



1650 CALVERT CLIFFS PARKWAY • LUSBY, MARYLAND 20657-4702

ROBERT E. DENTON
VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR ENERGY
(410) 260-4455

May 7, 1993

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

ATTENTION: Document Control Desk

SUBJECT: Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant
Unit Nos. 1 & 2; Docket Nos. 50-317 & 50-318
Submittal of Component Evaluation Methodology Documents for License
Renewal

REFERENCES:

- (a) Letter from Mr. R. E. Denton (BG&E) to Document Control Desk (NRC), dated February 25, 1993, Proposed Schedule to Submit License Renewal Documents
- (b) Letter from Mr. R. E. Denton (BG&E) to Document Control Desk (NRC), dated March 2, 1993, Submittal of Systems, Structures and Components Screening Methodology Documents for License Renewal
- (c) Letter from Mr. R. E. Denton (BG&E) to Document Control Desk (NRC), dated March 12, 1993, Submittal of Systems, Structures and Components Methodology for License Renewal

Gentlemen:

As described in Reference (a), the Integrated Plant Assessment Methodology, Volume 2: Component Evaluation is submitted for NRC review and approval. It contains the following attachments:

LCM-10, Revision 2: "LCM Component Evaluation" (Attachment A)

LCM-16, Revision 1: "LCM Component Aging Evaluation" (Attachment B)

Component Evaluation Results (portions only) (Attachment C):

- o Compressed Air System Evaluation Results
- o Reactor Coolant System Evaluation Results
- o Saltwater Cooling System Evaluation Results

110037

9305120204 930507
PDR ADDCK 05000317
PDR

cert # P 497 314 082

ADD 1/1

The combination of these documents plus those submitted in References (b) and (c) fully describes our Integrated Plant Assessment (IPA) process. We look forward to discussing it with you in the near future.


Please note that the submittals for April 19 and May 7 listed in Reference (a) are combined in this submittal.

In Reference (c), we expressed our concern about the large level of detail produced by the component level screening. Because of this concern and our activities to resolve it, there was no April 19, 1993 submittal. We believe that the level of detail contained in the Component Evaluation Results (Attachment C) is more appropriate. Additionally, please note that the Component Level Evaluation Results now include a summary of the Component Level Important to License Renewal (ITLR) Screening Results that were submitted March 12, 1993. This supersedes the previously submitted screening results, which we have concluded were overly detailed.

Finally, the examples of effective programs and examples of enhancements to them contained in this submittal are the results of our IPA process. These results should not be construed as commitments to our existing license. As our IPA process evolves, we fully expect that its results will change. Therefore, if we decide to submit a License Renewal Application, any changes to these results will be addressed as required by 10 CFR Part 54.

Should you have any further questions regarding this matter, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Very truly yours,



RED/JMO/jmo/bjd/dlm

Attachments: As Stated

cc: (With Attachments)
R. L. Nease, NRC

(Without Attachments)
D. A. Brune, Esquire
J. E. Silberg, Esquire
R. A. Capra, NRC
D. G. McDonald, Jr., NRC
T. T. Martin, NRC
P. R. Wilson, NRC
R. I. McLean, DNR
J. H. Walter, PSC