

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

April 22, 1993

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Serial No. 93-250
NAPS/EJW
Docket Nos. 50-338
50-339
License Nos. NPF-4
NPF-7


Gentlemen:

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

Pursuant to Technical Specification 6.9.1.8, enclosed is the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for North Anna Power Station Unit Nos. 1 and 2 for 1992.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,



M. L. Bowling, Manager
Nuclear Licensing and Programs

Enclosure

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, N. W.
Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323


Mr. M. S. Lesser
NRC Senior Resident Inspector
North Anna Power Station

9304280172 921231
PDR ADDCK 05000338
R PDR

JE 25 1/1

*Virginia Electric and Power Company
North Anna Power Station
Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report*

January 1, 1992 to December 31, 1992



Prepared by


*VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
and
TELEDYNE ISOTOPES*

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

North Anna Power Station


January 1, 1992 to December 31, 1992

Prepared by:




James B. Breeden,
Supervisor Radiological Analysis

Reviewed by:



Erich W. Dreyer
Supervisor Health Physics Technical Services

Approved by:



Alan H. Stafford
Superintendent Radiological Protection




Table Of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	Preface.....	7
	Executive Summary.....	8
I.	Introduction.....	10
II.	Nuclear Power And The Environment: In Perspective.....	12
III.	Sampling And Analysis Program.....	25
IV.	Program Exceptions.....	41
V.	Summary And Discussion Of 1992 Analytical Results	42
	A. Airborne Exposure Pathway	42
	1. Air Iodine/Particulates.....	42
	2. Precipitation	44
	3. Soil	45
	B. Waterborne Exposure Pathway	45
	1. Ground/Well Water.....	45
	2. River Water.....	45
	3. Surface Water	46
	C. Aquatic Exposure Pathway.....	48
	1. Sediment/Silt	48
	2. Shoreline Soil	48
	D. Ingestion Exposure Pathway.....	51
	1. Milk.....	51
	2. Fish.....	51
	3. Food/Vegetation.....	53
	E. Direct Radiation Exposure Pathway.....	53
	1. TLD Dosimeters.....	53
VI.	Conclusion	55

Table Of Contents (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
VII.	References.....	58
VIII.	Appendices.....	59
	Appendix A - Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary Tables - 1992	59
	Appendix B - Data Tables	66
	Appendix C - Land Use Census - 1992	89
	Appendix D - Synopsis of Analytical Procedures	92
	Appendix E - EPA Interlaboratory Comparison Program	105

List of Trending Graphs

1.	Gross Beta in Air Particulates.....	43
2.	Tritium in River Water	43
3.	Tritium in Surface Water.....	47
4.	Cobalt-58 in Sediment Silt.....	47
5.	Cobalt-60 in Sediment Silt.....	49
6.	Cesium-134 in Sediment Silt.....	49
7.	Cesium-137 in Sediment Silt.....	50
8.	Cesium-134 in Fish	50
9.	Cesium-137 in Fish	52
10.	Environmental Radiation - TLDs	52

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Atomic Structure.....	12
2.	Alpha Particle	14
3.	Beta Particle	14
4.	Gamma Ray	14
5.	The Penetrating Ability of Various Types of Radiation	15
6.	Unit Comparison	15
7.	The Curie, a Measurement of Activity	16
8.	Average Annual Dose Equivalent to Persons in the U.S. from Various Radiation Sources.....	17
9	Estimated Average Days of Life Expectancy Lost Due to Various Health Risks.....	19
10.	Reactor Vessel with Fuel Assemblies, Rods, and Fuel Pellets.....	20
11.	Fission: a Chain Reaction.....	21
12.	PWR System Diagram	22
13.	Containment Schematic	23
14.	North Anna Radiological Monitoring Locations	32

List of Tables

Table	Page
1. Uranium Isotopes	13
2. Radiological Sampling Station Distance and Direction from Unit 1.....	26
3. North Anna Power Station Sample Analysis Program.....	37
4. REMP Exceptions for Scheduled Sampling and Analysis During 1992.....	41
 Appendix B Tables	
B-1 Iodine-131 Concentration in Filtered Air	66
B-2 Concentrations of Gross Beta in Air Particulates.....	68
B-3 Gamma Emitter, Strontium 89, and Strontium 90 Concentrations in Air Particulates	72
B-4 Gamma Emitter and Tritium Concentration in River Water	75
B-5 Gamma Emitter Concentration in Soil.....	76
B-6 Gamma Emitter, Strontium, and Tritium Concentrations in Ground and Well Water	77
B-7 Gamma Emitter, Strontium, and Tritium Concentrations in River Water	77
B-8 Gamma Emitter, Strontium, and Tritium Concentrations in Surface Water.....	78
B-9 Gamma Emitter, Strontium, and Tritium Concentrations in Surface Water State-Split Samples	79
B-10 Gamma Emitter Concentrations in Sediment Silt	80
B-11 Gamma Emitter Concentrations in Shoreline Soil	80
B-12 Gamma Emitter Concentrations in Milk	81
B-13 Gamma Emitter Concentrations in Fish.....	83
B-14 Gamma Emitter Concentrations in Food/Vegetation	84
B-15 Direct Radiation Measurements Quarterly Annual TLD Results.....	86
B-16 Direct Radiation Measurements Sector Quarterly TLD Results.....	87

Preface

This report is submitted as required by Technical Specification 6.9.1.8, Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for North Anna Power Stations, Units 1 and 2, Virginia Electric and Power Company Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339.

Executive Summary

This document is a detailed report on the 1992 North Anna Nuclear Power Station Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). Radioactivity levels from January 1 through December 31, 1992 in water, silt, shoreline sediment, milk, aquatic biota, food products, vegetation, and direct exposure pathways have been analyzed, evaluated, and summarized. The REMP is designed to ensure that radiological effluent releases are As Low As is Reasonably Achievable (ALARA), no undue environmental effects occur, and the health and safety of the public is protected. The program also detects any unexpected environmental processes which could allow radiation accumulations in the environment or food pathway chains.

Radiation and radioactivity in the environment is constantly monitored within a 25 mile radius of the station. Virginia Power also collects samples within this area. A number of sampling locations for each medium were selected using available meteorological, land use, and water use data. Two types of samples are obtained. The first type, control samples, are collected from areas that are beyond the measurable influence of North Anna Nuclear Power Station or any other nuclear facility. These samples are used as reference data. Normal background radiation levels, or radiation present due to causes other than North Anna Power Station, can thus be compared to the environment surrounding the nuclear power station. Indicator samples are the second sample type obtained. These samples show how much radiation is contributed to the environment by the plant. Indicator samples are taken from areas close to the station where any plant contribution will be at the highest concentration.



