

<b>As of:</b> 3/5/20 2:16 PM <b>Received:</b> February 23, 2020 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k4-9f6k-1f5o <b>Comments Due:</b> February 24, 2020 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2020-0021

Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3; Transfer of Control of Licenses and Approval of Conforming License Amendments

**Comment On:** NRC-2020-0021-0001

Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3; Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Control of Licenses and Conforming Amendments

**Document:** NRC-2020-0021-DRAFT-0111

Comment on FR Doc # 2020-00824

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Greg Lubow

---

## General Comment

Corporate America's environmental record of cleaning up after itself is dismal at best. Profits are increased by underfunding - uncapitalizing in their terms - the final stages of the industrial process - the clean up. That Entergy is willing to give away the store, lock, stock and barrel, for nominal \$\$ provided the clean up liability is assumed by Holtec speaks volumes about its corporate responsibility policies. Faced with reduced demand, clean generation competition, and 'rising' operating costs, Indian Point is not longer a profit center, and Entergy wants to move along, leaving the clean up to others.

Don't let Entergy walk away from its obligations. NY state, and more importantly, the people of NY, especially those along the Hudson River, and beyond had a deal with Entergy - you can operate Indian Point, and make all the money you can, and then when Indian Point is no longer viable, you will clean it up, not someone else.

From what I am reading Holtec may not be the most responsible corporate (now that IS an oxymoron) player, trying experimental technologies without permission. This is NUCLEAR WASTE we are dealing with, 50X worse than any other hazmat. Holtec will be highly motivated to reduce costs and move quickly in its 'decommissioning'. And once Holtec strips the plant of its assets, could it leave behind its detris for someone else to pick up the cost to remove? who would that someone be? Why the people of New York of course.

So here's a suggestion: IF, and that is a big IF, you find Holtec to be qualified to do the job, why not require Entergy to remain on the hook if anything Holtec does goes wrong? If something goes wrong and Holtec wants out, via bankruptcy or other wise, then the remaining assets can go back to Entergy and it will be responsible to continue the cleanup.

That's IF you find that Holtec is experienced enough, funded enough and responsible enough to do the job in the 1st place.