

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

'83 JAN 26 10:49

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

James P. Gleason, Chairman

Frederick J. Shon

Dr. Oscar H. Paris

In the Matter of

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF  
NEW YORK, INC.  
(Indian Point, Unit No. 2)

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF  
NEW YORK  
(Indian Point, Unit No. 3)

Docket Nos.  
50-247 SP  
50-286 SP

January 24, 1983

LICENSEES' TESTIMONY OF STANLEY KAPLAN  
ON BOARD QUESTION 1.2

ATTORNEYS FILING THIS DOCUMENT:

Brent L. Brandenburg  
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY  
OF NEW YORK, INC.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 460-4600

Charles Morgan, Jr.  
Paul F. Colarulli  
Joseph J. Levin, Jr.  
MORGAN ASSOCIATES, CHARTERED  
1899 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 466-7000

DS02

## I. Introduction

My name is Stanley Kaplan, Ph.D. I am an associate consultant at Pickard, Lowe and Garrick, Inc. My main area of work is in probability theory, risk and decision analysis, and particularly in probabilistic risk assessment methodology. I was a principal investigator on the Indian Point Probabilistic Safety Study. A statement of my professional qualifications is attached.

Board Question 1.2 asks:

What bearing, if any, do the results reported in NUREG/CR-2497, "Precursors to Potential Severe Core Damage Accidents: 1969-1979, A Status Report" (1982), have upon the reliability of the IPPSS [Indian Point Probabilistic Safety Study]? For example, are there specific accident scenarios at Indian Point whose probability may have been inaccurately estimated in light of the real-life data reported and analyzed in NUREG/CR-2497?

## II. Response

NUREG/CR-2497, "Precursors to Potential Severe Core Damage Accidents: 1969-1979, A Status Report" (1982) attempts to calculate the frequency of severe core damage (SCD) accidents in domestic nuclear power plants as a whole without any effort to distinguish between different plants or types of plants. It did this by sifting the Licensee Event Reports (LERs) for the period 1969-1979 and identifying incidents which it calls "precursors for potential

severe core damage accidents." It then put these precursors through an event tree-type calculation procedure and derived an SCD frequency of  $1.7$  to  $4.6 \times 10^{-3}$  per year. This range of values is significantly higher than that calculated in most other probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs). For example, the Reactor Safety Study (RSS) calculated a core melt frequency of  $5 \times 10^{-5}$ , a factor of almost 100 less. The question, therefore, arises as to whether the NUREG invalidates the RSS, the process of PRA in general, and the IPFSS results in particular.

To address this question, it is helpful to paraphrase the methodology and line of argument of this NUREG. The essence of it is as follows:

Up through 1979 we have had 432 years of reactor operation and one SCD accident, namely, TMI. We have also had a number of "near misses", e.g., Browns Ferry and Rancho Seco. We assign each of these near misses a "severity factor," which we get from the event trees. Adding these up, we consider that the near misses all together are the equivalent of about one more SCD accident. So we consider that the statistical experience, through 1979, is about two SCD events in 432 years which gives a frequency of  $2/432 = 4.6 \times 10^{-3}$ .

The way in which NUREG/CR-2497 evaluated those near misses can be and has been subject to much criticism both from an engineering modeling basis (i.e., inaccuracies and oversimplifications in the event tree/severity factor work) and on the basis of statistical logic (e.g., that near

misses should not be counted at all). For example, with respect to the near miss contribution to SCD frequency, the Institute of Nuclear Power Operation (INPO) review of the NUREG (Ref. 1) concludes that the NUREG calculation of this contribution is about 30 times too high for the plants as they were at the time the events occurred. Furthermore, this review points out that the NUREG did not recognize the many improvements that have been made in light of the lessons learned from the events. Thus, INPO concludes that the NUREG frequencies are not appropriate either for past or future performance.

While I agree with much of this criticism, for our purposes here the evaluation of the near misses is not the main issue. For whether we consider that the experienced frequency of SCDs is one or two in 432 years makes little difference; both numbers are very different from the RSS results.

