

Georgia Power Company  
40 Inverness Center Parkway  
Post Office Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201  
Telephone 205 877-7122

C. K. McCoy  
Vice President, Nuclear  
Vogtle Project

January 20, 1995



Docket Nos. 50-424  
50-425

LCV-0549

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

**VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT  
REQUEST TO REVISE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION  
PLANT REVIEW BOARD COMPOSITION**

In accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.90 and 10 CFR 50.59, Georgia Power Company (GPC) hereby proposes to amend the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications, Appendix A to Operating Licenses NPF-68 and NPF-81. The revision will change the composition of the Plant Review Board (PRB) as described in Technical Specification 6.4.1.2.

The proposed revision to Technical Specification 6.4.1.2 provides a more accurate description of PRB composition. The changes can be summarized as follows: (1) specifies the plant organization functional areas to be represented on the PRB rather than the departments, (2) reflects a plant organization change to combine the Technical Support Department with the Engineering Support Department, and (3) specifies a minimum size for PRB composition in support of these proposed changes.

The proposed changes and bases for the changes are described in enclosure 1 to this letter. Enclosure 2 provides an evaluation pursuant to 10 CFR 50.92 showing that the proposed changes do not involve significant hazards considerations. Instructions for incorporation of the proposed changes into the Technical Specifications and a copy of the changes are provided in enclosure 3.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.91, the designated state official will be sent a copy of this letter and all enclosures.

270669

9501300325 950120  
PDR ADOCK 05000424  
P PDR

Aool  
1/1

Mr. C. K. McCoy states that he is a vice president of Georgia Power Company and is authorized to execute this oath on behalf of Georgia Power Company and that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in this letter and enclosures are true

**GEORGIA POWER COMPANY**

By: C. K. McCoy  
C. K. McCoy

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January, 1995.

Mary D. Bentley  
Notary Public

CKM/TMM

Enclosures:

1. Basis for Proposed Change
2. 10 CFR 50.92 Evaluation
3. Instructions for Incorporation and Revised Pages

c(w): Georgia Power Company

Mr. J. B. Beasley

Mr. M. Sheibani

NORMS

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. S. D. Ebner, Regional Administrator

Mr. D. S. Hood, Licensing Project Manager, NRR

Mr. B. R. Bonser, Senior Resident Inspector, Vogtle

State of Georgia

Mr. J. D. Tanner, Commissioner, Department of Natural Resources

## ENCLOSURE 1

### VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT REQUEST TO REVISE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS PLANT REVIEW BOARD COMPOSITION

#### BASIS FOR PROPOSED CHANGE

##### Proposed Change

The proposed changes to Technical Specification 6.4.1.2 provide a more accurate description of Plant Review Board (PRB) composition. The following discussion provides a summary of the changes being proposed:

1. The plant organization functional areas represented by the PRB are listed instead of the departments. Accordingly, the Health Physics Department becomes the Health Physics and Chemistry functional area. In conjunction with this proposed change, the current provision to allow a senior health physicist to represent the Health Physics Department on the PRB is being revised to state that the representation is for Health Physics.
2. The Technical Support Department is being combined with the Engineering Support Department to facilitate the transfer of duties of the Manager-Technical Support to the Manager-Engineering Support as part of a plant organization change. Therefore, the combined departments will be designated as the functional area Engineering in the proposed changes to the PRB composition. Operations and Maintenance will continue to maintain their current identification for representation on the PRB but will be designated as functional areas consistent with the above proposed changes.
3. Due to the organization change, there will be four functional areas identified for PRB composition instead of five departments. Therefore, a requirement to have at least five members from the specified functional areas is being added to the specification description to indicate the minimum number of representatives needed for PRB composition. Such action is consistent with the quorum requirement found in Technical Specification 6.4.1.5 for PRB composition.

##### Basis

The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications are administrative in nature and do not significantly alter the current Technical Specification requirements or reduce the effectiveness of the PRB. The proposed changes do not reduce the qualifications for

## ENCLOSURE 1

### VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT REQUEST TO REVISE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS PLANT REVIEW BOARD COMPOSITION

#### BASIS FOR PROPOSED CHANGE (CONTINUED)

membership on the PRB. In addition, they do not alter the function of the PRB or the manner by which it fulfills its functional requirements. Therefore, the proposed changes to the Technical Specifications will not result in a decrease in the ability of the PRB to perform its safety function.

## ENCLOSURE 2

### VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT REQUEST TO REVISE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS PLANT REVIEW BOARD COMPOSITION

#### 10 CFR 50.92 EVALUATION

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.92, Georgia Power Company (GPC) has evaluated the proposed revision to the Technical Specifications and has determined that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not involve any significant hazards considerations. GPC has concluded the following concerning 10 CFR 50.92:

1. The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because the composition of the Plant Review Board (PRB) does not directly affect any material condition of the plant that could directly contribute to causing or mitigating the effects of an accident. Additionally, the changes to the PRB composition will not diminish its ability to review plant activities, therefore, these changes will not diminish the PRB's role in reviewing changes that could affect the probability or consequences of accidents.
2. The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because the changes are administrative in nature to support organizational changes that are needed to enhance the operation of the plant. Since no physical change is being made to the plant or its operating parameters, the proposed changes do not introduce the possibility of a new or different type of accident.
3. The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety because the responsibilities, quorum, meeting frequency and functions of the PRB remain unchanged. The qualifications of the PRB members are not being reduced, therefore, the current level of safety contributed by the PRB function will not be diminished by the proposed Technical Specification changes.

Based upon the preceding information, it has been determined that the proposed Technical Specification changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration as defined by 10 CFR 50.92.