

DAVID PRYOR  
ARKANSAS

RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510  
(202) 224-2959

ARKANSAS OFFICE  
3030 FEDERAL BUILDING  
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201  
(501) 324-4736

United States Senate  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0402

COMMITTEES  
AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND  
FORESTRY  
FINANCE  
GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING  
SELECT COMMITTEE ON ETHICS

July 31, 1991

Mr. Carlton Kammerer  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20505


Dear Mr. Kammerer:

I am enclosing for your information a copy of correspondence I have recently received from a constituent who has asked for my assistance.

This is a matter which I think can most appropriately be addressed by someone from your agency. I would appreciate it if I could receive at your earliest convenience a complete response to the points covered in this communication. Hopefully, your reply will enable me to provide a factual and informative answer to my constituent.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. Please mark your reply, along with return of the enclosure, to the attention of Vince Ancell of my staff.

Sincerely,



David Pryor

DP/vca  
Enclosure

5109060156 910822  
PDR ADDCK 05000313  
P PDR

# Peoples Action for a Safe Environment

A Non Profit Organization Working For A Safe Environment For Our Children's Children

322 WATSON FAYETTEVILLE, AR. 72701

91 JUL -2 AM 11:30

David Pryor  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

June 26, 1991

Dear Senator Pryor

We are writing as citizens of Arkansas and as members of P.A.S.E., a group of area citizens who are concerned about the operation of Arkansas Nuclear One (ANO) units 1 & 2 at Russellville. We have spent many hours in the Public Document Room there in Russellville and have read about a number of incidents where due to operator or equipment error the safety of the plant was compromised in the past.

It is our understanding that the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) conducts periodic inspections of Arkansas Nuclear One units 1 and 2, and prepares candid evaluations of the plants' performance and safety standards. In annual evaluations by other independent monitoring groups, ANO operations compare poorly to other plants in the country. We believe the INPO reports provide a broader, more complete look at ANO's operating procedure. For this reason ANO's evaluation by INPO must be released for public scrutiny.

We are writing you today to ask that you use your influence to obtain copies of the annual INPO Reports on our behalf, and to ensure that INPO and the NRC begin to make the INPO reports immediately available to the public at large.

We hope that you will contact INPO and the NRC at your earliest convenience with this request and report back to us with their response by no later than July 15, 1991.

With thanks in advance for your immediate attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

*Geoff Oelme*