With regard to the RSS result, it should be noted that first counting all free world nuclear power reactors, there are today about 1,500 plant years of experience. Thus, our statistical evidence is now  $1/1,500$  rather than  $1/432$ . Secondly, the RSS result is for a different event, core melt rather than severe core damage, in a different type of plant, Surry rather than TMI.



With respect to the PRA process itself, we should note that a PRA is, basically, a way of calculating the frequency of compound events from the frequencies of the "elemental" events which together make up the compound event. The way in which this is done is just pure logic. The NUREG does not in any way impugn or invalidate the basic process or methodology of PRA. It itself uses PRA methodology in its calculation of severity factors. Thus PRA, in general, in our view, is not called into question by the NUREG. However, in any particular application of PRA, there can, of course, be errors or omissions in logic or arithmetic. Thus, the Board's question appropriately asks specifically if the frequency of any IPPSS scenarios should be changed in light of the NUREG data.

The answer to this is that the data reported in the NUREG, and the incidents analyzed there were known to the IPPSS analysts at the time of their study. This knowledge was included in the scenario modeling and in the frequency calculations included in the IPPSS, along with the data from their own review of the LERs and, most importantly, along with the specific operating data from the Indian Point plants. The publication of the NUREG, thus, provided nothing basically new. It did, however, provide a useful focus of attention, particularly on the role of human errors of commission in the accidents of the past. It thus pro-

vided an opportunity to reexamine the IPPSS scenarios, particularly from the human error standpoint and from the standpoint of implementation of the lessons learned from past events. After this reexamination, the IPPSS analysts confirmed that the probability curves for the IPPSS scenario frequencies accurately express our state of knowledge of those frequencies, and that none of them require change in light of the NUREG. This statement is particularly true for the scenarios included in the IPPSS study which represent incidents of the TMI-type.

Further, it is worth noting that fundamental differences in the design of the Indian Point and TMI plants make it vastly less likely that scenarios of the TMI-type could occur at Indian Point. Among these design differences are the use at Indian Point of drum type steam generators with greater heat capacity (in the form of secondary coolant inventory), greater heat capacity of the primary coolant system (in the form of primary coolant inventory), and a reactor trip signal that would respond immediately to a loss of feedwater condition. Hence, at Indian Point, there would be no immediate primary system pressure rise in response to a loss of feedwater. Even if the auxiliary feedwater were delayed as much as 20 to 30 minutes, the primary system would not experience a pressure transient, and the relief

valve would not lift; therefore, it could not stick and could not lead to a loss of coolant.

If auxiliary feed were delayed longer than this, and if the relief valve were to lift and stick open, there is, at Indian Point, direct monitoring of the position of this valve so that the operators would be aware of its stuck condition. They could then act to block the valve and/or inject coolant, thus bringing the plant to a stable condition with no core damage. The heat removal path required for this stability is provided by bleed and feed, forced circulation, and/or by natural circulation cooling which, at the Indian Point plants, is greatly enhanced by the elevated steam generator design.

One thing that has become clear through the post-RSS PRAs is that the frequency of core melt or damage can be very different from plant to plant because of design differences. For example, various PRAs of different nuclear power plants have reported core melt frequencies ranging from about  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  per reactor year (Ref. 2), a difference of about a factor of 50. Even within the same plant, the core melt frequency can change when there is a design modification. This was observed in the development of the IPPSS when such modifications were made to the Indian Point plants.

Finally, it should be recalled from the IPPSS study and the licensees' direct testimony on question 1 that a complete treatment of core melt frequency should be done with full probability curves rather than single number "point" estimates and that core melt frequency itself is a poor indicator of public health risks at Indian Point.

#### REFERENCES

1. Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, "Review of NRC Report: Precursors to Potential Severe Core Damage Accidents: 1969-1979, A Status Report," NUREG/CR-2497, 1982.
2. Memorandum from William J. Dircks to NRC Commissioners (Jan. 5, 1983).



## NAME

STANLEY KAPLAN

## EDUCATION

Senior Post-Doctoral Fellowship, University of Southern California, 1967-1969.

Ph.D., Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, 1960. Post-doctoral courses in mathematics at the University of Pittsburgh and Carnegie Institute of Technology, 1960-1965.

M.S., Mechanical Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, 1958.

Graduate of the Oak Ridge School of Reactor Technology, 1955.

B.S., Civil Engineering, City College of New York, 1954.

## PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

### General Summary

Mathematician and engineer well known for contributions to risk analysis and reliability theory, reactor physics, kinetics, and computational technique. Specializes in probabilistic methodology; decision theory; risk analysis; and, particularly, applications of Bayes' theorem. In this connection has worked specifically and recently on developing probabilistic and decision theoretic treatments of various phases of the energy business. Included here are PRA analyses of several existing nuclear plants, hazardous material transportation and storage, spent fuel pools, aircraft impact, offshore oil drilling (environmental risk), underground oil storage, pipelines, and tarsands projects (business and construction risk). Developer of the DPD method for probabilistic calculations, the two-stage Bayesian technique for data analysis, the "set of triplets," "probability of frequency," "cause table," and "environmental table" concepts in risk analysis. Originator of the Matrix Theory of Event Trees and DPD approach to seismic risk analysis.

### Chronological Summary

- |              |   |
|--------------|---|
| 1977-Present | President, Kaplan & Associates, Inc., a consulting firm specializing in risk analysis and applied decision theory.<br><br>Concurrently Adjunct Professor, Department of Chemical, Nuclear and Thermal Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, and Associate Consultant, Pickard, Lowe and Garrick, Inc. |
| 1975-1977    | Private consultant specializing in risk analysis and decision theory.   |
| 1972-1975    | Holmes & Narver, Inc., Anaheim, California.<br>Director, Advanced Technology Division;<br>Director, Systems Sciences Division;<br>Technical Director, Nuclear & Systems Sciences Group.   |

1971-1972	Director of Software Development, COMARC Design Systems, Inc., San Francisco, California.
1969-1971	Product Manager and Senior Staff Member, Computer Sciences Corporation, Los Angeles, California.
1967-1969	Special Research Fellow, U.S. Public Health Service at University of Southern California, Los Angeles.
1955-1967	Westinghouse Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory, West Mifflin, Pennsylvania. Experimentalist, Experimentalist in Charge, Scientist, Senior Scientist, Fellow Scientist, Advisory Scientist.
1954	Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, City College of New York.
1962-1967	Concurrently Adjunct Professor of Mechanical Engineering, University of Pittsburgh; Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, Carnegie Institute of Technology.

#### MEMBERSHIPS

American Society of Civil Engineers.  
American Nuclear Society.  
Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics.  
New York Academy of Sciences.

#### REPORTS AND PUBLICATIONS

"Seabrook Probabilistic Safety Assessment," Public Service Company of New Hampshire, to be published in 1983.

Kaplan, S., "A Matrix Theory Formalism for Event Tree Analysis--Application to Nuclear Risk Analysis," Risk Analysis, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1982.

Kaplan, S., "On Safety Goals and Related Questions," KAI-19, Reliability Engineering, Vol. 3, 1982

Kaplan, S., "Methodology for the Zion and Indian Point Probabilistic Risk Assessments," proposed presentation at the Congres Annuel 1982, SFRP La Comparaison des Risques Associes aux Grandes Activites Humanines, Avignon, France, October 18-22, 1982.

Heising, C. D., A. W. Barsell, K. N. Fleming, S. Kaplan, and B. J. Garrick, "A comparison of Recent Nuclear Plant Risk Assessments," proposed presentation at the Congres Annuel 1982, SFRP, La Comparaison des Risques Associes aux Grandes Activites Humaines, Avignon, France, October 18-22, 1982.

Kaplan, S., H. F. Perla, and D. C. Bley, "A Methodology for Seismic Safety Analysis of Nuclear Power Plants," proposed presentation at the International Meeting on Thermal Nuclear Reactor Safety, Chicago, Illinois, August 29-September 2, 1982.

Bley, D. C., S. Kaplan, and B. J. Garrick, "Assembling and Decomposing PRA Results: A Matrix Formalism," proposed presentation at the International Meeting on Thermal Nuclear Reactor Safety, Chicago, Illinois, August 29-September 2, 1982.

Kaplan, S., "On a 'Two-Stage' Bayesian Procedure for Determining Failure Rates from Experiential Data," PLG-0191, preprint of a paper to appear in the IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, August 1982.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, and D. C. Bley, "Recent Advances in Probabilistic Risk Assessment," prepared for the MIT Nuclear Power Reactor Safety Course, Cambridge, Massachusetts, July 19, 1982.

Fleming, K. N., S. Kaplan, and B. J. Garrick, "Seabrook Probabilistic Safety Assessment Management Plan," PLG-0239, June 1982.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, D. C. Iden, E. B. Cleveland, H. F. Perla, D. C. Bley, D. W. Stillwell, H. V. Schneider, and G. Apostolakis, "Power Plant Availability Engineering: Methods of Analysis, Program Planning, and Applications," EPRI NP-2168, PLG-0165, May 1982.

"Indian Point 2 and 3 Probabilistic Safety Study," Power Authority of the State of New York and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., March 1982.

Lin, J. C., and S. Kaplan, "SEIS3: A Computer Program for Seismic and Wind Risk Assessment," PLG-0222, March 1982.

Kaplan, S., "On the Method of Discrete Probability Distributions in Risk and Reliability Calculations--Application to Seismic Risk Assessment," Risk Analysis, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1981.

Kaplan, S., B. J. Garrick, and P. P. Bieniarz, "On the Use of Bayes' Theorem in Assessing the Frequency of Anticipated Transients." Nuclear Engineering and Design 64, 1981.

Kaplan, S., "On The Method of Discrete Probability Distributions in Risk and Reliability Calculations--Application to Seismic Risk Assessment," Risk Analysis, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1981.

"Zion Probabilistic Safety Study," Commonwealth Edison Company, September 1981.

Risk Analysis," PLG-0207 prepared as input to the NRC/ANS Probabilistic Risk Assessment Procedures Guide, September 1981.

Kaplan, S., "A Matrix Theory Formalism for Event Tree Analysis--Application to Nuclear Risk Analysis," preprint of a paper to appear in Risk Analysis, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1983; PLG-0198, August 1981.

Stillwell, D. W., B. J. Garrick, D. R. Buttemer, G. Apostolakis, J. C. Lin, and S. Kaplan, "Analysis of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Reactor Protection System," PLG-0195, July 1981.

Kaplan, S., "Matrix Format for PRA and Its Possible Usefulness in Licensing," presented to the AGRS Subcommittee on Reliability and Probabilistic Risk Assessment, Los Angeles, California, July 28, 1981.

Kaplan, S., "Scarce Data Analysis Techniques," presented at the ANS 1981 Annual Meeting, Miami Beach, Florida, June 7-12, 1981.

Kaplan, S., G. Apostolakis, B. J. Garrick, D. C. Bley, and K. Woodard, "Methodology for Probabilistic Risk Assessment of Nuclear Power Plants," draft version of a book in preparation, PLG-0209, June 1981.

Mulvihill, R. J., and B. J. Garrick, R. S. Hanson, S. Kaplan, Y. G. Mody, D. A. Reny, L. H. Riechers, and H. V. Schneider, "Comparative Evaluation of Boiler Availability for Intermountain Power Project," PLG-0169, April 1981.

Apostolakis, G., and S. Kaplan, "Pitfalls in Risk Calculations," Reliability Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 2, April 1981.

Kaplan, S., and B. J. Garrick, "On the Quantitative Definition of Risk," PLG-0196, Risk Analysis, Vol. 1, No. 1, March 1981.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, and N. O. Siu, "Definition of Bounding Physical Tests Representative of Transport Accidents - Rail and Truck," PLG-0164, March 1981.



