PFQCE (ASME ONLY)

ACCEPTABLE ☐ UNACCEPTABLE ☐ SUPERCEDING QAR _____

The following concerns have been identified by Stone and Webster (CIO) during an audit of the following document control stations: MPQAD Station 8, FSO Station 10, and BOP Standish Station 13. These concerns are addressed by CIO on NIR number 012, dated January 2, 1984.

- A. The FSK Change Notice Composite Register shows FSK CA-1060 Sheet 7 requiring FCR 6704, FSK CA-1062 Sheet 6 requiring FCR 6053 and 6704, and FSK CA-2257 Sheet 5 requiring FCN 3743 and FCR 6890 to be attached. Contrary to this composite register FSK's CA-1060 Sheet 7, CA-1062 Sheet 6 and CA-2257 Sheet 5 do not exist. Also FSK CA-1060 is shown in the Register as "FSK CA-1060 sheet 7" however it should indicate FSK CA-1060 Revision 7 with only one sheet and FSK CA-1062 is shown in the Register as "FSK CA-1062 sheet 6 revision 4," however it should indicate FSK CA-1060 revision 6 with only one sheet. (This was a generic problem with the FSK's sampled by CIO).
- B. The FDDL provides the following information; Stations that receive documents, number of, and description of documents distributed. Contrary to the above, the number of Design Drawings and Specifications at Station 10 did not agree with the FDDL.
 - 1) Specifications C-195, C-197, C-198, C-200. Design Drawings C-1492, C-1493-1, C-97, C-8 and C-2031.
 - 2) All vendor controlled drawings have one copy in their station that is voided. These voided documents could not be counted in the required number of documents per the FDDL. Therefore all vendor controlled drawings were one short of the required amount.
 - 3) Spencer-White and Prentice had the following Design Drawings missing from station 10, one copy (sepia) of C-8 and one copy (sepia) of C-97. Two sepia were issued for each drawing per the FDDL.
- C. The Change Notice Composite Registers list the applicable change notice attachments to drawings and specifications. Contrary to this requirement,
 1. Station 10 had the following discrepancies:
 - (a) Drawing C-2031-19 revision 1 did not have FCR 6974 attached to the Design Drawing.
 - (b) Design Drawing C-2036 revision 5 should have had DCN-2 attached to the drawing. The drawing indicated it was pulled-back, the Composite Register did not.
 - (c) FCR C-6053 was attached to the Mergentime FSK CA 1062 Revision 6 blue-line but was not attached to the sepia.
 2. Station 13 had the following discrepancy:
 - (a) Specification C-306 had referenced FCR C-4854 but it was not attached to the specification.
- D. The Civil One Time Deviation Register lists the drawings and specifications that require a ® symbol. Contrary to this requirement:
 1. Station 10 had the following discrepancy:
 - (a) Specification C-305 located in station 10 Mergentime was missing the symbol ® to indicate that there is a retired document against this specification.
 2. Station 13 had the following discrepancies:
 - (a) Drawing C 482-1 outstanding attachment stamp could not be read. Also a ® symbol was located on the drawing while the Composite Register had it voided.