



Campaign for a Prosperous Georgia

PO Box 7302, Atlanta, Georgia 30357

DOCKETED
USNRC

February 24, 1984

'84 FEB 27 P2:56

MEMORANDUM

To: Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
Fr: Campaign for a Prosperous Georgia
Re: Docket Nos. 50-424 & 50-425, Request for Hearing in Atlanta

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

In its response to Campaign for a Prosperous Georgia's petition for leave to intervene, Georgia Power Company requests that hearings in the above-captioned matter be held in Waynesboro or Augusta rather than Atlanta as petitioner requested. By very similar letters dated February 10, George DeLoach and Ray DeLaigle also requested that the hearings be held in Waynesboro or Augusta. (Neither DeLoach nor DeLaigle is or represents a party to this proceeding.)


Campaign for a Prosperous Georgia (CPG) represents the interests of its members, including those living in the area surrounding the plant. CPG's resources are centered in Atlanta, as is CPG's counsel (the Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation); the interests of CPG's members can best be represented if the hearings are held in Atlanta. Georgians Against Nuclear Energy, on behalf of itself and Coastal Citizens for a Clean Environment has filed the only other active petition for leave to intervene and the only other request for hearing, and they similarly request that the hearing be held in Atlanta on the grounds that they can best represent their members who live in the area surrounding the plant if the hearings are held in Atlanta.

Hearings on the contentions raised by the only intervenors in this proceeding would not be held were it not for the requests for such hearings from said intervenors; requests by the applicant and its supporters that hearings be held in Waynesboro are presented solely to cause difficulty to the intervenors, whose resources are more limited than the applicant's.

If the applicant and Messrs. DeLoach and DeLaigle were truly concerned that public hearings be held in Waynesboro or Augusta, why did they not request such hearings in the first place? Clearly, the only intent in these requests is to place a stumbling block in the way of the interventions.

The ASLB's concern is to determine the safety of the facility and the validity of the contentions raised by the intervenors. It is quite obvious that this can best be done by holding the hearings in Atlanta, where the offices and resources of the only representatives of area citizens concerned about the proposed operating license are located.

Petitioner Campaign for a Prosperous Georgia repeats its request that hearings on the contentions raised by petitioners be held in Atlanta.


Tim Johnson
Executive Director

8402280401 840224
PDR ADOCK 05000424
G PDR

DS03

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

DOCKETED
USNRC

'84 FEB 27 P2:56

In the Matter of

GEORGIA POWER CO., et al.

(Vogtle Electric Generating Plant,
Units 1 and 2)

)
)
)
)
)
)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE

Docket Nos. 50-424 and 50-425

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that copies of the foregoing memorandum to the Atomic Safety & Licensing Board were served by deposit with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage prepaid this twenty-fourth day of February, 1984, to all those on the attached service list.

Tim Johnson
Executive Director
Campaign for a Prosperous
Georgia

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Docket Nos. 50-424 and 50-425

Ruble A. Thomas
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P. O. Box 2625
Birmingham, Alabama 35202