

CONTROL BLOCK:										(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)									
<div> <div>01</div> <div>G A E I H 1</div> <div>200-000000-00</div> <div>341111</div> <div>5</div> </div>										<div> <div>7</div> <div>8</div> <div>9</div> <div>14</div> <div>15</div> <div>25</div> <div>26</div> <div>30</div> <div>57</div> <div>58</div> </div>									
<div> <div>01</div> <div>L</div> <div>605000321</div> <div>7030583</div> <div>8</div> <div>9</div> </div>										<div> <div>60</div> <div>61</div> <div>68</div> <div>69</div> <div>74</div> <div>75</div> <div>80</div> </div>									
EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)																			
<div> <div>02</div> <div>On 3/5/83 an operator discovered the torus level to be increasing. The</div> </div>																			
<div> <div>03</div> <div>torus water level had increased to 150.5" on one of the redundant torus</div> </div>																			
<div> <div>04</div> <div>level recorders (1T48-R607B). This event is contrary to the requirements</div> </div>																			
<div> <div>05</div> <div>of Tech. Specs. section 3.7.A.1.b. Plant operation was not affected by</div> </div>																			
<div> <div>06</div> <div>this event. The health and safety of the public were not affected by</div> </div>																			
<div> <div>07</div> <div>this non-repetitive event.</div> </div>																			
<div> <div>08</div> <div></div> </div>																			
<div> <div>09</div> <div> <div> <div>SYSTEM CODE</div> <div>CAUSE CODE</div> <div>CAUSE SUBCODE</div> <div>COMPONENT CODE</div> <div>COMP. SUBCODE</div> <div>VALVE SUBCODE</div> </div> <div> <div>SA</div> <div>D</div> <div>Z</div> <div>Z Z Z Z Z</div> <div>Z</div> <div>Z</div> </div> <div> <div>9</div> <div>10</div> <div>11</div> <div>12</div> <div>13</div> <div>18</div> <div>19</div> <div>20</div> </div> </div> </div>																			
<div> <div>17</div> <div> <div>LER/RO REPORT NUMBER</div> <div>EVENT YEAR</div> <div>SEQUENCE REPORT NO.</div> <div>OCCURRENCE CODE</div> <div>REPORT TYPE</div> <div>REVISION NO.</div> </div> <div> <div>83</div> <div></div> <div>028</div> <div>03</div> <div>L</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>21</div> <div>22</div> <div>23</div> <div>24</div> <div>26</div> <div>27</div> <div>28</div> <div>29</div> <div>30</div> <div>31</div> <div>32</div> </div> </div>																			
<div> <div>ACTION TAKEN</div> <div>FUTURE ACTION</div> <div>EFFECT ON PLANT</div> <div>SHUTDOWN METHOD</div> <div>HOURS</div> <div>ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED</div> <div>NPRD-4 FORM SUB.</div> <div>PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER</div> <div>COMPONENT MANUFACTURER</div> </div> <div> <div>X</div> <div>G</div> <div>Z</div> <div>Z</div> <div>0000</div> <div>Y</div> <div>N</div> <div>Z</div> <div>Z999</div> </div> <div> <div>33</div> <div>34</div> <div>35</div> <div>36</div> <div>37</div> <div>40</div> <div>41</div> <div>42</div> <div>43</div> <div>44</div> <div>47</div> </div>																			

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)																			
10 The cause of this event was due to the high level alarm setpoint being																			
11 set at the Tech. Spec. limit. The torus water level was restored to																			
12 within the required Tech. Specs. limits per section 3.7.A.1.a and b.																			
13																			
14																			
15 FACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION C 071 NA A Operator Observation 7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80																			
16 ACTIVITY RELEASED CONTENT OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY LOCATION OF RELEASE Z Z NA NA 7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80																			
17 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 000 Z NA 7 8 9 11 12 13 80																			
18 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 000 NA 7 8 9 11 12 80																			
19 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE DESCRIPTION Z NA 7 8 9 10 80																			
20 PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION N NA 7 8 9 10 80																			
21 NAME OF PREPARER PHONE S. B. Tipps (912) 367-7851 68 69 80																			

NARRATIVE REPORT
FOR LER 50-321/1983-028

LICENSEE : GEORGIA POWER COMPANY
FACILITY NAME : EDWIN I. HATCH
DOCKET NUMBER : 50-321

Tech. Specs. section(s) which requires report:

This 30-day LER is required by Tech. Specs. section 6.9.1.9.b. due to the event's showing that the unit was not meeting the requirements of Tech. Specs. section 3.7.A.1.b.

Plant conditions at the time of the event(s):

This event occurred on 3/5/83 with reactor power at 1740 MWt (71%).

Detailed description of the event(s):

On 3/5/83, an operator discovered the torus water level to be increasing. The torus water level had increased to 150.5" on one of the redundant torus level recorders (1T48-R607B).

Consequences of the event(s):

Plant operation was not affected by this event. The torus water level was restored to within acceptable Tech. Specs. limits. The health and safety of the public were not affected by this non-repetitive event.

Status of redundant or backup subsystems and/or systems:

There is no redundant system for the torus.

Justification for continued operation:

Torus water level was restored to the acceptable limits as required by Tech. Specs. sections 3.7.A.1.a and b.

If repetitive, number of previous LER:

This event is non-repetitive.

Impact to other systems and/or Unit:

This event had no impact on any other Unit 1 systems or on Unit 2.

Cause(s) of the event(s):

The cause of this event was due to the high level alarm setpoint being set at the Tech. Specs. limit. When the high level alarm is received, the torus water level is at the Tech. Specs. limit. This does not allow the operator time to take corrective action before the Tech. Specs. limit is exceeded.

Narrative Report for LER 50-321/1983-028
Page Two

Immediate Corrective Action:

The torus water level was restored to within the required Tech. Specs. limit per sections 3.7.A.1.a and b.

Supplemental Corrective Action:

No supplemental corrective action is required.

Scheduled (future) corrective action:

The calibration procedures for torus water level will be reviewed and revised as necessary to examine alarm setpoints to ensure adequate time is allowed for action before Tech. Specs. limits are reached.

Action to prevent recurrence (if different from corrective actions):

The scheduled (future) corrective action is sufficient to preclude recurrence of this event.