

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: 1

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 V A S P S 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 4 5

CONT

01 L 6 0 5 0 0 0 2 8 1 7 0 4 3 0 8 3 8 0 5 2 7 3 3 9

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10

02 With Unit No. 2 at 100% power, PT-27 revealed that amp readings for heat tracing

03 Panel 8, circuits 5A and 22A were below the acceptance criteria stipulated in the

04 PT. This event is contrary to T.S.3.3.A.4 and is reportable per T.S.6.6.2.b.(2).

05 The redundant heat tracing circuits were operable, therefore, the health and safety

06 of the public were not affected.

07

08

09 S H 11 12 13 H E A T E R 14 Z 15 Z 16

17 8 3 0 2 2 0 3 L 0

18 C 18 F 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 0 Y 23 N 24 J 25 T 1 8 5 25

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27

10 The loss of heat tracing was due to excessive heat. The defective heat tracing was

11 replaced and tested. A design change has been prepared to change the manner by

12 which these borated lines are heat traced. Installation of this design change has

13 commenced.

14

15 E 1 0 0 28 N/A E 31 Periodic Test 32

16 Z 33 Z 34 N/A N/A 36

17 0 0 0 37 Z 38 N/A 39

18 0 0 0 40 N/A 41

19 Z 42 N/A 43

20 N 44 8306070243 830527 PDR ADOCK 05000281 PDR S 45

NAME OF PREPARED J. L. Wilson

PHONE (804) 357-3184

ATTACHMENT 1

SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO: 50-281

REPORT NO: 83-022/03L-0

EVENT DATE: 04-30-83

TITLE OF THE EVENT: HEAT TRACING FAILURE

1. Description of the Event

With Unit No. 2 at 100% power, PT-27 revealed that the amp readings for heat tracing Panels 8, circuits 5A and 22A (Recirculation to the BIT and filter outlet to blender respectively) were below the acceptance criteria stipulated in the PT. This event is contrary to Technical Specification 3.3.A.4 and is reportable per Technical Specification 6.6.b.(2).

2. Probable Consequences and Status of Redundant Equipment

The heat tracing circuits are intended to maintain a fluid temperature above that needed for flow. The redundant heat tracing circuits were operable, therefore, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. Cause

The loss of heat tracing was due to excessive heat.

4. Immediate Corrective Action

The immediate corrective action was to verify that the redundant circuits were operable.

5. Subsequent Corrective Action

The defective heat tracing tape was replaced and tested within the time span specified by Technical Specifications.

6. Action Taken to Prevent Recurrence

No additional actions were deemed necessary.

7. Generic Implications

A task force has reviewed the total spectrum of the heat tracing system and a Design Change has been prepared as a result of the Task Force study. The design change has been completed on Unit 1 CVCS. Unit 2 CVCS will be completed during the forthcoming refueling outage.