

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0	1	M	I	D	C	C	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	3	4	1	1	1	1	4		5
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

0	1	L	0	5	0	0	0	3	1	6	0	7	1	9	8	1	0	1	0	4	8	4	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES

0	2	DURING NORMAL OPERATION, A PINHOLE LEAK WAS DISCOVERED ON THE SUCTION STRAINER FOR																																																																													
0	3	THE WEST CONTAINMENT SPRAY (CTS) PUMP. THE PUMP WAS REMOVED FROM SERVICE,																																																																													
0	4	CONSTITUTING AN INOPERABLE CTS TRAIN PER T.S. 3.6.2.1. THE ACTION REQUIREMENTS																																																																													
0	5	WERE MET. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY WERE NOT AFFECTED.																																																																													

0	6																																																																														
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

0	7																																																																														
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

0	8																																																																														
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

0	9	S	H	E	C	P	I	P	E	X	X	D	Z
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

17	8	2	0	1	6	0	3	X	1
----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

B	X	Z	Z	0	0	0	0	Y	N	A	X	9	9	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

1	0	A CRACK WAS FOUND IN THE AREA OF THE PINHOLE AND IT WAS REMOVED BY GRINDING AND																																																																													
1	1	REWELDING. THE PIPING WAS THEN SUCCESSFULLY HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED. NO ANALYSIS																																																																													
1	2	OF THE CAUSE WAS MADE AT THIS TIME BUT IT IS ASSUMED THAT IT RESULTED FROM																																																																													
1	3	FATIGUE SIMILAR TO THE RECENT LER ON THE SAME PIPING (LER 82-003/01T-0).																																																																													
1	4	(SEE SUPPLEMENT)																																																																													

1	5	E	1	0	0	NA	A	OPERATOR OBSERVATION																																																																						
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1	6	Z	Z	NA	NA
---	---	---	---	----	----

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1	7	d	d	Z	NA
---	---	---	---	---	----

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1	8	0	0	0	NA
---	---	---	---	---	----

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1	9	Z	NA
---	---	---	----

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

1	0	Z	NA
---	---	---	----

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

2	0	N	NA
---	---	---	----

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

NAME OF PREPARER D. F. KRAUSE

PHONE (616) 465-5901

8401130337 840104
PDR ADOCK 05000316
S PDR

SUPPLEMENT TO LER 82-016/03X-1

27)

A CRACK WAS FOUND IN THE AREA OF THE PINHOLE AND IT WAS REMOVED BY GRINDING AND REWELDING. THE PIPING WAS THEN SUCCESSFULLY HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED. NO ANALYSIS OF THE CAUSE WAS MADE AT THIS TIME BUT IT IS ASSUMED THAT IT RESULTED FROM FATIGUE SIMILAR TO THE RECENT LER ON THE SAME PIPING (LER 82-003/01T-0). THE EVENT WAS NOT IDENTIFIED AS REPORTABLE AT THE TIME AS A CONDITION REPORT WAS NOT INITIATED TO ANALYZE THE EVENT FOR REPORTABILITY. THE OPERATOR WAS NOT AWARE OF THE SEVERITY OF THE LEAK WHICH HE HAD NOTED AS "PINHOLE". IT HAS BEEN A PLANT PRACTICE TO INITIATE A CONDITION REPORT WHEN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION EQUIPMENT IS REMOVED FROM SERVICE FOR OTHER THAN PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE OR SURVEILLANCE TESTING.

TO ENSURE THAT IN THE FUTURE REPORTABLE CONDITIONS ARE NOT MISSED, THIS PRACTICE HAS BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANT MANAGERS INSTRUCTION ON REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.