Prior to station operation, samples were collected and analyzed to determine the amount of radioactivity present in the area. The resulting values are used as a "pre-operational baseline." Analysis results from the indicator samples are compared to both current control sample values and the pre-operational baseline to determine if changes in radioactivity levels are attributable to station operations, other causes such as the Chernobyl accident, or natural variation.

Teledyne Isotopes provides sample analyses for various radioisotopes as appropriate for each sample media. Participation in the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Interlaboratory Comparison Program provides an independent check of sample measurement precision and accuracy. Typically, radioactivity levels in the environment are so low that analysis values frequently fall below the minimum detection limits of state-of-the-art measurement methods. Because of this, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requires that equipment used for radiological environmental monitoring must be able to detect specified minimum Lower Limits of Detection (LLD). This ensures that analyses are as accurate as possible. Samples with extremely low levels of radiation which cannot be detected are therefore reported as being below the LLD. The NRC also mandates a "reporting level." Licensed nuclear facilities must report any releases

equal to or greater than this reporting level. Environmental radiation levels are sometimes referred to as a percent of the reporting level.

Analytical results are divided into five categories based on exposure pathways: Airborne, waterborne, aquatic, ingestion, and direct radiation. Each of these pathways is described below:

- The airborne exposure pathway includes airborne iodine, airborne particulate, precipitation, and soil samples. The overall 1992 airborne results were very similar to previous years and to preoperational levels. No increase was noted and there were no detections of fission products or other man-made isotopes in the airborne particulate media during 1992.
- The waterborne exposure pathway includes ground/well water, river water, and surface water samples. No man-made or natural isotopes were detected in Lake Anna surface water except for tritium. The average tritium activity in 1992 was 20% of the NRC reporting level. This has increased from preoperational levels and has increased slightly from 1991 to 1992 levels.
- The aquatic exposure pathway includes sediment/silt and shoreline samples. North Anna sediment contained some manganese-54 and cesium-137. During the preoperational period, cesium-137 was detected. Additional man-made isotopes appear to have accumulated since that time. Sediment contamination, however, does not provide a direct dose pathway to man. In shoreline soil, which may provide a direct dose pathway, one sample contained cesium-134. Cesium-137 levels increased from 378 pCi/kg in 1989 to 534 pCi/kg in 1992.
- The ingestion exposure pathway includes milk, fish, and food/vegetation samples. Iodine-131 was not detected in any 1992 milk samples. Although cesium-137 has been detected in the past, it was not detected in 1992 milk samples. Strontium-90 was detected at levels comparable to 1989, and lower than preoperational years. Both strontium-90 and cesium-137 are attributable to atmospheric nuclear weapons testing in the past. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was detected at normal environmental levels.

Fish samples during 1992 contained cesium-137 at approximately the same activity as preoperational levels. Steam generator repairs and better liquid waste processing, however, have reduced these activity levels from previous years. Vegetation samples were statistically similar to both control and preoperational levels.

- The direct radiation exposure pathway measures environmental radiation doses by use of thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs). TLD results have remained essentially the same since the preoperational period in 1977.


During 1992, as in previous years, operation of the North Anna Nuclear Power Station created no adverse environmental affects or health hazards. The maximum radiation dose calculated for a hypothetical individual at the North Anna Power Station boundary due to liquid and gaseous effluents released from the site during 1992 was 0.75 millirem. For reference this dose may be compared to the 360 millirem average annual exposure to every person in the United States from natural and man-made sources. Natural sources in the environment provide approximately 82% of radiation exposure to man while Nuclear Power contributes less than 0.1%. These results demonstrate not only compliance with federal and state regulations, but also demonstrate the adequacy of radioactive effluent control at the North Anna Nuclear Power Station.

*Virginia Electric And Power Company
North Anna Power Station
Radiological Environmental Operating Program*

I. Introduction

The operational Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) conducted for the year 1991 for North Anna Power Station is provided in this report. The results of measurements and analyses of data obtained from samples collected from January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992 are summarized.

- A. The North Anna Power Station of Virginia Electric and Power Company is located on Lake Anna in Mineral, Virginia, approximately 35 miles southwest of Fredericksburg, Virginia. The site consists of two units, each with pressurized water reactor (PWR) nuclear steam supply systems and turbine generators furnished by Westinghouse Electric Corporation. Each unit is designed with a gross electrical output of 970 megawatts electric (MWe). Unit 1 achieved commercial operation on June 6, 1978, and Unit 2 on December 14, 1980.
- B. The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC) regulations (10 CFR 50.34a) require that nuclear power plants be designed, constructed, and operated to keep levels of radioactive material in effluents to unrestricted areas As Low As is Reasonably Achievable (ALARA). To ensure these criteria are met, the operating license for North Anna Power Station includes Technical Specifications which address the release of radioactive effluents. Inplant monitoring is used to ensure release limits are not exceeded. As a precaution against unexpected or undefined environmental processes which might allow undue accumulation of radioactivity in the environment, a program for monitoring the plant environs is also



included in Virginia Power's Station Administrative Procedure VPAP-2103, Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM).

- C. Virginia Electric and Power Company is responsible for collecting the various indicator and control environmental samples. Teledyne Isotopes is responsible for sample analysis and submitting reports of radioanalyses. The results are used to determine if changes in radioactivity levels could be attributable to station operations. Measured values are compared to control levels, which vary with time due to such external events as cosmic ray bombardment, weapons test fallout, and seasonal variations of naturally occurring isotopes. Data collected prior to the plant operation is used to indicate the degree of natural variation to be expected. This preoperational data is compared to data collected during the operational phase to assist in evaluating any radiological impact of plant operations.
- D. Occasionally samples of environmental media show the presence of man-made isotopes. As a method of referencing the measured radionuclide concentrations in the sample media to a dose consequence to man, the data is compared to the reporting level concentrations listed in the USNRC Regulatory Guide 4.8 and North Anna Power Station's ODCM. These concentrations are based upon the annual dose commitment recommended by 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, to meet the criterion of "As Low As Is Reasonably Achievable."
- E. This report documents the results of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program for 1992 and satisfies the following objectives of the program:
 - 1. Provides measurements of radiation and of radioactive materials in those exposure pathways and for those radionuclides that lead to the highest potential radiation exposure of the maximum exposed members of the public resulting from the station operation.
 - 2. Supplements the radiological effluent monitoring program by verifying that radioactive effluents are within allowable limits.
 - 3. Identifies radioactivity changes in the environment.
 - 4. Verifies that the plant operations have no detrimental effect on the health and safety of the public.

