



Entergy Operations

Entergy Operations, Inc.

Route 3 Box 137G
Russellville, AR 72801
Tel 501-964-8888

Neil S. "Buzz" Carns

Vice President
Operations ANO

April 18, 1991

0CAN049102

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: Arkansas Nuclear One - Units 1 & 2
Docket Nos. 50-313 & 50-368
License Nos. DPR-51 & NPF-6
Technical Specification Change Request
Section 6.0 - Administrative Controls

Gentlemen:

Entergy Operations proposes to revise the Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2 (ANO-1 & 2) Technical Specifications to replace the title "Director, Operations" in each unit's Section 6.0, "Administrative Controls" with the title "General Manager, Plant Operations". Entergy Operations also proposes to revise Sections 6.8.2 and 6.8.3 of the Technical Specifications to replace "General Manager" with "Major Department Head". These changes are a result of Entergy Operations' desire to utilize common titles for the same position at all of Entergy Operations facilities.

The proposed changes have been evaluated in accordance with 10CFR50.91(a)(1), using the criteria in 10CFR50.92(c) and it has been determined that this request involves no significant hazards considerations. The basis for this determination is included in the enclosed submittal.

The circumstances of the proposed amendment are neither exigent nor emergency; however, prompt NRC processing is requested. We also request that the amendment be effective 30 days after your approval and issuance, to allow time for procedure and other necessary administrative changes.

Very truly yours,

NSC:sgw
Attachments

9104240261 910418
PDR ADDCK 05000313
P PDR

A001
11

cc: Mr. Robert Martin
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region IV
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, TX 76011

NRC Resident Inspector
Arkansas Nuclear One - ANO-1 & 2
Number 1, Nuclear Plant Road
Russellville, AR 72801

Thomas W. Alexion
NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-1
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRR Mail Stop 11-D-23
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Ms. Sheri Peterson
NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-2
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRR Mail Stop 11-D-23
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Ms. Greta Dicus, Director
Division of Radiation Control
and Emergency Management
Arkansas Department of Health
4815 West Markham Street
Little Rock, AR 72201

STATE OF ARKANSAS)
)
COUNTY OF LOGAN)

SS

OATH

I, N. S. Carns, being duly sworn, subscribe to and say that I am Vice President, Operations ANO for Entergy Operations, Inc.; that I have full authority to execute this oath; that I have read the document numbered 0CAN049102 and know the contents thereof; and that to the best of my knowledge, information and belief the statements in it are true.

N. S. Carns
N. S. Carns

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO me, a Notary Public in and for the County and State above named, this 18th day of April, 1991.

Sandy Lieberman
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

May 11, 2000

PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

CHANGE AND

RESPECTIVE SAFETY ANALYSIS

IN THE MATTER OF AMENDING

LICENSE NOS. DPR-51 & NPF-6

ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNITS 1 & 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-313 & 50-368

PROPOSED CHANGE

The proposed change would replace the title "Director, Operations" with the title "General Manager, Plant Operations" in both unit's Section 6.0 of the Technical Specifications. Entergy Operations also proposes to revise Sections 6.8.2 and 6.8.3 of the Technical Specifications to replace "General Manager" with "Major Department Head".

DISCUSSION

The proposed changes are the result of Entergy Operations' desire to have common titles for the individuals with the same function at all three sites.

The position title of "Director, Operations" is being deleted and replaced with the position title "General Manager, Plant Operations". Also the position title "General Manager" is being deleted and replaced with the position "Major Department Head". The qualifications and functions of these positions remain the same. The only changes are in the title of the positions.

BASIS FOR PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

Entergy Operations has concluded that the proposed changes to Section 6.0 of the ANO-1 & 2 Technical Specifications do not involve a significant hazards consideration because the operation of ANO in accordance with these changes would not:

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes do not involve an increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because the change is administrative in nature. The technical qualifications of the organization remains the same.

These changes do not alter the configuration or operation of the facility. All Limiting Conditions of Operations, Limiting Safety Systems Settings and Safety Limits specified in the current Technical Specifications remain unchanged.

- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The safety analysis of the facility remains complete and accurate. There are no physical changes to the facility associated with these title changes and as such the plant conditions for which the design basis accidents have been performed are still valid. The plant operating and emergency procedures are unaffected with the possible exception of administrative changes to reflect the title changes. Therefore, the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated is not created.

- (3) Involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The margins of safety are established through the Limiting Conditions of Operations, Limiting Safety System Settings, and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications. Since there are no changes to the physical design or operation of the facility, these margins will not be changed.

CONCLUSION

The NRC has provided guidance in 51 F.R. 7750, dated March 6, 1986, concerning the application of the standards for determining whether a significant hazards consideration exists. The proposed amendment most closely matches example (i):

"A purely administrative change to Technical Specification: for example, a change to achieve consistency throughout the Technical Specification, correction of an error, or a change in nomenclature."

Based on the above evaluation, Entergy Operations concludes that the proposed Technical Specification changes do not constitute a significant hazards concern.