



Commonwealth Edison

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767
Chicago, Illinois 60690

February 10, 1984

Mr. James G. Keppler
Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Subject: LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2
Response to Inspection Report Nos.
50-373/83-51 and 50-374/83-50
NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Reference (a): J. F. Streeter letter to Cordell Reed
dated January 13, 1984.

Dear Mr. Keppler:

This letter is in response to the inspection conducted by Messrs. R. D. Lanksbury and L. A. Reyes on November 2 through December 15, 1983, of activities at LaSalle County Station. Reference (a) indicated that certain activities appeared to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements. The Commonwealth Edison Company response to the Notice of Violation is provided in the enclosure.

To the best of my knowledge and belief the statements contained herein and in the attachment are true and correct. In some respects these statements are not based upon my personal knowledge but upon information furnished by other Commonwealth Edison employees. Such information has been reviewed in accordance with Company practice and I believe it to be reliable.

If you have any further questions on this matter, please direct them to this office.

Very truly yours,

8402220270 840215
PDR ADOCK 05000373
G PDR

for *CH Schor* 2/10/84
D. L. Farrar
Director of Nuclear Licensing

CWS/lm

Attachment

cc: NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

FEB 13 1984

8139N

ATTACHMENT A

Item of Noncompliance

As a result of the inspection conducted on November 2 through December 15, 1983, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified.

Technical Specification 6.2.A.7 requires that detailed written procedures for surveillance and testing requirements be adhered to. LaSalle surveillance procedure LTS 300-3, Step F.8, requires that the SBT system be started up in accordance with station operating procedure LOP-VG-03. Both Step F.8 of LTS 300-3 and Step F.1.g of LOP-VG-03 requires the secondary Containment Integrity Test be performed with a system flow rate of 4000 CFM \pm 10%.

Contrary to the above, during the conduct of the secondary containment integrity test conducted on November 4, 1983, the inspectors noted that the system discharge flow rate as indicated in the control room, was in excess of 4500 CFM. After the inspectors identified the problem, the licensee stopped the test, adjusted the flow to approximately 4300 CFM, and restarted the test.

Corrective Action Taken and Results Achieved

The corrective action taken, as noted in the "NOtice of Violation", was to declare the test invalid and restart the test using a more conservative flow rate of 4300 CFM. The control room operator was given instructions to check the flow more frequently during the second test. The flow recorder was also reviewed to assure that the 4000 CFM \pm 10% criteria was not exceeded. The outcome of the test was not affected, since the results were well within the bounds of acceptance criteria.

Corrective Action Taken to Avoid Further Noncompliance

The test procedure (LTS 300-3) will be revised to require the test engineer to review the flow recording after the test for compliance with the 4000 CFM \pm 10%

Date of Full Compliance

Full compliance will be achieved by March 8, 1984 when the revision to the procedure LTS 300-3 is completed. This item is tracked by AIR 01-83-35103.