

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 I L D R S 2 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 4 5
LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 37 CAT 38

01 REPORT SOURCE L 6 0 5 0 0 0 2 3 7 7 0 4 2 5 8 3 3 0 5 1 7 8 3 9
80 81 DOCKET NUMBER 88 89 EVENT DATE 94 95 REPORT DATE 99

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 During unit startup, a reactor water sample was not pulled every four hours as
 03 required by Tech Spec 4.6.C.2. The sample scheduled to be pulled at 1615 was
 04 not pulled until 1755. The event was of minimal safety significance since the
 05 sample indicated that chloride concentration and conductivity were within tech
 06 spec limits. There was no effect on public health or safety. Last occurrence
 07 of this type reported on Docket 50-237 by R.O. 81-47/03L-0.

08 _____

09 SYSTEM CODE CAUSE CODE CAUSE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE COMP SUBCODE VALVE SUBCODE
 P 3 11 A 12 D 13 Z Z Z Z Z Z 14 Z 15 Z 16
9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20

17 LER RO REPORT NUMBER 8 3 21 22
 03 23
 SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 0 3 2 24 26
 OCCURRENCE CODE 0 3 28 29
 REPORT TYPE L 30
 REVISION NO. 0 32

ACTION TAKEN H 18 Z 19 33 34
 FUTURE ACTION Z 20 35
 EFFECT ON PLANT Z 21 36
 SHUTDOWN METHOD 0 0 0 0 37 40
 HOURS 22
 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED N 23 41
 NPD-4 FORM SUB. N 24 42
 PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER Z 25 43
 COMPONENT MANUFACTURER Z 9 9 9 26 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 The cause was due to a lack of communication between Rad Chem Technicians during
 11 shift change, and failure to use the flashing sign that signals the next sampling
 12 time. A sample was immediately pulled. The Rad Chem Department was reminded
 13 of the importance of complying with Tech Specs. Responsibilities of sampling
 14 will be reassigned to prevent recurrence.

15 _____

16 FACILITY STATUS C 28 9 10
 % POWER 0 0 0 29 11
 OTHER STATUS N/A 30 44
 METHOD OF DISCOVERY B 31 45
 DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION Rad Chem Technician Observation 32 80

17 ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE Z 33 Z 34 10 11
 AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY N/A 35 44
 LOCATION OF RELEASE N/A 36 45

18 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39
 0 0 0 37 Z 38 N/A 11 12 13

19 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41
 0 0 0 40 N/A 11 12

20 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE DESCRIPTION 43
 Z 42 N/A 9 10

21 PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION 45
 N 44 N/A 9 10

8305310182 330517
 PDR ADOCK 03000237
 S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER Leslie Turnquest

PHONE (815) 942-2920 x489



Commonwealth Edison

Dresden Nuclear Power Station
R.R. #1
Morris, Illinois 60450
Telephone 815/942-2920

May 17, 1983

DJS Ltr #83-488

James G. Keppler, Regional Director
Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Reportable Occurrence Report #83-32/03L-0, Docket #050-237 is being submitted to your office in accordance with Dresden Nuclear Power Station Technical Specification 6.6.B.2.(c), observed inadequacies in the implementation of administrative or procedural controls which threaten to cause reduction of degree of redundancy provided in reactor protection systems or engineered safety feature systems.

D.J. Scott
Station Superintendent
Dresden Nuclear Power Station

DJS/kjl

Enclosure

cc: Director of Enforcement
Director of Management Information & Program Control
U.S. NRC, Document Management Branch
File/NRC

IE22
11
MAY 26 1983



Commonwealth Edison

DEVIATION REPORT

DVR NO. 12 - 2 - 83 - 64
STA UNIT YEAR NO.

PART 1 TITLE OF DEVIATION

Failure to Collect 4 Hour Sample During Startup

OCCURRED

4-25-83
DATE1615
TIME

SYSTEM AFFECTED 2100

PLANT STATUS AT TIME OF EVENT

Process Sampling

MODE Startup, PWR(MWT) Critical, LOAD(MWE) 0TESTING
☐ YES ☒ NO

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

Unit 2 reactor water sample was not pulled until 1755: Should have

been pulled at 1615. An apparent mis-communication between RCT turning over ongoing job at shift change and Rad Chem Supervision. Also, the flashing red sign indicating next sample time was not being used in the lab. The next sample was taken at 2015.

10 CFR50.72 NRC RED PHONE
NOTIFICATION MADE

☐ YES ☒ NO

EQUIPMENT FAILURE

N/A

☐ YES ☒ NO

WORK REQUEST NO.

RESPONSIBLE SUPERVISOR J. Gates

DATE 4-25-83

PART 2 OPERATING ENGINEER'S COMMENTS

The operating department discussed with the Radiation Protection Department the necessity of and requirement by Technical Specification for taking timely reactor water samples.

☐ EVENT OF PUBLIC INTEREST☐ TECH. SPEC. VIOLATION☐ NON REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE☐ 14 DAY REPORTABLE/T.S.☒ 30 DAY REPORTABLE/T.S. 6.6.B.2.c☐ ANNUAL/SPECL REPORT REQ'D

A.I.R. #

L.E.R. # 83-32/03L-0☐ 24-HOUR NRC NOTIFICATION REQ'DTELEPH N/A

REGION III

DATE

TIME

TELEGM/TELECOPY N/A

REGION III

DATE

TIME

☐ CECO CORPORATE NOTIFICATION MADE
IF ABOVE NOTIFICATION IS PER 10CFR21

☐ 5-DAY WRITTEN REPORT REQ'D PER 10CFR21

Telecopy

TELEPH

Dennis P. Galle

CECO CORPORATE OFFICER

4-27-83

1342

DATE

TIME

PRELIMINARY REPORT
COMPLETED AND REVIEWED

John M. Almer

OPERATING ENGINEER

4-27-83

DATE

INVESTIGATED REPORT & RESOLUTION
ACCEPTED BY STATION REVIEW

J. Brunner
5/23/83

Michael M. Regit
5-23-83

RESOLUTION APPROVED AND
AUTHORIZED FOR DISTRIBUTION

R.M. Pagan Jr.
STATION SUPERINTENDENT

5/23/83
DATE