

ATTACHMENT 1

8401040429 831215  
PDR ADOCK 05000338  
P PDR

TABLE 3.7-7

FIRE HOSE STATIONS

HOSE RACK IDENTIFICATION

AB-H-1  
AB-H-4  
AB-H-6  
AB-H-8  
AB-H-12  
AB-H-13  
AB-H-15  
AB-H-18A  
AB-H-19  
AB-H-22  
AB-H-24  
AB-H-27  
AB-H-29  
AB-H-30  
AB-H-32  
AB-H-33  
F-H-1  
F-H-3  
T-H-7  
T-H-25  
T-H-21  
T-H-22D  
T-H-33  
T-H-34  
HP-H-5  
BLR-H-2  
\*RC-H-1  
\*RC-H-2  
\*RC-H-3  
\*RC-H-4  
\*RC-H-5  
\*RC-H-6  
CT-H-1  
ESW-H-1

\*Dry standpipes in containment are considered operable when normally isolated by valves 1-FP-274 and 1-FP-275. Hose rack stations are located at the containment entrance.

ATTACHMENT 2

TABLE 3.7-7

FIRE HOSE STATIONS

HOSE RACK IDENTIFICATION

AB-H-1  
AB-H-4  
AB-H-6  
AB-H-8  
AB-H-12  
AB-H-13  
AB-H-15  
AB-H-18A  
AB-H-19  
AB-H-22  
AB-H-24  
AB-H-27  
AB-H-29  
AB-H-30  
AB-H-32  
AB-H-33  
F-H-1  
F-H-3  
T-H-7  
T-H-25  
T-H-21  
T-H-22D  
T-H-33  
T-H-34  
HP-H-5  
BLR-H-2  
\*RC-H-1  
\*RC-H-2  
\*RC-H-3  
\*RC-H-4  
\*RC-H-5  
\*RC-H-6  
CT-H-1  
ESW-H-1

\*Dry standpipes in containment are considered operable when normally isolated by valves 2-FP-81 and 2-FP-82. Hose rack stations are located at the containment entrance.

ATTACHMENT 3

## DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGE

In our letter dated October 7, 1983 (Serial No. 552), Vepco submitted Technical Specification changes for North Anna Unit Nos. 1 and 2 related to the addition of new fire protection systems. The installation of the additional fire protection systems was necessary due to the NRC safety evaluation report on the North Anna fire protection program, dated February, 1979.

This proposed change, which will supplement our above mentioned submittal, will add the statement that hose rack stations are located at the containment entrance. This statement should be added to the asterisk note on Table 3.7-7. The addition of this change will aid in completing the provisions of Appendix A to Branch Technical Position APCS 9.5-1 and to clarify where the fire hose rack stations are located in relation to the containment. Having the fire hose rack station located outside containment does not increase the probability of an accident because there will be less hazardous material inside the containment. In addition, a fire hose that would remain in containment would be very costly because it would have to be suitable for the containment environment.

This proposed change does not pose a significant hazards consideration as defined in 10 CFR 50.92. Example (ii) in the Federal Register dated April 6, 1983, Page 14870 states, "a change that constitutes an additional limitation, restriction or control not presently included in the Technical Specifications: for example, a more stringent surveillance requirement". This change clarifies where the fire hose rack stations are located in relation to the containment.

JHL/acm:ml6/041

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA )  
 )  
CITY OF RICHMOND )

The foregoing document was acknowledged before me, in and for the City and Commonwealth aforesaid, today by W. R. Cartwright who is Manager-Nuclear Operations Support, of the Virginia Electric and Power Company. He is duly authorized to execute and file the foregoing document in behalf of that Company, and the statements in the document are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Acknowledged before me this 27<sup>th</sup> day of December, 19 83.

My Commission expires: 2-26, 19 85.

Ann C. Morse  
Notary Public

(SEAL)