



DS09  
**ENTERGY**

*Eugene Imbro*  
*58 FR 15885*  
*3/24/93*  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P.O. Box 31995  
Jackson, MS 39286-1995  
Tel 601 984 9740

(7)

**John R. McGaha**  
Vice President  
Operations Support

April 22, 1993

Mr. David L. Meyer  
Chief, Rules and Directives Review Branch  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Comments on "Proposed Generic Communication; Availability and Adequacy of Design Bases Information"

CNRO-93/00019

USNRC  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION  
93 APR 26 P 1:23

Dear Mr. Meyer:

Entergy Operations, Inc. has reviewed the notice of opportunity for public comment for the proposed generic letter concerning the availability and adequacy of design bases information (58 FR 15885). We wish to submit the following comments on behalf of Arkansas Nuclear One Units 1 & 2, Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, and Waterford 3 Steam Electric Station.

Entergy Operations is willing to share information about our design bases programs with the NRC at any time. However, the information which the proposed generic letter would request has been previously communicated to the NRC staff. We have discussed the adequacy and the scheduling of the design bases program for each of our facilities with various members of the NRC staff through a number of meetings, presentations, and other interactions. In addition, other utilities and the Nuclear Utility Management and Resources Council (NUMARC), on behalf of the industry, have also provided general and specific design bases information to the NRC. Therefore, responses to the proposed generic letter would not appear to provide any new or useful information to the NRC staff.

The proposed generic letter specifies a voluntary response and states that it imposes no new or changed requirements. However, the phrase that the responses will be used "[t]o assist the staff in prioritizing its inspection program" may imply negative consequences for those utilities who do not respond, even though they have been cooperative and open with the NRC staff.

9304300269 930422  
PDR PR  
50 58FR15885 PDR

Comments on "Proposed Generic Communication; Availability and Adequacy of  
Design Bases Information"

April 22, 1993

CNRO-93/00019

Page 2 of 2

In conclusion, the proposed generic letter would incur an expenditure of industry and NRC resources for little benefit. Entergy Operations therefore respectfully requests that the NRC not pursue the issuance of the proposed generic letter. We believe this position to be consistent with ongoing NRC efforts to eliminate requirements marginal to safety, to reduce regulatory burden, and to move toward more performance oriented regulation and away from prescriptive approaches.

Entergy Operations has also reviewed the comments prepared in regard to the proposed generic letter by NUMARC. We endorse their positions in regard to this matter, which are generally similar to our own.

Entergy Operations appreciates the opportunity to express our views on the proposed generic letter and the Commission's consideration of our comments.

Sincerely,

*W.R. Hagley*  
for J.R. McGehee

JRM/hek

cc:

Mr. T. W. Alexion  
Mr. R. P. Barkhurst  
Mr. R. H. Bernhard  
Mr. R. B. Bevan, Jr.  
Mr. J. L. Blount  
Mr. S. D. Ebner  
Mr. E. J. Ford  
Mr. D. C. Hintz  
Mr. C. R. Hutchinson  
Mr. R. B. McGehee  
Mr. H. W. Keiser

Mr. J. L. Milhoan  
Mr. P. W. O'Connor  
Mr. N. S. Reynolds  
Ms. L. J. Smith  
Mr. F. W. Titus  
Mr. D. L. Wigginton  
Mr. J. W. Yelverton  
Central File (GGNS)  
DCC (ANO)  
Records Center (WF3)  
Corporate File [ 3 ]