II. Nuclear Power And The Environment: In Perspective

Coal, oil, natural gas, nuclear power, and hydropower have all been used to run the nation's electric generating stations. Each method, however, has its drawbacks. Coal-fired power can damage the environment during the mining process, or by airborne discharges such as fly-ash and chemicals which contribute to acid rain. Oil and natural gas are costly because of their limited supply. Few suitable sites for hydropower exist, and building the large dams necessary to produce hydropower has a significant impact on the environment.


Nuclear energy provides an alternate source of energy which is readily available. The operation of nuclear power stations has a very small impact on the environment. In fact, hundreds of acres adjoining Surry Power Station are a state waterfowl refuge, and Lake Anna, next to North Anna Power Station, is a well-known fishing site with a state park on its shore.

In order to more fully understand this unique energy source, background information about basic radiation characteristics, risk assessment, reactor operation, effluent control, environmental monitoring, and radioactive waste is provided in this section.

Fundamentals

The Atom

Everything we encounter is made of atoms. Atoms are the smallest parts of an element that still have all the chemical properties of that element. At the center of an atom is a nucleus. The nucleus consists of neutrons and protons. Electrons move in an orbit around the nucleus and are negatively charged. Protons and neutrons are nearly identical in size and weight, and each is about 2000 times heavier than an electron. The proton, however, has a positive charge, while the neutron



has no charge, it is electrically neutral. Figure 1 presents a simple diagram of an atom.

Isotopes

The number of protons in the atom of any specific element is always the same. For example, all hydrogen atoms have one proton whereas all oxygen atoms have eight protons. Unlike protons,

the number of neutrons in the nucleus of an element may vary. Atoms with the same number of protons, but a different number of neutrons, are called isotopes. Table 1 lists the isotopes of uranium.

Isotopes	Symbols	Number of Protons	Number of Neutrons
Uranium-235	^{235}U	92	143
Uranium-236	^{236}U	92	144
Uranium-237	^{237}U	92	145
Uranium-238	^{238}U	92	146
Uranium-239	^{239}U	92	147
Uranium-240	^{240}U	92	148

Table 1. Uranium Isotopes

Radiation and Radioactivity

Radionuclides

Normally, the parts of an atom are in a balanced or stable state. A small percentage of atoms naturally contain excess energy and therefore are not stable atoms. If the nucleus of an atom contains excess energy, it may be called a radioactive atom, a radioisotope, or radionuclide. The excess energy is usually due to an imbalance in the number of electrons, protons, and/or neutrons which make up the atom.

Radionuclides can be naturally occurring, such as uranium-238, thorium-232 and potassium-40, or man-made, such as iodine-131, cesium-137, and cobalt-60.

Radioactive Decay

Radioactive atoms attempt to reach a stable (non-radioactive) state through a process known as radioactive decay. Radioactive decay is the release of energy from the atom through the emission of particulate and/or electromagnetic radiation. Particulate radiation may be in the form of electrically charged particles such as alpha (2 protons plus 2 neutrons) or beta particles (1 electron), or may be electrically neutral, such as neutrons. Part of the electromagnetic spectrum consists of gamma rays and X-rays which are similar to light and microwaves, but have a much higher energy.

Half-Life

A radioactive half-life is the amount of time required for a radioactive substance to lose half of its activity through the process of radioactive decay. Cobalt-60 has a half-life of about 5 years.

After 5 years, 50% of its radioactivity is gone, and after 10 years, 75% has decayed away. Radioactive half-lives vary from millionths of a second to millions of years.

Radioactive atoms may decay directly to a stable state or may undergo a series of decay stages. During the decay process, several daughter products may be formed which eventually transform into stable atoms. Naturally occurring radium-226, for example, has 10 successive daughter products (including radon) resulting finally with lead-206 as a stable form.




Types Of Radiation


Two types of radiation are considered in the nuclear industry, particulate and electromagnetic. Particulate radiation may come from the nucleus of an atom in the form of an ejected alpha particle. Alpha particles consist of two protons together with two neutrons.

Alpha particles have a very limited ability to penetrate matter. A piece of paper will stop all alpha radiation. For this reason, alpha radiation from sources outside the body are not considered to be a radiation hazard.

A beta particle is like an electron that has been ejected from the nucleus of an atom. Skin or a thin piece of aluminum will stop beta radiation. Exposure to beta radiation can be a hazard to the skin or lens of the eye. Because of their limited ability to penetrate the body, beta and alpha radiation are a health concern primarily if alpha or beta emitters are swallowed or inhaled where they might cause internal radiation exposure. Gamma rays are like X-rays, except that they come from the nucleus of an atom while X-rays come from the electron rings.

Gamma rays can penetrate deep into the body and thus give a "whole-body" radiation dose. Several inches of concrete or lead will stop both gamma and X-rays. Figure 5 shows the approximate penetrating ability of various types of radiation.







Quantities And Units Of Radioactive Measurement

Several quantities and units are used to describe radioactivity and its effects. In the following sections two terms, rem and activity, will be used to describe amounts of radiation.

Rem measures the potential effect of radiation exposure on human cells. Small doses are counted in millirem. Each millirem is equal to one thousandth of a rem. Federal standards limit exposure for an individual member of the public to 500 millirem annually. This annual limit does not include the average 300 millirem received from natural sources and approximately 60 millirem from medical applications.



Activity is the number of nuclei in a sample that disintegrate (decay) every second. Each time a nucleus disintegrates, radiation is emitted. The unit of activity is the curie. A Curie (Ci) is the amount of radioactive material which decays at a rate of 37 billion atoms per second. Smaller units of the Curie are often used. Two common units are the microCurie (μCi), one millionth of a Curie, and the picoCurie (pCi), one trillionth of a Curie. A Curie is a measurement of radioactivity, not a quantity of material. The amount of material necessary to make one Curie varies. For example, one gram of radium-226 is one Curie of radioactivity, but it would take 9,170,000 grams (about 10 tons) of thorium-232 to obtain one Curie.



