

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

September 24, 1976

Mr. Norman C. Moseley, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II - Suite 818
230 Peachtree Street, Northwest
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Serial No. 258
PO&M/ALH:clw

Docket No. 50-280
License No. DPR-32

Dear Mr. Moseley:

Pursuant to Surry Power Station Technical Specification 6.6.2, the Virginia Electric and Power Company hereby submits a copy of Reportable Occurrence No. AO-S1-76-07.

The substance of this report has been reviewed by the Station Nuclear Safety and Operating Committee and will be placed on the agenda for the next meeting of the System Nuclear Safety and Operating Committee.

Very truly yours,


C. M. Stallings

Vice President-Power Supply
and Production Operations

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch 4
(40 copies AO-S1-76-07)

CONTROL BLOCK

(PLEASE PRINT ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

LICENSEE
NAME

LICENSE NUMBER

LICENSE
TYPEEVENT
TYPE

1	V	A	S	P	S	1	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	4	1	1	1	0	0	4	
8	9					14	15											25	26				30	31	32

1	CONV	P	0	T	L	0	5	0	-	0	2	8	0	0	9	1	3	7	6	0	9	2	2	7	6
8		57	58	59	60	61						63		69				74		75					80

EVENT DESCRIPTION

2	Due to recent interpretational changes in the intent of Section 3.11.B of the Surry																								80
8																									9
3	Technical Specifications, the gaseous and airborne particulate wastes originating																								80
8																									9
4	from station operation for the previous 12 months were recalculated. The results of																								80
8																									9
5	the recalculations indicated a violation of Technical Specification 3.11.B.2 in that																								80
8																									9
6	the monthly average for the previous 12 months was 10.188 percent or .188 per- (con't)																								80
8																									9

7	M	B	A	X	X	X	X	X	X	Z	Z	9	9	9	Y	
8	9	10	11	12					17	43	44				47	48

CAUSE DESCRIPTION

8	The level of gaseous and airborne particulate wastes were primarily related to steam																								80
9																									9
9	generator primary to secondary tube leakages. Some procedural errors were also found																								80
8																									9
10	in the release calculations but the actual violation resulted because the in- (con't)																								80
8																									9

11	E	1	0	0	N/A	A	N/A
8	9	10	12	13	44	45	46

12	G	M	N/A	Vent to Atmosphere	
8	9	10	11	44	45

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

13	0	0	0	Z	N/A
8	9	11	12	13	

PERSONNEL INJURIES

14	0	0	0	N/A
8	9	11	12	

OFFSITE CONSEQUENCES

15	N/A
8	9

LOSS OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY

16	Z	N/A
8	9	10

PUBLICITY

17	N/A
8	9

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

18	The release described herein was well below the limits established by 10 CFR 20 and																								80
8																									9
19	only .3 percent of the Technical Specification in effect at the time of the vi- (con't)																								80
8																									9

(804) 357-1184

EVENT DESCRIPTION (con't)

cent greater than that specified. The SRC was notified September 13, 1976 of the possible violation while calculations were being verified. At 1930 hours on September 13, 1976 a change to Section 3.11.B of the Surry Technical Specifications, which was submitted in August, was approved and received. The actual percent of the new technical specification limit was .3 percent. The actual verification of the violation of Section 3.11.B was complete at approximately 2200 hours, thereby documenting a violation had occurred over the last 12 months. (AO-S1-76-07)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION (con't)

terpretation of what should be included in releases was changed on September 10, 1976. In an attempt to more accurately include certain noble gases, the annual limit was exceeded by less than .188 percent/month.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS (con't)

olation; therefore, the health and safety of the general public was not affected.

Virginia Electric and Power Company
Docket No. 50-281 Surry Unit 2
License No. DPR-37

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO:

LER-281/76-05 *B*

DISTRIBUTION:

Don Lanham, ADM

cc With Enclosures

Region II Docket Files - 50-281

Region II Inspector with enclosures

<i>A8</i>	OFFICE	IE:IL <i>[Signature]</i>				
	SURNAME	TLHarrison				
	DATE	10/15/76				

Inspection/Investigation Reports Only

Distribution Code _____

PDR COORDINATOR ONLY

Report sent to HQs and hold copies reproduced

Date _____

Initials _____

Incoming Licensee Correspondence

1. Licensee Response to Non-Enforcement Report
2. Licensee Response to Enforcement Report
3. Licensee Response to IE Bulletins
4. General Licensee Correspondence
- ⑤ Licensee Event Reports

a. Licensee/Facility VEPCO Survey Unit 2b. Report No. 50-281/76-05c. Document Date 9-29-76 d. Date Received 10-4-76e. Section Chief Lewis f. Principal Inspector Sinitule

g. Document transferred to _____ Branch

Date _____ Initials _____

h. Licensee Response to Enforcement Report Only

Acceptable _____ Not-Acceptable _____ Initials _____

① 2.790 Information YES _____ NO ✓ Initials MWS

NOTE: If Yes, mark necessary documents to delete 2.790 Information and return with this form along with acknowledgement letter to the PDR Coordinator.

③ Should the attached and related correspondence be placed in the PDR?

YES ✓ NO _____ Initials MWSPDR COORDINATOR ONLY

Incoming Licensee Correspondence and related documents sent to HQs and PDR.

Date 10-15-76 Initials BLH