



Department of Energy  
Oak Ridge Operations  
P.O. Box U  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

USNRC REGION II  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

83 JUL 20 AII : 42

July 19, 1983

Mr. James P. O'Reilly  
Administration, Region II  
ATTN: H. C. Dance  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Atlanta, GA 30603

Gentlemen:

NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-537/83-05

Reference: Letter, H. C. Dance to P. Brewington, Jr., "Report  
No. 50-537/83-05," dated June 17, 1983

The CRBRP Project Office has reviewed the subject report  
concerning the May 17-20, 1983 NRC inspection at the CRBRP  
Project Office in Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

As requested in the reference letter, enclosed is a response to  
the Notice of Deviations included in the subject inspection  
report. Questions regarding the enclosed response should be  
directed to Al Meller, FTS (626-6355), of my staff.

Sincerely,

Peter J. Gross, Assistant Director  
for Public Safety, CRBRP Project

CR-781:DGR:83-478

Enclosure:  
As stated

Deviation 537/83-05-01: In Chapter 17 of the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR), Section 17.1.1.1, and in the Applicants' Management Policies and Requirements Manual, Section 8.6.4.2, the Applicants commit to verifying and maintaining the effectiveness and adequacy of the quality assurance program for the Project.

Contrary to the above, measures have not been established to assess the effectiveness and adequacy of the quality assurance program on a periodic and timely basis.

#### Response

The CRBRP Project Office has a program that provides assurance that Project Office quality assurance procedures are routinely audited on a timely basis. The Westinghouse-Oak Ridge audit program, which was found to be deficient in this area, has been revised to be a computerized system in lieu of a manual one. It will now provide objective evidence and the assurance that all procedures affecting the quality assurance program are audited on an established time period. This program, similar to the one utilized by the Project Office, is in place, has been reviewed and accepted by the Project Office, and is operating at this writing.

Project contractors' annual audit plans are submitted to the Project Office for information at the beginning of the year. The Project Office has revised its procedures to require PO review of these plans against Project requirements and to document acceptance of these plans as meeting Project Office requirements. Implementation throughout the year will be measured by planned surveillances and audits.

Deviation 537/83-05-02: In Chapter 17 of PSAR Section 17.1.2.1, the Applicants commit to the requirements of ANSI N45.2.6-1978 for inspection, examination, and testing personnel.

Contrary to the above, the Applicants have not established measures to require the qualifications for inspection, examination, and testing personnel to meet the education, experience and qualification requirements of ANSI N45.2.6-1978 at all vendors.

Response

The Project Office reviewed the procedures (AI & GE) found to be deficient by the inspection team. The procedures of GE and AI have been revised to clearly state the actions taken to meet the requirements of the Project's commitments. Additionally, the Project Office reviewed the procedures of the Constructor and Architect-Engineer. The procedures of the Architect-Engineer and Constructor were judged to be sufficiently detailed and in compliance with the Project's commitments.

A review plan to assure that Project requirements are being fully implemented by the Project's vendors is currently being developed. This review will be completed by August 19, 1983.