

CONTROL BLOCK: 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

 (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

CON'T

|   |   |               |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |               |   |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |            |   |   |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---------------|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|---|---|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0 | 1 | REPORT SOURCE |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | DOCKET NUMBER |   |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |   | EVENT DATE |   |   |    |    |  |  |  |  |  | REPORT DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8 | L             | 6  | 0  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7             | 0 | 4  | 1  | 1 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0          | 9 | 8 | 3  | 9  |  |  |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | 60            | 61 | 68 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |               |   | 69 | 74 |   |   |   |   |   |   |            |   |   | 75 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

10 (NP-33-83-22) On 4/11/83 at 0800 hours, the Shift Supervisor for training and testing

03 observed that the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) Level Verification Surveillance Test,

ST 5095.01, due date was 0003 hours on 4/11/83. He immediately ordered that

ST 5095.01 be performed and determined that the Technical Specification (TS) late

date was 0750 hours on 4/11/83. The unit was placed in the action statement of TS

3.9.11. There was no danger to the health and safety of the public or station per-

sonnel. The SFP level had never been below its minimum TS requirement.

|                             |   |                      |    |                                |                    |                             |    |                       |    |                           |                    |                                   |    |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----|-----------------------|----|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 0 9                         |   | SYSTEM CODE<br>I C   |    | CAUSE CODE<br>A                | CAUSE SUBCODE<br>A | COMPONENT CODE<br>Z Z Z Z Z |    |                       |    | COMP. SUBCODE<br>Z        | VALVE SUBCODE<br>Z | REVISION NO.                      |    |
| 7                           | 8 | 9                    | 10 | 11                             | 12                 | 13                          | 14 | 15                    | 16 | 17                        | 18                 | 19                                | 20 |
| LER/RO REPORT NUMBER<br>8 3 |   | EVENT YEAR<br>8 3    |    | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.<br>0 1 7 |                    | OCCURRENCE CODE<br>/ 3      |    | REPORT TYPE<br>L      |    | ACTION TAKEN<br>H Z       |                    | FUTURE ACTION<br>Z                |    |
| 21                          |   | 22                   |    | 23                             |                    | 24                          |    | 25                    |    | 26                        |                    | 27                                |    |
| EFFECT ON PLANT<br>Z        |   | SHUTDOWN METHOD<br>Z |    | HOURS<br>0 0 0                 |                    | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED<br>Y   |    | NPRD-4 FORM SUB.<br>N |    | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER<br>Z |                    | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER<br>Z 9 9 9 |    |
| 28                          |   | 29                   |    | 30                             |                    | 31                          |    | 32                    |    | 33                        |                    | 34                                |    |
| 35                          |   | 36                   |    | 37                             |                    | 38                          |    | 39                    |    | 40                        |                    | 41                                |    |
| 42                          |   | 43                   |    | 44                             |                    | 45                          |    | 46                    |    | 47                        |                    | 48                                |    |

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 | The cause was personnel error. Operations shift personnel failed to pay proper atten-

tion to the surveillance test (ST) computer schedule. The SFP level was verified to

be within limits within 40 minutes after the required time, removing the unit from the

1 2 | action statement. A memo will be prepared advising all Operations personnel that it

3 4 | is imperative that ST schedules be closely monitored and adhered to.

8 9 FACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS (30) METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)

1 5 E (28) 0 7 1 (29) NA A (31) Operator observation

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

ACTIVITY CONTENT  
RELEASED OF RELEASE

1 6 Z 33 Z 34 NA

7 8 9 10 11

AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35)

NA

45

LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)

| PERSONNEL EXPOSURES |   |      |   |                  |      |   |      |    |  |
|---------------------|---|------|---|------------------|------|---|------|----|--|
| NUMBER              |   | TYPE |   | DESCRIPTION (39) |      |   |      |    |  |
| 1                   | 7 | 0    | 0 | 0                | (37) | Z | (38) | NA |  |

| PERSONNEL INJURIES |   | DESCRIPTION |    |
|--------------------|---|-------------|----|
| NUMBER             |   |             |    |
| 1                  | 2 | 3           | 4  |
| 0                  | 0 | 0           | 40 |
|                    |   | NA          |    |

| 8                             |   | 9                                       |      | 11   |  | 12 |  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|------|------|--|----|--|
| LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY |   |   |      | (43) |  |    |  |
| TYPE                          |   | DESCRIPTION                             |      |      |  |    |  |
| 1                             | 9 | Z                                       | (42) | NA   |  |    |  |
|                               |   | 8305240219 830509<br>PDR ADOCK 05000346 |      |      |  |    |  |

7 8 9 10 S PDR 8

PUBLICITY  
ISSUED DESCRIPTION (45)

(2) (0) (N) (44) NA

NRC USE ONLY

NRC USE ONLY

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY  
DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT ONE  
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR LER NP-33-83-22

DATE OF EVENT: April 11, 1983

FACILITY: Davis-Besse Unit 1

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE: Late performance of Spent Fuel Pool Level Verification Surveillance Test ST 5095.01

Conditions Prior to Occurrence: The unit was in Mode 1, with Power (MWT) = 1957 and Load (Gross MWE) = 672.

Description of Occurrence: On the evening of April 10, 1983, the unit was in the process of increasing power to full load after a shutdown. On the morning of April 11, 1983 at 0800 hours, the Shift Supervisor for training and testing arrived for duty, reviewed the surveillance test computer schedule, and observed that the ST 5095.01 due date was April 11, 1983 at 0003 hours. He immediately ordered that ST 5095.01 be performed and determined from the Surveillance Test Engineer that the Technical Specification late date was April 11, 1983 at 0750 hours. The unit was placed in the action statement of Technical Specification 3.9.11.

Designation of Apparent Cause of Occurrence: An investigation determined that Operations shift personnel failed to pay proper attention to the surveillance test computer schedule which adequately determines the times and dates by which surveillance tests are to be completed.

Analysis of Occurrence: There was no danger to the health and safety of the public or station personnel. The spent fuel pool level was physically verified to be within Technical Specification limits by 0830 hours on April 11, 1983, and had never been below its minimum Technical Specification requirement. Spent fuel pool level is also monitored by level indication in the Control Room and computer alarms for spent fuel pool level and temperature are also provided, all of which were operational.

Corrective Action: The spent fuel pool level was physically verified to be within limits within 40 minutes after the required time, removing the unit from the action statement. A memo will be prepared by the Operations Engineer advising all Operations personnel that it is imperative that surveillance test schedules be closely monitored and strictly adhered to. Due to the great number of surveillance tests performed during the power increase, this occurrence is considered an isolated case, and no further corrective action is deemed necessary.

Failure Data: Although there have been several occurrences of missed surveillance tests due to personnel errors, only one Licensee Event Report, NP-32-82-06 (82-044) has occurred within the last year.



May 9, 1983

Log No. K83-699  
File: RR2 (NP-33-83-22)

Docket No. 50-346  
License No. NPF-3

Mr. James G. Keppler  
Regional Administrator, Region III  
Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr. Keppler:

LER No. 83-017  
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1  
Date of Occurrence: April 11, 1983

Enclosed are three copies of Licensee Event Report 83-017 which are being submitted in accordance with Technical Specification 6.9 to provide 30 day written notification of the subject occurrence.

Yours truly,

Terry D. Murray  
Station Superintendent  
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

TDM/ljk

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Richard DeYoung, Director  
Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
Encl: 30 copies

Mr. Norman Haller, Director  
Office of Management and Program Analysis  
Encl: 3 copies

Mr. Tom Peebles  
NRC Resident Inspector  
Encl: 1 copy

1E82

MAY 13 1983