Sources Of Radiation

Background Radiation

Radiation is not a new creation of the nuclear power industry; it is a natural occurrence on the earth. Mankind has always lived with radiation and always will. Every second of our lives, over 7,000 atoms undergo radioactive decay in the body of the average adult. Radioactivity exists naturally in the soil, water, air and space. All of these common sources of radiation contribute to the natural background radiation that we are exposed to each day.


The earth is constantly showered by a steady stream of high energy gamma rays. These rays come from space and are known as cosmic radiation. Our atmosphere shields out most of this radiation, but everyone still receives about 20 to 50 millirem each year from this source. At high altitudes, the air is thinner and provides less protection from cosmic radiation. Because of this, people living at higher altitudes or even flying in an airplane are exposed to more radiation. Radioactive atoms commonly found in the atmosphere as a result of cosmic ray interactions include beryllium-7, carbon-14, tritium, and sodium-22.

Other natural sources of radiation include radionuclides naturally found in soil, water, food, building materials and even people. People have always been radioactive, in part because the carbon found in our bodies is a mixture of all carbon isotopes, both non-radioactive and radioactive. Approximately two-thirds of the whole body dose from natural sources is contributed by Radon gas. About one-third of the naturally occurring external terrestrial and internal whole body radiation dose is attributable to a naturally radioactive isotope of potassium, potassium-40.


Man-Made

In addition to naturally occurring radiation, people are also exposed to man-made radiation. The largest sources of these exposures are from medical X-rays, fluoroscopic examinations, radioactive drugs, and tobacco. Small doses are received from consumer products such as television, smoke alarms, and fertilizers. Very small doses result from the production of nuclear power. Fallout from nuclear weapons tests is another source of man-made exposure. Fallout radionuclides include strontium-90, cesium-137, carbon-14, and tritium.

Man-Made Sources



Natural And Man-Made Sources



Man-Made

Medical	
Diagnostic X-Rays	39.00
Other Medical	14.00
Consumer Products	5.00 to 13.00
Occupational	0.90
Miscellaneous	
Environmental	0.06
Nuclear Power	0.05

Natural Background

Radon and Radon Daughters	200.00
Cosmic Rays	27.00
Cosmogenic Radiation	1.00
Terrestrial Radiation	28.00
Internal Radiation	40.00

Total 360.00 MREM Per Year

NCRP Report No. 83, "Ionizing Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States," 30 Dec 1987, Bethesda, MD 20814

KC563

Figure 8. Average Annual Dose Equivalent To Persons In The U.S. From Various Radiation Sources

Effects Of Radiation

Studies

The effects of ionizing radiation on human health have been under study for more than eighty years. Scientists have obtained valuable knowledge through the study of laboratory animals that were exposed to radiation under controlled conditions. It has proven difficult, however, to relate the biological effects of irradiated laboratory animals to the potential health effects on humans. Because of this, human populations irradiated under various circumstances have been studied in great depth. These groups include:

- Survivors of the atomic bomb
- Persons undergoing medical radiation treatment
- Radium dial painters during World War I who ingested large amounts of radioactivity by "tipping" the paint brushes with their lips.
- Uranium miners, who inhaled large amounts of radioactive dust while mining pitchblende (uranium ore).
- Early radiologists, who accumulated large doses of radiation from early X-ray equipment while being unaware of the potential hazards.

Analysis of these groups has increased our knowledge of health effects resulting from large radiation doses. Less is known about the effects of low doses of radiation. To be on the conservative side, we assume that health effects occur proportionally to those observed following a large dose of radiation. That is, if one dose of radiation causes an effect, then half the dose will cause half the effect. Radiation scientists agree that this assumption overestimates the risks associated with low level radiation exposure. The effects predicted in this manner have not been actually observed in individuals exposed to low level radiation.

Health Risks


Since the actual effects of exposure to low level radiation are difficult to measure, scientists often refer to the possible risk involved. The problem is one of evaluating alternatives, of comparing risks and weighing them against benefits. People make decisions involving risks every day, such as deciding whether to wear seat belts or smoke cigarettes. Risks are a part of everyday life. The question is to determine how great the risks are.

We accept the inevitability of automobile accidents. Building safer cars or wearing seat belts will reduce the risk of injury. You could choose to not drive to be even safer, but pedestrians and bicyclists are also injured by cars. Reducing the risk of injury from automobiles to zero requires moving to a place where there are no automobiles.

While accepting the many daily risks of living, some people feel that their demands for energy should be met on an essentially risk-free basis. Attention is focused on safeguarding the public, developing a realistic assessment of the risks, and placing them in perspective.

Because you cannot see, feel, taste, hear, or smell radiation, it is often a source of concern. We have the same lack of sensory perception for things such as radio waves, carbon monoxide, and small concentrations of numerous cancer causing substances. Although these risks are just as real as the risks associated with radiation, they have not generated the same degree of concern as radiation.

Most risks are with us throughout our lives, and their effects can be added up over a lifetime to obtain a total effect on our life span. The typical life span for an American woman is now 76 years, whereas men average 71 years of age. Figure 9 shows a number of different factors that decreased our average life expectancy.



The American Cancer Society estimates that about 30 percent of all Americans will develop cancer at some time in their lives from all possible causes. So, in a group of 10,000 people it is expected that 3,000 of them will develop cancer. If each person were to receive a radiation exposure of one rem in addition to natural background radiation, then it is expected that three more may develop cancer during their lifetime. This increases the risk from 30 percent to 30.03 percent. Hence, the risks of radiation exposure are small when compared to the risks of everyday life.

These comparisons should give you some idea of the risk involved in activities that you are familiar with. They give a basis for judging what smoking, eating, or driving a car could mean to your health and safety. Everyone knows that life is full of risks. If you have the basis for judgment, you can decide what to do or what not to do.

Nuclear Reactor Operation

Electricity in the United States is being produced using fossil fuel, uranium, or falling water. A fossil-fueled power station burns coal, oil or natural gas in a boiler to produce energy. Nuclear power stations use uranium fuel and the heat produced from the fission process to make energy. In both cases, they heat and boil water to produce steam. The steam is used to drive a turbine which turns a generator and produces electricity.

Nuclear Fuel

Uranium (U) is the basic ingredient in nuclear fuel, consisting of U-235 and U-238 atoms. Natural uranium contains less than one percent U-235 when it is mined. Commercial nuclear power plants use fuel with a U-235 content of approximately three percent. The process used to increase the U-235 concentration is known as enrichment.

Reactor Operation

After enrichment, the uranium fuel is chemically changed to uranium dioxide, a dry black powder. This powder is compressed into small ceramic pellets. Each fuel pellet is about $\frac{3}{4}$ inches long and $\frac{3}{8}$ inches in diameter. The pellets are placed into 12 foot long metal tubes made of zirconium alloy to make a fuel rod. About five pounds of pellets are used to fill each rod. A total of 204 fuel rods make a single fuel assembly. Virginia Power nuclear reactors contains 157 fuel assemblies (Figure 10)




Figure 10. Reactor Vessel With Fuel Assemblies, Rods, and Fuel Pellets

Fission

Nuclear energy is produced by a process called fission. Fission occurs in a reactor when uranium is split into fragments producing heat and releasing neutrons. These neutrons strike other uranium atoms, causing them to split (fission) and release more heat and neutrons. This is called a chain reaction (Figure 11) and is controlled by the use of reactor control rods.

Control rods are an essential part of the nuclear reactor. Control rods contain cadmium, indium, and silver metals which absorb and control the amount of neutrons produced in the reactor. The control rods act to slow down or stop the chain reaction. A chain reaction cannot occur when the control rods are inserted completely into the core. When the control rods are withdrawn, the chain reaction begins and heat is generated.


Design & Operation


Surry Power Station and North Anna Power Station use a Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) system to generate electricity. There are two complete and independent PWR systems on-site at both Surry and North Anna Power Stations. These are referred to as Unit-1 and Unit-2.

The reactor core is inside a large steel container called the Reactor Pressure Vessel. The reactor core is always surrounded by water. The fissioning of the uranium fuel makes the fuel rods get hot. The hot fuel rods heat the water, which serves as a coolant that carries away heat.

In a pressurized water reactor, heat is moved from place to place by moving water, the reactor's coolant. The water flows in closed loops. As (primary) water moves through the core it gets very hot (605°F), but because it is under such high pressure, 2235 pounds per square inch (psi), it doesn't boil. The hot water then flows to the steam generator. The steam generator is a heat exchanger. Reactor coolant passes through it but doesn't mix with the steam generator (secondary) water. Instead, heat from the primary water is transferred through thousands of tubes to the cooler secondary water. The water in the steam generator is under much less pressure, and the heat boils the secondary water to steam. At Virginia Electric and Power stations, each unit has 3 steam generators.

The steam is piped to a steam turbine that turns an electric generator. The exhausted steam from the turbine is cooled and converted back to water in a condenser. The condenser is also a heat exchanger; in it heat passes from the steam to a third loop of water. In Surry's case the James River provides the third loop water. At North Anna Power Station third loop water is from Lake Anna. The steam turns back to liquid and is pumped back to the steam generator. Figure 12 is a diagram of typical nuclear reactor systems.






Containment

Nuclear power plants are designed to prevent the escape of large quantities of radiation and radioactive substances. Two principles are used. First, thick, heavy walls are used as shielding to absorb radiation and prevent its escape. Second, strong, airtight walls called containment, are used to prevent the escape of radioactive materials.

The reactor pressure vessel and the containment building that houses it are enormously strong (Figure 13). Strong enough, in fact, to withstand a direct hit from a 707 jetliner. The reactor core lies within a sealed pressure vessel. Like all boilers its walls must be very strong because the water inside must be kept under high pressure. The reactor pressure vessel in a nuclear power plant is even heavier than an ordinary steam boiler because of the need to minimize the chance of rupture and release of any radioactive materials. The reactor pressure vessel is made from a stainless steel alloy 6 to 8 inches thick.

Around the reactor pressure vessel is a thick concrete wall. This wall acts as shielding, protecting workers by absorbing radiation resulting from the nuclear chain reaction. Next an airtight 1/2 inch steel liner surrounds the entire interior of the containment. If the reactor pressure vessel or any of the primary piping should break, the escaping steam would be trapped inside the liner.



Finally, the building's reinforced concrete outer wall is 4 1/2 feet thick tapering to 2 1/2 feet at the top of the dome. It is designed to act as shielding and is also intended to withstand natural and man-made events like earthquakes and even the direct impact from a large commercial jet aircraft.

Operating the Reactor Safely

Accidents

The most serious accident that could happen in a nuclear power plant involves overheating in the nuclear reactor core. Such an accident would result from a loss-of-coolant accident or LOCA. During a LOCA, primary coolant would no longer circulate through the reactor core to remove heat. Circulation could be lost if a combination of pipes burst, for example. Conceivably, a dry, overheated reactor core could melt through the pressure vessel.

The reactor itself is designed to respond automatically to such an emergency. Operators are also trained to make corrections for any system failure. The automatic and operator responses have two goals: to prevent damage to the reactor, and prevent the release of radiation. Shutting the reactor down is relatively easy. Control rods drop in and chemical to stop the nuclear reaction are injected into the coolant. Losing the coolant itself tends to stop the chain reaction because the coolant is needed to keep the nuclear chain reaction going. Within 10 seconds of shutdown, the amount of heat is less than 5 percent of the amount produced at full power and within 15 minutes, less than 1 percent.

To carry heat away during an accident, all reactors have Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS). The ECCS consists of primary and back-up pumps and reservoirs of coolant that operate separately from those that normally circulate through the system. A nuclear reactor has many different back-up safety systems designed so that if one fails another is always available.

Workers

There are many different jobs at a nuclear power plant and they are filled by people with diverse backgrounds. All employees are initially trained and then retrained annually by the company. Virginia Power's Training centers are fully accredited by the National Academy for Nuclear Training and the Institute for Nuclear Power Operations. The operators are tested and certified by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

Safety Statistics

Job safety is another measure of assurance that the station is being properly operated. Surry Power Station attained 2,700,000 man hours without a lost time accident and is continuing that record into 1993. North Anna reached 6,000,000 man hours without a lost time accident, prior to 1992. In 1992, however, two accidents occurred which resulted in broken legs. Since those accidents, North Anna has approximately 800,000 man-hours without a lost time accident.

Summary

- Nuclear energy provides an alternate source of energy which is readily available. The operation of a nuclear power station has a very small impact on the environment.
- Radiation is not a new creation of the nuclear power industry; it is a natural occurrence on the earth. Mankind has always lived with radiation and always will. Radioactivity exists naturally in the soil, water, air and space. All these common sources of radiation contribute to the natural background radiation to which we are exposed.
- In addition to naturally occurring radiation and radioactivity, people are also exposed to man-made radiation. Very small doses result from the production of nuclear power.
- Nuclear power plants are designed to prevent the escape of radiation and radioactive substances.
- A nuclear reactor has many different back-up safety systems designed so that if one fails another is available.

III. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM

A. Sampling Program

1. Table 2 summarizes the sampling program for North Anna Power Station during 1992. Figure 1 indicates the locations of the environmental monitoring stations.
2. For routine TLD measurements, two dosimeters made of $\text{CaSO}_4:\text{Dy}$ in a teflon card are deployed at each sampling location. Several TLDs are co-located with NRC and Commonwealth of Virginia direct radiation recording devices. These are indicated as "co-location" samples.
3. In addition to the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program required by North Anna Technical Specifications, Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO) splits samples with the Commonwealth of Virginia. All samples listed in Table 1 are collected by VEPCO personnel except for those labeled state split. All samples are shipped to Teledyne Isotopes in Westwood, New Jersey.
4. All samples listed in Table 2 are taken at indicator locations except those labeled "control".

TABLE 2

(Page 1 of 5)

North Anna Power Station - 1992

RADIOLOGICAL SAMPLING STATIONS

DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM UNIT NO. 1

Sample Media	Location	Station	Distance Miles	Compass Direction	Degrees	Collection Frequency	Remarks
Environmental Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD)	NAPS Sewage Treatment Plant	01	0.20	NE	42°	Quarterly & Annually	On-site, State Split
	Fredericks Hall	02	5.30	SSW	225°	Quarterly & Annually	State Split
	Mineral, Va	03	7.10	WSW	243°	Quarterly & Annually	
	Wares Crossroads	04	5.10	WNW	287°	Quarterly & Annually	State Split
	Route 752	05	4.20	NNE	20°	Quarterly & Annually	
	Sturgeon's Creek Marina	05A	3.20	N	11°	Quarterly & Annually	
	Levy, VA	06	4.70	ESE	115°	Quarterly & Annually	State Split, Co-Location
	Bumpass, VA	07	7.30	SSE	167°	Quarterly & Annually	State Split
	End of Route 685	21	1.00	WNW	301°	Quarterly & Annually	Exclusion Boundary State Split, Co-Location
	Route 700	22	1.00	WSW	242°	Quarterly & Annually	Exclusion Boundary State Split
	"Aspen Hills"	23	0.93	SSE	158°	Quarterly & Annually	Exclusion Boundary State Split, Co-Location
	Orange, VA	24	22.00	NW	325°	Quarterly & Annually	Control
	Bearing Cooling Tower	N-1/33	0.06	N	10°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Sturgeon's Creek Marina	N-2/34	3.20	N	11°	Quarterly	
	Parking Lot "C" (on-site)	NNE-3/35	0.25	NNE	32°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Good Hope Church	NNE-4/36	4.96	NNE	25°	Quarterly	State Split
	Parking Lot "B"	NE-5/37	0.20	NE	42°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Lake Anna Marina	NE-6/38	1.49	NE	34°	Quarterly	
	Weather Tower Fence	ENE-7/39	0.36	ENE	74°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 689	ENE-8/40	2.43	ENE	65°	Quarterly	
	Near Training Facility	E-9/41	0.30	E	91°	Quarterly	On-Site

TABLE 2

(Page 2 of 5)

North Anna Power Station - 1992

RADIOLOGICAL SAMPLING STATIONS

DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM UNIT NO. 1

Sample Media	Location	Station	Distance Miles	Compass Direction	Degrees	Collection Frequency	Remarks
Environmental	"Morning Glory Hill"	E-10/42	2.85	E	93°	Quarterly	
Thermoluminescent	Island Dike	ESE-11/43	0.12	ESE	103°	Quarterly	On-Site
Dosimetry (TLD)	Route 622	ESE-12/44	4.70	ESE	115°	Quarterly	
	VEPCO Biology Lab	SE-13/45	0.75	SE	138°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 701 (Dam Entrance)	SE-14/46	5.88	SE	137°	Quarterly	
	"Aspen Hills"	SSE-15/47	0.93	SSE	158°	Quarterly	Exclusion Boundary
	Elk Creek	SSE-16/48	2.33	SSE	165°	Quarterly	
	Warehouse Compound Gate	S-17/49	0.22	S	173°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Elk Creek Church	S-18/50	1.55	S	178°	Quarterly	
	NAPS Access Road	SSW-19/51	0.36	SSW	197°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 618	SSW-20/52	5.30	SSW	205°	Quarterly	
	NAPS Access Road	SW-21/53	0.30	SW	218°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 700	SW-22/54	4.36	SW	232°	Quarterly	
	500 kv Tower	WSW-23/55	0.40	WSW	237°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 700	WSW-24/56	1.00	WSW	242°	Quarterly	Exclusion Boundary
	(Exclusion Boundary)						
	NAPS Radio Tower	W-25/57	0.31	W	279°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 685	W-26/58	1.55	W	274°	Quarterly	
	End of Route 685	WNW-27/59	1.00	WNW	301°	Quarterly	Exclusion Boundary
	H. Purcell's Private Rd.	WNW-28/60	1.52	WNW	303°	Quarterly	Co-Location
	End of #1/#2 Intake	NW-29/61	0.15	NW	321°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Lake Anna Campground	NW-30/62	2.54	NW	319°	Quarterly	
	#1/#2 Intake	NNW-31/63	0.07	NNW	349°	Quarterly	On-Site
	Route 208	NNW-32/64	3.43	NNW	344°	Quarterly	
	Bumpass Post Office	C-1/2	7.30	SSE	1.67°	Quarterly	Control
	Orange, VA	C-3/4	22.00	NW	325°	Quarterly	Control
	Mineral, VA	C-5/6	7.10	WSW	243°	Quarterly	Control
	Louisa, VA	C-7/8	11.54	WSW	257°	Quarterly	Control

TABLE 2

(Page 3 of 5)