Kaplan, S., B. J. Garrick, and G. Apostolakis, "Advances in Quantitative Risk Assessment - The Maturing of a Discipline," IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, NS-28, No. 1, February 1981.

Apostolakis, G., S. Kaplan, B. J. Garrick, and R. J. Duphily, "Data Specialization for Plant Specific Risk Studies," Nuclear Engineering and Design 56, 1980.

Kaplan, S., L. H. Reichers, and B. J. Garrick, "Histogram Convolution Program (HICOP)," PLG-0157, December 1980.

Hanson, R. S., J. C. Lin, D. M. Wheeler, S. Kaplan, B. J. Garrick, D. C., Iden, W. B. Holder, and L. G. H. Sarmanian, "An Assessment of the Reliability of Turbine-Generators," PLG-0155, November 1980.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, D. C. Iden, E. B. Cleveland, H. F. Perla, D. C. Bley, and D. W. Stillwell, "Power Plant Availability Engineering, Methods of Analysis - Program Planning - Applications," 2 Vols., PLG-0148. October 1980.

Kennedy, R. P., A. C. Cornell, R. D. Campbell, S. Kaplan, and H. F. Perla, "Probabilistic Seismic Safety Study of an Existing Nuclear Power Plant," Nuclear Engineering and Design, Vol. 59, No. 2, August 1980.

Kaplan, S., R. S. Hanson, B. J. Garrick, and J. W. Stetkar, "A Strategic Plan for a National Data System for Electric Power Plants," PLG-0144, July 1980.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, G. Apostolakis, D. C. Iden, K. Woodard, and T. E. Potter, "Seminar: Probabilistic Risk Assessment of Nuclear Power Plants," PLG-0141, July 1980.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, and D. C. Bley, "Seminar: Power Plant Probabilistic Risk Assessment and Reliability," PLG-0127, May 1980.

Garrick, B. J., and S. Kaplan, "A Conceptual Plan for a National Data System for Electric Power Plants," PLG-0131, April 1980.

Garrick, B. J., and S. Kaplan, "Oyster Creek Probabilistic Safety Analysis (OPSA)," presented at the ANS-ENS Topical Meeting on Thermal Reactor Safety, Knoxville, Tennessee, April 8-11, 1980.

Kaplan, S., and B. J. Garrick, "A Strategic Plan for a National Reliability Data System," PLG-0126, March 1980.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, G. E. Apostolakis, D. C. Bley, and T. E. Potter, "Seminar: Probabilistic Risk Assessment as Applied to Nuclear Power Plants," PLG-0124, March 1980.

Kaplan, S., and B. J. Garrick, "Try Probabilistic Thinking to Improve Power Plant Reliability," Power, March 1980.

Apostolakis, G., S. Kaplan, B. J. Garrick, and W. Dickter, "Assessment of the Frequency of Failure To Scram in Light Water Reactors," Nuclear Safety, Vol. 20, No. 6, November-December 1979.

Kaplan, S., B. J. Garrick, and D. C. Bley, "Notes on Risk, Probability, and Decision," PLG-0113, November 1979.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, and S. Ahmed, "A Reliability Prediction Technique for Selected Thermomechanical Components of Gas Turbine Combined Cycle Plants," PLG-0109, September 1979.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, P. P. Bieniarz, K. Woodard, D. C. Iden, H. F. Perla, W. Dickter, C. L. Cate, T. E. Potter, R. J. Duphily, T. R. Robbins, D. C. Bley, and S. Ahmed, "OPSA, Oyster Creek Probabilistic Safety Analysis," (Executive Summary, Main Report, Appendixes), PLG-0100 DRAFT, August 1979.

Kaplan, S., "A Numerical Method for Obtaining Probability versus Frequency Distributions from EDAC's Data for Failure of a Structural Component Under Specified Accelerations," presented to the International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology, Berlin (West), Germany, August 20-21, 1979.

