

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND
LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of Carolina Power }
and Light Company, et al., }
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power }
Plants, Units 1 and 2 }

21 MAY 24 1982
Docket 50-400, -401

May 20, 1982

RESPONSE OF CHAPEL HILL ANTI-NUCLEAR
GROUP EFFORT/ENVIRONMENTAL LAW PROJ-
ECT (CHANGE/ELP) TO APPLICANTS' MOT-
ION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

On May 14, 1982 and May 15, 1982, pursuant to an order of the Board dated April 2, 1982 and subsequent arrangements under that order, Petitioner CHANGE/ELP filed its supplement to its petition for leave to intervene. On May 18, 1982 Applicants filed a motion for extension of time, which indicated inter alia that other petitions and supplements had been received in a timely manner, p. 1. Applicants propose that they be allowed to file their contentions simultaneously with the NRC Staff on June 4 or 7, p. 2-3.

Petitioner has no objection to the substantive interest advanced by the request, i.e., to provide Applicants with more time in which to prepare their response to the contentions, having itself previously filed for an extension of time. However, Petitioner would call to the Board's attention the somewhat incongruous result granting the petition as stated would cause. Rather than responding to the intervenors' contentions and then allowing the NRC Staff time to respond to both the contentions and the responses thereto, Applicants would have the Staff file its responses simultaneously. The Staff has expressed no objection, according to Applicants, p. 2. However, Petitioner CHANGE/ELP would note that by so doing the Staff appears not in the role of a neutral regulatory body engaged in assisting the Board to define the issues and consider all aspects of the contentions in the best interests

DSO3
5
1/0