North Anna Power Station - 1992

RADIOLOGICAL SAMPLING STATIONS

DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM UNIT NO. 1

Sample Media	Location	Station	Distance Miles	Compass Direction	Degrees	Collection Frequency	Remarks
Airborne Particulate and Radiiodine	NAPS Sewage Treatment Plant	01	0.20	NE	42°	Weekly	On-Site, State Split
	Fredericks Hall	02	5.30	SSW	205°	Weekly	
	Mineral, VA	03	7.10	WSW	243°	Weekly	
	Wares Crossroads	04	5.10	WNW	287°	Weekly	
	Route 752	05	4.20	NNE	20°	Weekly	
	Sturgeon's Creek Marina	05A	3.20	N	11°	Weekly	
	Levy, VA	06	4.70	ESE	115°	Weekly	
	Bumpass, VA	07	7.30	SSE	167°	Weekly	
	End of Route 685	21	1.00	WNW	301°	Weekly	Exclusion Boundary
	Route 700	22	1.00	WSW	242°	Weekly	Exclusion Boundary
	"Aspen Hills"	23	0.93	SSE	158°	Weekly	State Split
	Orange, VA	24	22.00	NW	325°	Weekly	Exclusion Boundary Control
Surface Water	Waste Heat Treatment Facility (Second Cooling Lagoon)	08	1.10	SSE	148°	Monthly	State Split
	*Lake Anna (upstream) (Route 208 Bridge)	09	2.20	NW	320°	Monthly	Control, State Split
	*Lake Anna (upstream) (Route 669 Bridge)	09A	12.90	WNW	295°	Monthly	Control
River Water	North Anna River (downstream)	11	5.80	SE	128°	Monthly	
Ground Water (Well Water)	Biology Lab	01A	0.75	SE	138°	Quarterly	State Split
Precipitation	Biology Lab	01A	0.75	SE	138°	Monthly	
Aquatic Sediment	Waste Heat Treatment Facility (Second Cooling Lagoon)	08	1.10	SSE	148°	Semi-Annually	State Split
	Lake Anna (upstream)	09	2.20	NW	320°	Semi-Annually	Control, State Split
	North Anna River (Downstream)	11	5.80	SSE	128°	Semi-Annually	

* In October 1991 the Surface Water Sample location at station 09 was moved to 09A.

TABLE 2

(Page 4 of 5)

North Anna Power Station - 1992

RADIOLOGICAL SAMPLING STATIONS

DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM UNIT NO. 1

Sample Media	Location	Station	Distance Miles	Compass Direction	Degrees	Collection Frequency	Remarks
Shoreline Soil	Lake Anna (upstream) (Route 208 Bridge)	09	2.20	NW	320°	Semi-Annually	State Split
Soil	NAPS Sewage Treatment Plant	01	0.20	NE	42°	Once/3 years	On-Site
	Fredericks Hall	02	5.30	SSW	205°	Once/3 years	
	Mineral, VA	03	7.10	WSW	243°	Once/3 years	
	Wares Crossroads	04	5.10	WNW	287°	Once/3 years	
	Route 752	05	4.20	NNE	20°	Once/3 years	
	Sturgeon's Creek Marina	05A	3.20	N	11°	Once/3 years	
	Levy, VA	06	4.70	ESE	115°	Once/3 years	
	Bumpass, VA	07	7.30	SSE	167°	Once/3 years	
	End of Route 685	21	1.00	WNW	301°	Once/3 years	Exclusion Boundary
	Route 700	22	1.00	WSW	242°	Once/3 years	Exclusion Boundary
	(Exclusion Boundary)						
	"Aspen Hills"	23	0.93	SSE	158°	Once/3 years	Exclusion Boundary
	Orange, VA	24	22.00	NW	325°	Once/3 years	Control
Milk	Holladay Dairy (R.C. Goodwin)	12	8.30	NW	310°	Monthly	State Split
	Terrell's Dairy (Fredericks Hall)	13	5.60	SSW	205°	Monthly	State Split
Fish***	Waste Heat Treatment Facility (Second Cooling Lagoon)	08	1.10	SSE	148°	Semi-Annually	State Split
	Lake Anna (upstream) (Route 208 Bridge)	09	2.20	NW	320°	Semi-Annually	State Split
	Lake Orange *	25	16.5	NW	312°	Semi-Annually	Control
Food Products (Broadleaf Vegetation)	Route 713	14	1.20	NE	43°	Monthly if available or at harvest	
	Route 614	15**	1.70(1.37)	SE	133°	Monthly if available or at harvest	

* Added as result of 1990 Quality Assurance Audit.

** Location changed as a result of 1991 Land Use Census to garden at 1.37 miles October 1991.

*** Fish sample no longer obtained at station #09.

TABLE 2

(Page 5 of 5)

North Anna Power Station - 1992

RADIOLOGICAL SAMPLING STATIONS

DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM UNIT NO. 1

Sample Media	Location	Station	Distance Miles	Compass Direction	Degrees	Collection Frequency	Remarks
Food Products (Broadleaf Vegetation)	Route 629/522	16	12.60	NW	314°	Monthly if available or at harvest	
	End of Route 685	21	1.00	WNW	301°	Monthly if available or at harvest	
	Aspen Hills	23	9.93	SSE	158°	Monthly if available or at harvest	

* Added as result of 1990 Quality Assurance Audit.


*Legend For The North Anna Power Station
Environmental Monitoring Stations Overview Maps*


Map Designation	Environmental Sta Identification	Map Designation	Environmental Sta Identification
1*	01,NE-5/37	7/8	C-7&8
1A	01A,SE-13/45	1/33	N-1/33
2*	02,SSW-20/52	31/63	NNW-31/63
3*	03,C-5/16	29/61	NW-29/61
4*	04	3/35	NNE-3/35
5*	5	7/39	ENE-7/39
5A*	05A,N-2/34	9/41	E-9/41
6*	6,ESE-12/44	11/93	ESE-11/43
7*	07,C-1&2	17/49	S-17/49
8	8-Water, Fish Sediment	19/51	SSW-19/51
9	09-Shoreline Soil Stations NW-30/62	21/53	SW-21/53
9A	09A-Water sample, sediment	23/55	WSW-23/55
11	11-River Water, Sediment	25/57	W-25/57
12	12-Milk	16/48	SSE-16/48
13	13-Milk	18/50	S-18/50
14	14-Vegetation, NE-6/38	14/46	SE-14/46
15	Vegetation	22/54	SW-22/54
16	Vegetation	26/58	W-26/58
21*	21,WNN-27/59	28/60	WNW-28/60
22*	22,WSW-24/56	32/64	NNW-32/64
23*	23-SSE-15/47	8/40	ENE-8/40
24* ¹	24,C-3&4	4/36	NNE-40/36
25 ²	25-Fish	10/42	E-10/42

* Indicates air sample station, annual and quarterly TLD, Triennial soil

1 In Orange


2 In Lake Orange





Original © 1991 by ADC of Alexandria, Inc. 6440 General Green Way.
Alexandria, VA 22312 USED WITH PERMISSION. No other reproduction
may be made without the written permission of ADC.

