

D.O.O.O.N.R.R.

LAP 040-3  
Revision 21  
November 9, 1981  
8 (Final)

ATTACHMENT C

50-373

OFF-SITE PROCEDURE MODIFICATION FORM

May 24, 1982  
DATE

Please REMOVE the following pages from your controlled copy of the LaSalle County Station LZP Procedures Manual. INSERT the new pages as indicated and REMOVE and DESTROY the superseded pages. SIGN this transmittal form in the space provided for Manual holder below. RETURN this signed sheet to:

Office Supervisor  
LaSalle County Station

R. H. Holyoak  
Station Superintendent  
LaSalle County Station

96-105

MANUAL NUMBER

\_\_\_\_\_  
MANUAL HOLDER SIGNATURE  
(IF NEW HOLDER, PLEASE ADVISE)

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

DOCUMENT  
LZP Index  
1200-2

REMOVE/REV. DATE

p. 2  
0

INSERT/REV. DATE

p. 2  
1 5/82

X005  
5/11

## 10CFR50.59 FORMAT FOR SAFETY EVALUATION

STATION LA SALLE COUNTY STATION UNIT 142  
 SYSTEM N/A TEST/PROCEDURE No LSP 1200-2  
 TEST/PROCEDURE TITLE CLASSIFICATION OF A NOBLE GAS RELEASE REVISION 1  
 EQUIPMENT NAME N/A  
 EQUIPMENT NUMBER N/A

## DESCRIPTION OF TEST/PROCEDURE

*And in the initial GSEP classification of a noble gas release by the GSEP Station Director (or Acting Station Director).*

SAFETY EVALUATION: Answer the following questions with a "yes" or "no", and provide specific reasons justifying the decision:

1. Is the probability of an occurrence or the consequence of an accident, or malfunction of equipment important to safety as previously evaluated in the Final Safety Analysis Report increased? Yes X No, Because:

*Procedure covers evaluation and classification of release only*

2. Is the possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any previously evaluated in the Final Safety Analysis Report created? Yes X No, Because:

*Procedure covers evaluation and classification of release only*

3. Is the margin of safety, as defined in the basis for any Technical Specification, reduced? Yes X No, Because:

*Classification of release levels included in beyond Tech Spec Control Levels*

\* Note: Any answer checked "YES" should be reported in the Annual Report to the NRC

Performed By KD Nowak Date 21 Apr 82  
 Approved By [Signature] Date 4/28/82

ATTACHMENT F

(Page 2 of 2)

SAFETY EVALUATION CHECKLIST (10 CFR 50.59)  
TEST/PROCEDURE No. LAP 1200-2  
REVISION 1

Does this constitute a change to procedures  
as described in Safety Analysis Report?

Yes ( )

No (X)

Is a change in the Technical Specification  
involved?

No ( )

SAFETY EVALUATION: Answer the following questions with a 'yes' or 'no',  
and provide specific reasons justifying the decision:

1. Is the probability of an occurrence, the consequence of an  
accident, or malfunction of safety related equipment, as previously  
evaluated in the Final Safety Analysis Report, increase?

Yes

No (X)

*Classification and Evaluation of release only*

2. Is the possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different  
type than any previously evaluated in the Final Safety Analysis  
Report created? Yes No (X)

*Same as above*

3. Is the margin of safety, as defined in the basis for any Technical  
Specification, reduced? Yes No (X)

*Beyond Tech Spec Control Levels*

Any Answer = Yes ( )

All Answers No (X)

Request and receive Nuclear  
Regulatory Commission  
authorization for change.

Authorization Received ( )

Initiate Procedure/Test  
Implementation

NOTE:

Any answer checked 'yes'  
should be reported in the  
annual report to the NRC.

Performed by

*K. Nowak*

Date

*21 Apr 82*