NRC FORM 366 (12-81) 10 CFR 50

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

APPROVED BY OMB 3150-0011

CONTROL BLOCK: [| | | | | |] (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | LICENSEE CODE: C A S O S 2 (2) | LICENSE NUMBER: 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 (3) | LICENSE TYPE: 4 1 1 1 1 (4) | CAT (5)

CON'T 01 | REPORT SOURCE: L (6) | DOCKET NUMBER: 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 1 (7) | EVENT DATE: 1 0 1 8 8 3 (8) | REPORT DATE: 1 1 2 3 8 3 (9)

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 On 10/18/83, with Unit 2 in Mode 1, a review of the NIS Safety Channel
03 Drawer surveillance records indicated that the surveillance for Channels
04 A, B and D may not have been completed within the required surveillance
05 interval. The Channel A, B and D surveillances were completed at 1530
06 on 10/18/83 to verify that LCO 3.3.1 and its associated Action State-
07 ments were not exceeded. There was no impact on the health and safety
08 of plant personnel or the public associated with this event.

09 | SYSTEM CODE: I A (11) | CAUSE CODE: A (12) | CAUSE SUBCODE: C (13) | COMPONENT CODE: Z Z Z Z Z Z (14) | COMP. SUBCODE: Z (15) | VALVE SUBCODE: Z (16)

(17) LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR: 8 3 | — | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.: 1 2 6 | / | OCCURRENCE CODE: 0 3 | REPORT TYPE: L | — | REVISION NO.: 0

ACTION TAKEN: H (18) | FUTURE ACTION: Z (19) | EFFECT ON PLANT: Z (20) | SHUTDOWN METHOD: Z (21) | HOURS (22): 0 0 0 0 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED: Y (23) | NPRD-4 FORM SUB.: N (24) | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER: Z (25) | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER (26): Z 9 9 9

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 The cause of exceeding the surveillance interval was personnel error in
11 not either completing the surveillances as scheduled or taking action
12 to assure completion expeditiously when it was not completed as
13 scheduled. Appropriate personnel have been reinstructed as to the
14 importance of fulfilling these requirements.

15 | FACILITY STATUS: E (28) | % POWER: 1 0 0 (29) | OTHER STATUS (30): NA | METHOD OF DISCOVERY: B (31) | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32): Maintenance Personnel

16 | ACTIVITY RELEASED: Z (33) | CONTENT OF RELEASE: Z (34) | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35): NA | LOCATION OF RELEASE (36): NA

17 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER: 0 0 0 (37) | TYPE: Z (38) | DESCRIPTION (39): NA

8312060217 831112
PDR ADOCK 05000361
S PDR

18 | PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER: 0 0 0 (40) | DESCRIPTION (41): NA

19 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE: Z (42) | DESCRIPTION: NA

IE22

20 | PUBLICITY ISSUED: N (44) | DESCRIPTION (45): NA | NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER: J. G. HAYNES JH | PHONE: 714-492-7700

Southern California Edison Company

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

P.O. BOX 128

SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA 92672

J. G. HAYNES
STATION MANAGER

TELEPHONE
(714) 492-7700

November 23, 1983

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Region V
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596-5368

Attention: Mr. J. B. Martin, Regional Administrator

Dear Sir:

Subject: Docket No. 50-361
30-Day Report
Licensee Event Report No. 83-126
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Pursuant to Section 6.9.1.13.c of Appendix A, Technical Specifications to Facility Operating License NPF-10 for San Onofre Unit 2, this submittal provides the required 30-day written report and a copy of the Licensee Event Report (LER) form for an occurrence involving Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.3.1 associated with the Reactor Protective Instrumentation. This report was delayed in order to provide a complete response.

On October 18, 1983, with Unit 2 in Mode 1 at 100% power, a review of the Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) Safety Channel Drawer surveillance records indicated that the surveillances for Channels A, B, and D required by Surveillance Requirement 4.3.1.1 and Table 4.3-1, Item 2, may not have been completed within the required surveillance interval. The Channel A, B, and D surveillances were immediately performed to verify that LCO 3.3.1 and its associated Action Statements were not exceeded. The surveillances were completed at 1530 on October 18, 1983, at approximately the same time a review of the previous month's surveillance records established that the allowable surveillance interval had ended at 2400 on October 17, 1983. The results of the surveillances confirmed that the LCO was satisfied without reliance on any Action Statement.

1/1 IE-22

The cause of exceeding the surveillance interval was personnel error in not either completing the surveillance as originally scheduled or taking adequate action to complete the surveillance expeditiously when it was not completed as originally scheduled. In addition, this event was complicated by the recent reassignment from the Station Technical Division to the Station Maintenance Division of the responsibility for performance of the surveillance. The NIS surveillance was not yet incorporated into the tracking system of the Station Maintenance Division, thereby resulting in the failure to properly identify the approach of the end of the surveillance interval.

Although this is an isolated occurrence because of transferring the responsibility for performing the surveillance from one Station Division to another, appropriate personnel have been reinstructed as to the importance of completing the surveillance as scheduled or taking adequate action to assure completion of surveillance expeditiously when they are not completed as scheduled. There was no impact on the health and safety of plant personnel or the public associated with this event.

If you have any additional questions, please so advise.

Sincerely,

J. A. Haynes

Enclosure: LER 83-126

cc: A.E. Chaffee (USNRC Resident Inspector, Units 1, 2 and 3)
J.P. Stewart (USNRC Resident Inspector, Units 2 and 3)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection and Enforcement

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Division of Technical Information and Document Control

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO)