NRC FORM 366 (7-77)

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

Line	Field	Value
01	LICENSEE CODE (2)	WIPBH1
01	LICENSE NUMBER (3)	00-00000-00
01	LICENSE TYPE (4)	41111
01	CAT (5)	
CON'T 01	REPORT SOURCE (6)	L
01	DOCKET NUMBER (7)	05000266
01	EVENT DATE (8)	071183
01	REPORT DATE (9)	081083

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 During normal operation, the minimum frequency requirements dictating
03 the measurement of boron concentration in the boric acid storage tanks
04 and the refueling water storage tanks were exceeded. The semi-weekly
05 tests of the BAST's were performed approximately 1½ days late and the
05 weekly tests of the RWST's were performed approximately 2¼ days late.
07 This is a nonconformance with Technical Specification 15.4.1.
08

09	SYSTEM CODE (11)	CAUSE CODE (12)	CAUSE SUBCODE (13)	COMPONENT CODE (14)	COMP. SUBCODE (15)	VALVE SUBCODE (16)
	SI	A	X	ZZZZZZ	Z	Z

(17) LER/RO REPORT NUMBER

EVENT YEAR		SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.		OCCURRENCE CODE	REPORT TYPE		REVISION NO.
83	—	006	/	03	L	—	0

ACTION TAKEN (18)	FUTURE ACTION (19)	EFFECT ON PLANT (20)	SHUTDOWN METHOD (21)	HOURS (22)	ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (23)	NPRD-4 FORM SUB. (24)	PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER (25)	COMPONENT MANUFACTURER (26)
H	H	Z	Z	0000	Y	N	Z	Z999

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 The event occurred because the Chemistry Technician involved failed to
11 remember the tests were required by Technical Specifications & did not
12 perform them. The Chemistry Technician involved received counseling
13 on the importance of performing Technical Specification required sampling.
14 This will also be discussed with all Chemistry Technicians.

15	FACILITY STATUS (28)	% POWER (29)	OTHER STATUS (30)	METHOD OF DISCOVERY (31)	DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
	F	079	N/A	B	Chemistry Supervisor observation

16	ACTIVITY RELEASED (33)	CONTENT OF RELEASE (34)	AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35)	LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)
	Z	Z	N/A	N/A

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

17	NUMBER (37)	TYPE (38)	DESCRIPTION (39)
	000	Z	N/A

PERSONNEL INJURIES

18	NUMBER (40)	DESCRIPTION (41)
	000	N/A

LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43)

19	TYPE (42)	DESCRIPTION
	Z	N/A

PUBLICITY

20	ISSUED (44)	DESCRIPTION (45)
	Z	N/A

8308180293 830810
PDR ADOCK 05000266
S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER C. W. Fay PHONE: 414/277-2811

IE22

GPO 927-926

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 83-006/03L-0

Wisconsin Electric Power Company
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1
Docket No. 50-266

On Monday, July 11, 1983, a Chemistry Supervisor was reviewing log sheets of chemistry sampling results obtained over the preceding weekend, a normal practice performed every Monday morning. During the review, the supervisor discovered that no entries had been made for boron concentration in the three boric acid storage tanks (BAST's) as well as for the refueling water storage tanks (RWST's) of each unit. The tests had been scheduled to be performed on Friday, July 8, 1983, on the backshift. The fact that these sampling results were not shown on any of the weekend log sheets and that the Chemistry Technician assigned to do the sampling was not at the plant prompted the supervisor to request that the sampling be performed that Monday.

Technical Specification 15.4.1 requires a semi-weekly sampling of the BAST's and a weekly sampling of the RWST's for boron concentration. These tests were completed on Monday, July 11, 1983, at the supervisor's request, but the minimum sampling frequencies required for the tests had already been exceeded. Based on the Technical Specification requirements and the performance times of the previous tests, the BAST's were supposed to have been tested by 0300 hours on July 10, 1983, and the RWST's by approximately 0720 hours on July 9, 1983. Instead, the BAST's were sampled at 1150 hours on July 11, 1983, and the RWST's were sampled at approximately 1325 hours on July 11, 1983. All samples taken on July 11, 1983 met their respective required specification.

Because of the Fourth of July holiday, the normal sampling schedule had been set back a couple of shifts and the sampling routine normally performed on the Thursday day shift was scheduled for Friday night. The missed tests are part of the normal Thursday day shift routine. Before the shift began, the technician was told by a Chemistry Supervisor to do what she could as long as she finished the Technical Specification sampling items. In doing her work, the Chemistry Technician performed only those tests remembered as being required by Technical Specifications and did not refer to any of the available sources listing Technical Specification sampling requirements. The failure to perform the tests was compounded by the fact that the tests were scheduled for the Friday backshift, meaning the results would not be reviewed by a supervisor until the following Monday. Normally, the tests are performed on Thursday and omission of the tests would be detected on Friday.

Normally, technicians will refer to the plant's routine sampling schedule to see what tests are to be performed on a given day of the week. The lab also uses a system of sign-off sheets for monitoring sampling performance. Sign-off sheets

list all routine required sampling and are organized on the basis of sampling frequency. After recording sampling results on a log sheet, it is a Chemistry Technician's responsibility to initial and date the appropriate sign-off sheets indicating that required sampling has been performed. A Chemistry Technician is also expected to occasionally review all sign-off sheets to ensure that sampling is being performed and to keep abreast of upcoming tests that may be his responsibility.

When questioned on July 12, 1983, the Chemistry Technician involved admitted she did her testing from memory only and simply forgot about the tests that were missed. The Chemistry Technician involved was reminded of the importance of this aspect of the job. This topic will be discussed with all other Chemistry Technicians as well. In addition, the forms used to list and monitor required sampling will be reviewed for possible changes to make them more concise, easier to follow, and more emphatic of which tests are required by Technical Specifications.

The NRC Resident Inspector has been informed of this event.

Wisconsin Electric POWER COMPANY
231 W. MICHIGAN, P.O. BOX 2046, MILWAUKEE, WI 53201

August 10, 1983

Mr. J. G. Keppler, Regional Administrator
Office of Inspection and Enforcement,
Region III
U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr. Keppler:

DOCKET NO. 50-266
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 83-006/03L-0
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report No. 83-006/03L-0 (a 30-day report) with an attachment which provides a description of an event reportable in accordance with Technical Specification 15.6.9.2.B.3, "Observed inadequacies in the implementation of administrative or procedural controls which threaten to cause reduction of degree of redundancy provided in reactor protection systems or engineered safety feature systems."

Very truly yours,

C. W. Fay

Vice President-Nuclear Power

C. W. Fay

Enclosure

Copy to NRC Resident Inspector

AUG 12 1983
IE22 1/1