



Commonwealth Edison

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois

Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767

Chicago, Illinois 60690

2nd response

April 8, 1983

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator
Directorate of Inspection and
Enforcement - Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Subject: LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2
Corrected Page for Response to
Inspection Report Nos. 50-373/82-40
and 50-374/82-10
NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Reference (a): Cordell Reed letter to J. G. Keppler
dated April 4, 1983.

Dear Mr. Keppler:

Reference (a) transmitted to you the Commonwealth Edison
Company response to Inspection Report Nos. 50-373/82-40 and
50-373/82-10. Enclosed please find a corrected page 2 for the
response to non-compliance #1 (373/82-40-13 and 374/82-10-13).

We apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused you.

If there are any further questions concerning this matter,
please contact this office.

Very truly yours,

CW Schroeder

4/8/83

C. W. Schroeder
Nuclear Licensing Administrator

lm

cc: NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

6340N

8305060569 830503
PDR ADOCK 05000373
Q PDR

APR 12 1983

The last item of concern in the subject report, is the Quality Assurance practice of notifying contractors in advance, of those portions of the contractors program which will be audited. It should be noted that most of the site contractors have QA/QC personnel monitoring specific activities. By notifying the contractor in advance of the general areas or activities to be audited, it's possible to avoid scheduled conflicts with personnel knowledgeable in the audited activity. It is highly unlikely that an advance notice of one or two weeks will allow the auditee to correct possible deficiencies in installations involving hundreds of manhours, or documentation compiled over many months or years. As such, we do not believe that any change is necessary in this area.

Corrective Action Taken and Results Achieved:

The following actions have been taken by Commonwealth Edison as a result of the concerns which surfaced as a result of the Morrison records problems:

- 1) Meetings and discussions, including documented training sessions, have been held between Quality Assurance General Office management and the departments lead auditors. These sessions reviewed the results of the NRC's investigation and emphasized the need to assure that audited items and activities are thoroughly reviewed.
- 2) General Office audits of all three construction sites (LaSalle, Byron and Braidwood) were conducted. These audits specifically focussed on the review of records and looked for any possible cases of records alteration or falsification. Only one case of possible records falsification was identified at the LaSalle site during these audits. The record involved a signature on a concrete inspector's qualification.

Thorough evaluation of inspectors activities indicated no safety related work performed in the area affected by this document. No similar circumstances were found on any other record. The QA records audits of all three construction sites are available for review.

- 3) Site Quality Assurance held a meeting with all on site QA/QC supervisors to review the requirements for calibration activities at the site and the importance of sample size to assure that any record anomalies are detected.
- 4) As an added check of on site calibration activities for torque wrenches, Edisons site QA has directed the independent testing agency to initiate a program of sampling calibrated wrenches on a weekly basis from each site contractor to further assure that torque wrench calibration activities are properly performed. This program has been in effect since September 1982.