



Commonwealth Edison

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767
Chicago, Illinois 60690

April 5, 1983

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: LaSalle County Station Unit 1
Proposed Amendment to NPF-11
Appendix A Technical Specifications
Regarding Project Manager Position
Supplemental Information
NRC Docket No. 50-373

Reference (a): C. W. Schroeder letter to Director of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation dated
February 24, 1983.

Dear Sir:

Reference (a) requested a change to the LaSalle County Station Technical Specifications to incorporate the position of Project Manager. During discussions with Dr. Bournia, et al of your office, it was requested that additional background information be provided. The requested information has been enclosed in Attachment A to this letter.

One (1) signed original and forty (40) copies of this letter and Attachment A are provided for your use.

If there are any further questions in this matter, please contact this office.

Very truly yours,

CW Schroeder 4/5/83

C. W. Schroeder
Nuclear Licensing Administrator

lm

Attachment A

cc: NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

6256N

8304110423 830405
PDR ADOCK 05000373
P PDR

13001

ATTACHMENT A

LaSalle County Station Unit 1

Technical Specification Change Request

NPF-11/83-01

Subject: Revised Technical Specification
Corporate Management Figure 6.1-1.

Background and Discussion:

The proposed Technical Specification change to Figure 6.1-1 puts the LaSalle County Station Superintendent under the LaSalle County Station Project Manager.

The change will allow the LaSalle Project Manager to supervise both the operation and construction phases of the LaSalle plant and provide for smooth information exchange and resolution of problems.

The Project Manager, under the proposed change, will be better able to support the Station Superintendent in coordination of construction activities between the Operating plant and the plant under Construction. Experience at Dresden, Quad Cities, and Zion have shown that split responsibility on a site with Operations and Construction occurring concurrently leads to gray areas of overlapping jurisdiction.

Dresden and Quad Cities were turnkey projects built by General Electric who maintained coordination between Operations and Construction activities between the Operating Unit and Construction Unit at the later stages of plant construction. Zion was managed by Edison and at the later stages of construction required high level intervention by Edison off-site upper management to achieve coordination. This arrangement had the potential to distract Operations from the Operating Unit.

The highest priority of the Station Superintendent continues to be the safe operation of the licensed unit per the license and the Technical Specifications. This new arrangement gives added assurance that the safe operation of the plant will not be compromised by construction activities, decisions, and priorities because construction and operating activities are now coordinated under one position. The Commonwealth Edison Company's concern and priority of safe operation of the licensed unit is also reflected by the background of the two individuals who have occupied the position of Project Manager at LaSalle. In both cases, the individual had previously held an SRO license, had a strong operating plant background, and his last assignment had been as a Station Superintendent for several years at Commonwealth Edison Company's Nuclear Stations.

For all actions regarding NRC issues concerning the license (including the Technical Specifications) or the health and safety of the public, the station superintendent will report to the Division Vice President, Nuclear Stations, as indicated by a footnote on the revised Figure 6.1-1.

Conclusion:

Commonwealth Edison Company finds no unreviewed safety question involved.

lm

6054N