



**Commonwealth Edison**

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois  
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767  
Chicago, Illinois 60690

April 22, 1982

Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief  
Licensing Branch #2  
Division of Licensing  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555



Subject: LaSalle County Station Units 1 & 2  
Comments and Clarifications on  
Meeting Transcript, March 31, 1982  
NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Reference (a): Transcript, United States of America  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Docket  
Nos. 50-373 and 50-374, Room P-422,  
7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, MD,  
Wednesday, March 31, 1982.

Dear Mr. Schwencer:

Commonwealth Edison Company has reviewed Reference (a).  
This review provided three types of comments:

TYP0: Misspelling.

CORRECTION: Wording change to correct the transcript  
to what was actually said.

CLARIFICATION: Wording change proposed by CECO to  
add clarity to what was said.

These comments are contained in Attachment A to this  
letter.

Commonwealth Edison Company believes that the transcript  
accurately represents our position on the allegations contained in  
the petition from the Attorney General of the State of Illinois.  
Where clarifications are listed in our comments, they are presented  
to remove any doubt as to our intent.

Commonwealth Edison does not intend to update this  
transcript in the future. It is our intention to provide you with a  
final report in the near future which will summarize the results of  
our review of damaged rebar due to drilling or coring holes.

By copy of this letter, our comments are being transmitted  
to the Attorney General of the State of Illinois and to Alderson  
Reporting.

8204270192

Boo1  
S.11

A. Schwencer

- 2 -

April 22, 1982

If there are any further questions in this matter, please contact this office.

Very truly yours,

*CW Schroeder* 4/22/82

C. W. Schroeder  
Nuclear Licensing Administrator

lm

Attachment

cc: Attorney General, State of IL  
Alderson Reporting  
NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

3822N

# ATTACHMENT A

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Comment</u>
2	7	L. DelGeorge (Typo)
2	13	V. Reklaitis (Typo)
6	4	. . . Lou DelGeorge . . . (Typo)
6	21	MR. REKLAITIS: V. Reklaitis . . . (Typo)
9	3	DelGeorge . . . (Typo)
10	9	<u>floor</u> should be <u>roof</u> (Clarification)
10	25	<u>Commonwealth</u> should be <u>County</u> (Correction)
15	18	<u>deficiency</u> should be <u>concern</u> . The review established that there was no deficiency (Clarification)
16	13	<u>measured</u> should be <u>mentioned</u> (Correction)
18	25	MR. REKLAITIS: . . . (Typo)
19	5	MR. REKLAITIS: . . . (Typo)
20	11	. . . Mr. DelGeorge (Typo)
21	1	line should read "negative moment, bottom <u>reinforcing</u> carrying positive moment". The insertion of <u>reinforcing</u> provides technical accuracy (Clarification)
23	17	<u>controlled</u> (Typo)
23	23	line should read "evaluation of all reported" The words "structural -- or" should be removed. Intent was to say reinforcement (see line 24) (Clarification)
24	2	<u>repaired</u> should be <u>impaired</u> (Correction)
26	2	<u>both</u> should be <u>those</u> (Correction)
26	14	<u>ther</u> should be <u>there</u> (Typo)
28	19	<u>fromn</u> should be <u>from</u> (Typo)
30	16	<u>core</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
30	17	<u>drill</u> should be <u>drilled</u> (Typo)
30	18	<u>core</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Comment</u>
30	19	<u>core</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
30	25	<u>core</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
31	2	<u>core</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
32	8	<u>audited</u> should be <u>routed</u> (Correction)
32	9	<u>auditing</u> should be <u>routing</u> (Correction)
32	14	<u>core hold</u> should be <u>cored hole</u> (Typo)
32	24	<u>audit</u> should be <u>routed</u> (correction)
33	24	<u>is</u> should be <u>has</u> (Typo)
34	6	<u>of</u> should be <u>on</u> (Typo)
35	7	<u>core</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
36	9	<u>distress</u> should be <u>stress</u> (Correction) <u>reiforcing</u> should be <u>reinforcing</u> (Typo)
36	19	<u>reduction</u> should be <u>increase</u> . In this context, we are increasing the stress levels and/or reducing our acceptable levels. (Clarification)
37	4	<u>cord</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
37	22-25	Change to read "could not tolerate any more bars being cut. That did not occur until the latter stages of drilling operations, primarily 1979 through 1981." (Clarification)
38	1, 2	Omit. See p. 37 lines 22 through 25 (Clarification)
38	18	<u>tewo</u> should be <u>two</u> (Typo)
38	20	<u>core</u> should be <u>quarter</u> (Correction)
40	14	Add: MR. LONGLAIS: Speakers changed at this point (Correction)
41	7,8,9	Change to read " <u>drawings on which we have marked the location of damaged rebar reports submitted due to the drilling operations and the assumed rebar damage locations due to the coring of mechanical equipment foundation anchor bolts.</u> " (Clarification)
41	16	Change to read " <u>contacted in safety related areas of Unit 1</u> " (Clarification)

