

requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 73.37. Thus, Mr. Eddleman's generalized challenge in Contention 24 to the effectiveness of such procedures is simply a challenge to the Commission's rules without the required showing pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.758.

D. Mr. Eddleman has Provided No Basis with the Requisite Specificity to Support the Sweeping Allegations Set Forth in Proposed Contention 24.

The only basis for the rambling, broad allegations set forth in proposed Contention 24 is Mr. Eddleman's boundless imagination. For that reason alone, Contention 24 must be denied.^{6/}

III. CONCLUSION

Applicants contend that the Board has no jurisdiction over health and safety issues involved in the transportation of spent fuel from Robinson and/or Brunswick to the Harris Plant, including issues involving sabotage and terrorism. Furthermore, Applicants contend that Contention 24 constitutes an impermissible challenge to the Commission's regulations at 10

^{6/} While Mr. Eddleman may be wont to argue that he cannot allege a contention with specificity without reviewing the security procedures for spent fuel shipments, Applicants' response is that he has available to him the detailed general requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 73.37 which Applicants must follow in developing such procedures. If he believes that security procedures to be developed for a particular route pursuant to these regulatory requirements will be unsatisfactory to protect against a postulated sabotage threat -- which he can describe with some basis and specificity -- then, Mr. Eddleman may petition the Commission pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.758 for an opportunity to raise this issue in the operating license proceeding.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)
and NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN)
MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY)

(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power)
Plant, Units 1 and 2))

Docket Nos. 50-400 OL
50-401 OL

SERVICE LIST

James L. Kelley, Esquire
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James H. Carpenter
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Charles A. Barth, Esquire (4)
Myron Karman, Esquire
Office of the Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section (3)
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Daniel F. Read, President
CHANGE/ELP
5707 Waycross Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27606

John D. Runkle, Esquire
Conservation Council of
North Carolina
307 Granville Road
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

M. Travis Payne, Esquire
Edelstein and Payne
Post Office Box 12607
Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Dr. Richard D. Wilson
729 Hunter Street
Apex, North Carolina 27502

Mr. Wells Eddleman
718-A Iredell Street
Durham, North Carolina 27705

Richard E. Jones, Esquire
Vice President and Senior Counsel
Carolina Power & Light Company
Post Office Box 1551
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Dr. Phyllis Lotchin
108 Bridle Run
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 2751

Dr. Linda Little
Governor's Waste Management Board
513 Albemarle Building
325 North Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

• Bradley W. Jones, Esquire
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marrietta Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Ruthanne G. Miller, Esquire
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Robert P. Gruber
Executive Director
Public Staff - NCUC
Post Office Box 991
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602