Kaplan, S., and B. J. Garrick, "On the Use of a Bayesian Reasoning in Safety and Reliability Decisions--Three Examples," Nuclear Technology, Vol. 44, July 1979.

Kaplan, S., and B. J. Garrick, "Notes on Prediction of Reliability," PLG-0117, June 1979.

Kaplan, S., and B. J. Garrick, "Notes for a Workshop on Risk, Reliability, and Decision Under Uncertainty," presented at Battelle Northwest Laboratory, June 1979.

Garrick, B. J., P. P. Bieniarz, and S. Kaplan, "Risk Analysis of Transporting Oconee Spent Nuclear Fuel to the McGuire Nuclear Station," PLG-0102, June 1979.

Garrick, B. J., and S. Kaplan, "Training Engineers to be Reliability Practitioners," presented to the Sixth Annual Reliability Engineering Conference for the Electric Power Industry, Miami Beach, Florida, April, 19-20, 1979.

Kaplan, S., J. M. Vallance, and C. L. Cate, "Prediction of Frequency of Aircraft Crashes at the Three Mile Island Site," Nuclear Safety, October 1978.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, and P. P. Bieniars, "Input Material for Reliability Section of Westinghouse Turbine-Generator Proposal to Middle South Utilities," December 1977.

Kaplan, S., "Description of OPTSWU-1, a Program for Computing the Optimum Amounts of Separative Work to be Contracted for," November 1976.

Kaplan, S., "Notes on Pooling, Meaning of, Types of, and Advantages of Also Notes on a Bookkeeping Concept for Equitable and Visible Management of a Nuclear Pool," September 1976.

Kaplan, S., "Notes on the Concept of Inventory as it Relates to Uranium Procurement Planning," September 1976.

Garrick, B. J., and S. Kaplan, "Reliability Technology and Nuclear Power," IEEE Transactions on Reliability, Vol. R-25, No. 3, August 1976.

Kaplan, S., "UPLAN, A Decision Theoretic Tool for Uranium Procurement Planning," May 1976.

Kaplan, S., "On a Probabilistic Approach to Project Cost Estimating," Consulting Engineer, February 1976.

Kaplan, S., "On a Bayesian Type Methodology for Making Accept/Reject Decisions on Offshore Lease Bids," Journal of Petroleum Technology, March 1976.

Kaplan, S., and D. Trujillo, "Numerical Studies of the Partial Differential Equations Governing Nerve Impulse Conduction-I, the Significance of Lieberstein's Inductance Term," Journal of Mathematical Biosciences, Vol. 7, pp. 379-404, 1970.

Kaplan, S., and J. M. Vallance, "Notes on a Model for Evaluation and Optimization of Uranium Procurement Strategies for the CAPCO Companies," January 1976.

Garrick, B. J., and S. Kaplan, "Reliability Technology and Nuclear Power," 1975.

Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, "A Method for Evaluating Nuclear Plant Siting Concepts," presented before the Joint Committee on Atomic Development and Space, California Legislature, Sacramento, May 19, 1972.



Garrick, B. J., S. Kaplan, and O. C. Baldonado, "On a Decision Theory Formalism for Nuclear Power Plant Siting," presented to the Conference on Unique Siting Concepts for Nuclear Power Plants, Joint Committee on Atomic Development and Space, Sacramento, California, May 9, 1972.

Kaplan, S., "Variational Methods in Nuclear Engineering," Advances in Nuclear Science and Technology, Vol. V, P. R. Greebler, editor, Academic Press, 1969.

Kaplan, S., "A New Derivation of Discrete Ordinate Approximations," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 34, No. 1, 1968.

Kaplan, S., A. J. McNabb, and M. B. Wolf, "Input-Output Relations for a Counter Current Dialyzer by the Method of Invariant Imbedding," Journal of Mathematical Biosciences, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1968.

Kaplan, S., A. J. McNabb, J. K. Siemsen, and D. Trujillo, "The Inverse Problem of Radiosotope Diagnosis - A Computational Model for Determining the Size and Location of Tumors," Journal of Mathematical Biosciences, Vol. 5, pp. 29-35, 1969.

