



**GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY**

RIVERBEND STATION POST OFFICE BOX 220 ST FRANCISVILLE, LOUISIANA 70754

AREA CODE 504 FAX 504-3096 JAS-3021

July 1 , 1991  
RBG- 35,285  
File Nos. G9.5, G9.25.1.3

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

River Bend Station - Unit 1  
Docket No. 50-458

Please find enclosed Supplement 3 to Licensee Event Report No. 90-032 for River Bend Station - Unit 1. This supplemental report is submitted to provide the final disposition of GSU's evaluation of the Engineering/Maintenance Planning responsibility and interface.

Sincerely,

W. H. Odell  
Manager - Oversight  
River Bend Nuclear Group

*BNV ADG JAB-JS JPM DCH*  
IAE/PDG/GAB/DEJ/JPM/DCH/pj  
Dix

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000  
Arlington, TX 76011

NRC Resident Inspector  
P.O. Box 1051  
St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center  
1100 Circle 75 Parkway  
Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Mr. C. P. Oberg  
Public Utility Commission of Texas  
7800 Shoal Creek Blvd., Suite 400 North  
Austin, TX 78757

9107100202 910701  
PDR ADOCK 05000458  
S PDR

00

*TECC*  
*11*

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-301), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)

RIVER BEND STATION

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8 1 OF 0 4

PAGE (3)

TITLE (4)

RWCU ISOLATION DURING MODIFICATIONS TO POWER SUPPLY WIRING IN A CONTROL ROOM PANEL

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)
11	04	90	90	033	030	07	01	91		0 5 0 0 0 0
OPERATING MODE (9)			THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5. (Check one or more of the following) (11)							
5			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20.402(b) <input type="checkbox"/> 20.406(e) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv) <input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(b)							
POWER LEVEL (10)			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.406(a)(1)(i) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(a)(1) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv) <input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)							
0			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.406(a)(1)(ii) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(a)(2) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv) <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 306A)							
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.506(a)(1)(iii) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)							
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.08(a)(1)(iv) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B)							
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.406(a)(1)(v) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii) <input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)							

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME

L. A. England, Director - Nuclear Licensing

TELEPHONE NUMBER

AREA CODE

5 0 4 3 8 1 - 4 1 4 5

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRCDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRCDS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)		NO		EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>					

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On 11/04/90 at 1140 with the unit in Operational Condition 5 (Refueling), an isolation of the reactor water cleanup system (RWCU) occurred. The isolation occurred while performing plant modifications to power supply wiring in control room panel 1H13-P642. The power supply circuit in this panel provides power to several systems including the leak detection system (LDS) and the RWCU system and is daisy-chained from one component to another. The modification involved de-terminating and re-terminating power supply leads on several LDS components, and when the first de-termination was made, power was lost to the RWCU system through the daisy-chain. Since the safety related components of the RWCU system fail to a safe position upon a loss of power, an isolation resulted. This isolation constitutes an engineered safety feature (ESF) actuation; therefore, this event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

The RWCU isolation occurred as designed and was restored following re-termination of the lead. Therefore, this event did not adversely affect the health and safety of the public. At the direction of the Plant Manager, a task force has been formed to establish a program to resolve engineering/maintenance planning interface issues. The task force has completed its recommendations. These recommendations have been approved by management and are being implemented.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/86

FACILITY NAME (1)  RIVER BEND STATION	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8 9 0	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
		9 0	0 3 3	0 3 0 2	OF	0 4	

TEXT IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED, USE ADDITIONAL NRC Form 305A (1/77)

REPORTED CONDITION

On 11/04/90 at 1140 with the unit in Operational Condition 5 (Refueling), an isolation of the reactor water cleanup system (RWCU) (\*CE\*) occurred. The isolation occurred while performing plant modifications to power supply wiring in control room panel (\*PL\*) 1H13-P642. The power supply circuit in this panel provides power to several systems including the leak detection system (LDS) and the RWCU system (\*CE\*) and is daisy-chained from one component to another. The modification involved de-terminating and re-terminating power supply leads on several LDS components, and when the first de-termination was made, power was lost to the RWCU system through the daisy-chain. Since the safety related components of the RWCU system fail to a safe position upon a loss of power, an isolation resulted. This isolation constitutes an engineered safety feature (ESF) actuation; therefore, this event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

INVESTIGATION

Modification Request (MR) 87-0837, field change notice (FCN) 1 required the addition/deletion of various wiring terminations in 1H13\*PNLP642 (\*PL\*) to replace the existing leak detection system drywell unit cooler condensate leak-off line flow transmitter instrumentation loop. During the performance of this work, the work instructions provided in FCN 1 required that one of two wires currently terminated on fuse 1E31A-F78-1 be removed and another wire added. When the terminal screw was removed at this termination point, control power for all downstream instrument loops was lost which caused an RWCU isolation due to power failure. These instrument loops are designed to fail safe on a loss of control power.

