

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

EXHIBIT A

CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01	N	C	M	G	S	1	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	1	1	1	1	4		5								
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32								
LICENSEE CODE														LICENSE NUMBER										LICENSE TYPE								CAT 56	

01	REPORT	L	5	0	5	0	0	0	3	6	9	7	0	3	1	0	8	2	8	0	4	0	8	8	2	9	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32		
CONT		DOCKET NUMBER										EVENT DATE										REPORT DATE					

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 While in mode 5, a procedure review discovered that the pressurizer #1 power oper-
03 ated relief valve had not been timed as required by Section XI, Subsection IWV
04 ((Inservice Testing of Valves in Nuclear Power Plants) of the ASME boiler and pres-
05 sure vessel code. This violates T.S.3.4.10.3 which is reportable per T.S.6.9.1.
06 113(c). The valve was successfully movement tested on 12/8/81, and movement and
07 time tested on 3/10/81, indicating that the valve (and redundant valve) would
08 have provided the required safety margin had overpressurization occurred. Health
and safety of the public were unaffected.

09	C	B	11	D	12	Z	13	V	A	L	V	E	X	14	F	15	B	16
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
SYSTEM CODE		CAUSE CODE		CAUSE SUBCODE		COMPONENT CODE								COMP. SUBCODE		VALVE SUBCODE		
LER/RO REPORT NUMBER		EVENT YEAR		SEQUENTIA REPORT NO.		OCCURRENCE CODE		REPORT TYPE		REVISION NO.								
17		8 2		0 2 2		0 3		L		0								
ACTION TAKEN		EFFECT ON PLANT		SHUTDOWN METHOD		HOURS		ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED		NPRO-4 FORM SUB.		PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER		COMPONENT MANUFACTURER				
G 18		Z 19		Z 20		0 0 0		N 21		N 22		L 23		C 6 0 0				
23		34		35		37		41		42		43		44				

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 The periodic testing procedure required movement testing for the dual pressure
11 PORV, and movement and time response for a redundant dual pressure PORV and a
12 high pressure PORV. A procedure change on 9/15/80 incorporated a time test for
13 the redundant PORV and the high pressure PORV, but should have incorporated the
14 dual pressure PORV instead of the high pressure PORV. The procedure was changed
and the valve successfully tested.

15	X	28	0	0	0	29	Mode 5	30	B	31	Procedural Review	32
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
FACILITY STATUS		% POWER		OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION				

16	Z	33	Z	34	N/A	35	N/A	36
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
ACTIVITY CONTENT		RELEASED OF RELEASE		AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY		LOCATION OF RELEASE		

17	0	0	0	37	Z	38	N/A	39
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
PERSONNEL EXPOSURES		NUMBER		TYPE		DESCRIPTION		

18	0	0	0	40	N/A	41
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
PERSONNEL INJURIES		NUMBER		DESCRIPTION		

19	Z	42	N/A	43
7	8	9	10	11
LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY		TYPE		DESCRIPTION

20	N	44	N/A	45
7	8	9	10	11
PUBLI CITY		ISSUED		DESCRIPTION

NAME OF PREPARER Phillip B. Nardoci

PHONE: (704) 373-7432

8204280537