

EXCLUDED CORRESPONDENCE

Filed: February 14, 1983

DOCKETED  
USNRC

'83 FEB 15 P4:01

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
before the  
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW	)	
HAMPSHIRE, et al.	)	Docket Nos. 50-443 OL
	)	50-444 OL
(Seabrook Station, Units 1 & 2)	)	
	)	

APPLICANTS' TWENTIETH MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY DISPOSITION  
(CONTENTION NECNP III.2)

Pursuant to 10 CFR § 2.749, the Applicants hereby  
move for summary disposition of contention NECNP III.2

This contention raises the issue of the ability of  
the Applicants to cope with one or more emergencies  
affecting both units at the same time. The contention  
is founded upon alleged non-compliance with 10 CFR §  
50.47(b)(1)-(13), 15. The affidavit of James A.

8302180465 830214  
PDR ADOCK 05000443  
G PDR

DS03

MacDonald, attached hereto and upon which this motion is submitted, provides a section-by-section analysis showing Seabrook's compliance with the cited regulations.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas G. Dignan, Jr.  
R. K. Gad III  
Ropes & Gray  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
Telephone: 423-6100

Dated: February 14, 1983

STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS  
AS TO WHICH THERE IS NO DISPUTE

1. The implementation of public protective action is triggered by an extant radiological threat; it is of no moment if the source is one or two units.
2. Only one communication link is needed to police and other officials in the event of an emergency regardless of whether or not two units are involved.
3. There are separate Technical Support Centers thus assuring separately dedicated support staffs.