The work instructions given in MR 87-0837 FCN 1 provide a complete list of steps necessary to accomplish the wiring changes shown within the MR. However, precautionary steps were not provided to warn of potential isolations associated with the addition/deletion of power supply wiring.

A review of previous reports has identified two similar events. LER 89-016 reported isolations of valves (\*ISV\*) 1DER\*AOV126 and 1DFR\*AOV101 during a modification in panel (\*PL\*) 1H13\*P852. An engineering analysis completed prior to the implementation of the modification (to install an emergency operating procedure actuation switch) did not reveal the potential for relay 3B-2-11SCB04 to react before relay 3B-11SCB04 when the AC daisy chain neutral for the Division II BOP loss of coolant accident (LOCA) initiation circuit was re-established. LER 90-035 reported a loss of shutdown cooling when a cable (\*CBL3\*) was disconnected from control room panel (\*PL\*) 1H13-P692. The system engineer prepared a cable-effects list for a

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104

EXPIRES 8-31-88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (3)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
RIVER BEID STATION	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8	9 0	0 3 3	0 3	0 3	OF 0 4

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional NRC Form 205A's) (17)

modification. This was caused by the system engineer not recognizing that the removal of the cable would result in the isolation of valve 1E12\*MOVFO09.

### CORRECTIVE ACTION

At the time of the isolation, the Shift Supervisor ordered the maintenance personnel to re-terminate the power supply lead. With power restored, the Shift Supervisor reset the isolation signal and the RWCU (\*CE\*) system was returned to operation.

Design Engineering implemented specific precautionary steps directly into the field work instructions of the modification package to prevent additional occurrences. These additional work instructions were then incorporated into the maintenance job plan.

GSU has concluded its evaluation of the engineering/maintenance planning responsibility and interface to identify corrective actions designed to reduce the potential for similar events. At the direction of the Plant Manager, a task force comprised of representatives from System Engineering, Maintenance Planning, Design Engineering and Operations was established. The purpose of this task force was to establish a program for in-line review of modification requests prior to release for field work in order to prevent ESF actuations, operability problems and construction problems. Based on the task force investigation, the root cause of this event was that no program existed to identify potential ESF actuations in conjunction with the processing of MRs. Design Engineering's procedures contained no requirements for this review. The typical practice by Maintenance Planning was to incorporate work scope instructions, developed by Design Engineering as part of the MR, directly into a maintenance work order (MWO) without a review for potential ESF actuations.

The corrective actions for this condition recommended by the task force are as follows:

- 1) Revision of the MR procedure (ENG-3-006) to require the design engineer to provide precautionary statements in the MR to advise the maintenance planner of potential ESF actuations.
- 2) A post-design review meeting to be conducted for appropriate MRs. These meetings would typically be comprised of representatives from DE, Operations, Maintenance Planning, Materials, and System Engineering. These meetings will provide a forum in which potential ESF actuations, operability issues, and constructibility issues can be identified. In general, these meetings will

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104  
EXPIRES 6/31/83

FACILITY NAME (1)  RIVER BEND STATION	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8	LER NUMBER (3)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
		9 0	0 3 3	0 3	0 4	OF	0 4

TEXT IF more space is required, use additional NRC Form 385A's (17)

be conducted at the discretion of the responsible Design Engineering Supervisor.

- 3) For those MRs in which a potential ESF actuation has been identified, either by DE or others during the post-design review meeting, an independent review of the MWO work plan by a system engineer will be required prior to implementation of the MR.

GSU is committed to preventing unanticipated ESF actuations as a part of the plant modification process. The task force recommendations have been approved by management and are being implemented.

### SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The RWCU isolation occurred as designed and was restored following re-termination of the lead. Therefore, this event did not adversely affect the health and safety of the public.

NOTE: Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified in the text as (\*XΛ\*).