Yasinsky, J. B., and S. Kaplan, "Anomalies Arising from the Use of Adjoint Weighting in a Collapsed Group Space Synthesis Model," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 31, No. 2, 1968.

Kaplan, S., "Canonical and Involutory Transformations of Variational Problems Involving Higher Derivatives," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 22, No. 1, 1968.

Yasinsky, J. B., and S. Kaplan, "On the Use of Dual Variational Principles for the Estimation of Error in Approximate Solutions of Diffusion Problems," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 31, pp. 80-90, 1968.

Kaplan, S., "Properties of the Relaxation Lengths in P1-Double-P1 and Angle-Space Synthesis Type Approximations," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 28, pp. 450-463, 1967.

Kaplan, S., J. A. Davis, and M. Natelson, "Space-Angle Synthesis - An Approach to Transport Approximations," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 28, pp. 364-375, 1967.

Kaplan, S., and J. A. Davis, "Canonical and Involutory Transformations of the Variational Principles of Transport Theory," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 28, No. 2, 1967.



Yasinsky, J. B., and S. Kaplan, "Synthesis of Three-Dimensional Flux Shapes Using Discontinuous Sets : Trail Functions," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 28, pp. 426-437, 1967.

Gelbard, E. M., and S. Kaplan, "Reality of Relaxation Lengths in Various Approximate Forms of the Slab Transport Equation," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1966.

Kaplan, S., and J. B. Yasinsky, "Natural Modes of the Xenon Problem with Flow Feedback - An Example," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 25, pp. 430-438, 1966.

Kaplan, S., "An Analogy Between the Variational Principles of Reactor Theory and Those of Classical Mechanics," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 23, No. 3, 1965.

Henry, A. F., and S. Kaplan, "Some Applications of a Multimode Generalization of the Inhour Formula," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 22, No. 4, 1965.

Kaplan, S., "Synthesis Methods in Reactor Theory," Advances in Nuclear Science, Vol. 111, Academic Press, June 1966.

Kaplan, S., E. M. Gelbard, "Invariant Imbedding and the Integration Techniques of Reactor Theory," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 11, No. 1-3, 1965.

Kaplan, S., A. F. Henry, S. G. Margolis, and J. J. Taylor, "Space-Time Reactor Dynamics," Proceedings, Third United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Geneva, Switzerland, 1964.

Kaplan, S., Editor and Contributor, Section 5.5, "Space-Time Kinetics," Naval Reactors Handbook, Vol. 1.

Kaplan, S., "The Use of the Rayleigh-Ritz Method in Non-Self Adjoint Problems," IEEE Transactions, Vol. MIT-12, No. 2, 1964.

Kaplan, S., O. J. Marlowe, and J. A. Bewick, "Application of Synthesis Techniques to Problems Involving Time Dependence," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 18, pp. 163-176, 1964.

Kaplan, S., "Some New Methods of Flux Synthesis," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1962.

Kaplan, S., and G. Sannemann, "The Methods of Finite Integral Transforms in Heat Transfer Problems," Proceedings of the International Heat Transfer Conference, Boulder, Colorado, 1961.

Kaplan, S., "The Property of Finality and the Analysis of Problems in Reactor Space-Time Kinetics by Various Model Expansions," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1961.

Kaplan, S., and S. G. Margolis, "Delayed Neutron Effects During Flux Tilt Transients," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 7, No. 3, 1960.

Kaplan, S., and G. Sonnemann, "A Generalization of the Finite Integral Transform Technique and Tables of Special Cases," Proceedings of Mid-Western Conference on Solid and Fluid Mechanics, Austin, Texas, 1959.

Goldsmith, M., T. T. Jones, T. M. Ryan, S. Kaplan, and A. D. Vorhis, "Theoretical Analysis of Highly Enriched Light Water Moderated Critical Assemblies," Proceedings, Second United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Paper P/2376, 1958.