

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: 

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0	1	N	C	B	E	P	1	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	1	1	1	1	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

  
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T

0	1	L	6	0	5	0	-	0	3	2	5	7	0	6	2	5	8	2	8	0	7	2	3	8	2	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

  
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | Routine surveillance during plant operation revealed that primary containment

0 3 | atmosphere oxygen analyzer, 1-CAC-AT-1259, was indicating an unexpected upscale

0 4 | drywell oxygen concentration. At the time, redundant analyzer, 1-CAC-AT-1263, was

0 5 | indicating a normally expected drywell oxygen concentration. This event occurred

0 6 | again on July 5, 1982. Neither event affected the health and safety of the public.

0 7 | Technical Specifications 3.3.5.3, 3.6.6.4, 6.9.1.9b

0	9	S	E	E	F	I	N	S	T	R	U	Y	Z	8	2	0	5	7	0	3	L	0	B	F	Z	Z	0	0	0	0	N	Y	N	B	1	3	5
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

  
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | The June 25, 1982, event occurred due to a failure of the analyzer electromagnetic

1 1 | unit photocell light attributed to end of life. The light was replaced and the

1 2 | analyzer, Model No. F3M3, was calibrated and returned to service. The July 5, 1982

1 3 | event resulted from ambient air inleakage due to a deteriorated and cracked hose

1 4 | on the analyzer electromagnetic unit rear assembly. The hose was repaired and the

7 8 9 analyzer returned to service.

1	5	E	0	8	2	NA	A	Operator Surveillance
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	-----------------------

  
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80

NAME OF PREPARER M. J. Pastva, Jr.

PHONE 919-457-9521

8208020107 520723  
PDR ADOCK 05000325  
S PDR

NRC USE ONLY