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Comment</u>
41	20	Change to read "MR. LONGLAIS: Yes, that is for Unit 1 <u>safety-related areas</u> " (Clarification)
42	11-12	Replace with "recently plotted the core holes associated with the mechanical equipment foundation anchor bolts which are shown on Mechanical Drawings" (Clarification)
43	3	<u>drill</u> should be <u>drilled</u> (Typo)
44	4	Change to read "span, <u>in</u> the top of two-way slabs, <u>and</u> in the middle span" (Clarification)
47	5	Change to read "and are in force prior to going into the <u>drilling</u> operations. During" (Clarification)
48	2	<u>nipped</u> should be <u>nicked</u> (Typo)
48	4	Change <u>4-A</u> to <u>3-A</u> (Correction)
48	14	Change to read "towards the latter part <u>of 1977</u> or the early part" (Clarification)
48	17	Change <u>studying of</u> to <u>starting</u> (Correction)
48	19	<u>poured</u> should be <u>cored</u> (Typo)
53	17,18,19	Change to read "Mr. Longlais: <u>To the extent of all reports received.</u> " (Clarification)
53	24	<u>in the house</u> should be <u>in our house</u> (Typo)
53	25	Change to read "submitted to us are nothing more than a <u>copy of previous documents received at Sargent &amp; Lundy; - - we are going</u> " (Clarification)
54	4	Change to read "That is the review that is <u>presently</u> taking place. The" (Clarification)
54	13	Change <u>entire sets of</u> to <u>RHS</u> (Clarification)
54	16	Add: <u>Note: A revised slide will be provided in the final report from Commonwealth Edison. This is being revised based on the final review by Sargent and Lundy.</u> (Clarification)
55	20-22	Change to read "Mr. Longlais: These are the <u>locations of reinforcing steel damaged due to drilling</u> ; these are the <u>locations</u> due to damage due to coring" (Clarification)

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Comment</u>
56	10	Change 1.33 to 3.33 (Clarification)
57	15	<u>nick</u> should be <u>nicked</u> (Typo)
60	20-22	Change to read "MR. REKLAITIS: We had some <u>expansion anchor holes in the containment wall. There were no core holes through these walls.</u> They were for" (Clarification)
61	1	MR. REKLAITIS: (Typo)
	5	MR. REKLAITIS: (Typo)
	6	Change to read "did not compromise the boundary <u>integrity of the containment.</u> " (Clarification)
62	21	<u>phalanges</u> should be <u>flanges</u> (typo)
63	14	<u>that</u> should be <u>there</u> (Typo)
64	3	<u>ware</u> should be <u>aware</u> (Typo)
64	4	<u>This statement was true at the date of this meeting. Since then, as a result of this review, it was determined that there were some discrepancies. Therefore, this review and evaluation, though substantially complete, is continuing. We still are not aware that these reports represent any problems in this matter. This matter was discussed with NRC personnel during their field review.</u> (Clarification)
64	14	<u>LSC</u> should be <u>LSC EA</u> (Typo)
68	5	<u>replacement</u> should be <u>displacement</u> (Typo)
68	12	Change <u>the number</u> to <u>some</u> (Clarification)
69	7	<u>required required</u> should be <u>required</u> (Typo)
71	20	Change to read "each of the concrete elements. We have done <u>a selected</u> " (Clarification)
74	17-19	Change to read "MR REKLAITIS: There are two cuts that were noted <u>in the off gas building and they were observed, and there are several nicks which are not detrimental. There were no reported cuts in the roof reinforcing steel</u> (Clarification)