CB3280



North Anna Environmental Map

- Fixed Environmental Sampling Location
- TLD Sampling
- Garden
- Residents
- Meat Animals

Original © 1991 by ADC of Alexandria, Inc., 6440 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312. USED WITH PERMISSION. No other reproduction may be made without the written permission of ADC.

CB3283

B. Analysis Program

1. Table 3 summarizes the analysis program conducted by Teledyne Isotopes for North Anna Power Station during 1992.

TABLE 3
(Page 1 of 3)
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION
SAMPLE ANALYSIS PROGRAM

SAMPLE MEDIA	FREQUENCY	ANALYSIS	LLD*	REPORT UNITS
Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD) (84 Routine Station TLD's)	Quarterly	Gamma Dose	2mR±2mR	mR/std. month
12 Station TLD's	Annually	Gamma Dose	2mR±2mR	mR/std. month
Airborne Radioiodine	Weekly	I-131	0.07	pCi/m ³
Airborne Particulate	Weekly	Gross Beta	0.01	pCi/m ³
	Quarterly (1)	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/m ³
		Cs-134	0.05	
		Cs-137	0.06	
	Annually (2nd Quarter Composite)	Sr-89 Sr-90	0.005 0.0002	pCi/m ³
Surface Water	Monthly	I-131	1	pCi/l
		Gamma Isotopic		pCi/l
		Mn-54	15	
		Fe-59	30	
		Co-58, 60	15	
		Zn-65	30	
		Zr-Nb-95	15	
		Cs-134	15	
		Cs-137	18	
		Ba-La-140	15	
	Quarterly (1)	Tritium (H-3)	2000	pCi/l
	2nd Quarterly	Sr-89	5	pCi/l
	Composite	Sr-90	1	

(1) Quarterly Composites of each location's samples will be used for the required analysis.

* LLD's indicate those levels that the environmental samples should be analyzed to, in accordance with the North Anna Radiological Environmental Program. Actual analysis of the samples by Teledyne Isotopes may be lower than those listed.

TABLE 3
(Page 2 of 3)
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION
SAMPLE ANALYSIS PROGRAM

SAMPLE MEDIA	FREQUENCY	ANALYSIS	LLD*	REPORT UNITS
River Water	Monthly	I-131	1	pCi/l
		Gamma Isotopic		pCi/l
		Mn-54	15	
		Fe-59	30	
		Co-58,60	15	
		Zn-65	30	
		Zr-Nb-95	15	
		Cs-134	15	
		Cs-137	18	
		Ba-La-140	15	
	Quarterly	Tritium (H-3)	2000	pCi/l
	2nd Quarter Sample	Sr-89	5	pCi/l
		Sr-90	1	
Ground Water (Well Water)	Quarterly 2nd Quarter Composite	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/l
		Mn-54	15	
		Fe-59	30	
		Co-58,60	15	
		Zn-65	30	
		Zr-Nb-95	15	
		I-131	1	
		Cs-134	15	
		Cs-137	18	
		Ba-La-140	15	
	Quarterly	Tritium (H-3)	2000	pCi/l
	2nd Quarter Composite	Sr-89	5	
		Sr-90	1	
Aquatic Sediment	Semi-Annually	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/kg (dry)
		Cs-134	150	
		Cs-137	180	
	Annually	Sr-89	200	pCi/kg (dry)
		Sr-90	40	
Shoreline Soil	Semi-Annual	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/kg (dry)
		Cs-134	150	
		Cs-137	180	
	Annually	Sr-89	200	
		Sr-90	40	

* LLD's indicate those levels that the environmental samples should be analyzed to, in accordance with the North Anna Radiological Environmental Program. Actual analysis of the samples by Teledyne Isotopes may be lower than those listed.

TABLE 3
(Page 3 of 3)
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION
SAMPLE ANALYSIS PROGRAM

SAMPLE MEDIA	FREQUENCY	ANALYSIS	LLD*	REPORT UNITS
Soil	Once per 3 yrs.	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/kg (dry)
		Cs-134	150	
	Once per 3 yrs.	Cs-137	180	
		Sr-89	200	pCi/kg (dry)
		Sr-90	40	
Milk	Monthly	I-131	1	pCi/l
	Monthly	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/l
		Cs-134	15	
		Cs-137	18	
		Ba-La-140	15	
	Quarterly	Sr-89	5	pCi/l
		Sr-90	1	
Fish	Semi-Annual	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/kg (wet)
		Mn-54	130	
		Fe-59	260	
		Co-58, 60	130	
		Zn-65	260	
		Cs-134	130	
		Cs-137	150	
Food Products (Broadleaf Vegetation)	Monthly if available or at harvest	Gamma Isotopic		pCi/kg (wet)
		Cs-134	60	
		Cs-137	80	
		I-131	60	pCi/kg (wet)

Note:

This table is not a complete listing of nuclides which can be detected and reported. Other peaks that are measurable and identifiable, together with the above nuclides, shall also be identified and reported.

- * LLD's indicate those levels that the environmental samples should be analyzed to, in accordance with the North Anna Radiological Environmental Program. Actual analysis of the samples by Teledyne Isotopes may be lower than those listed.

Table 4
REMP Exceptions For Scheduled
Sampling And Analysis During 1992 - North Anna

<u>Location</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Date of Sampling</u>	<u>Reasons(s) for Loss/Exception</u>
S-18	Direct Radiation	Fourth Quarter	TLD vandalized.

V. Summary And Discussion of 1992 Analytical Results

Data from the radiological analyses of environmental media collected during the report period are tabulated and discussed below. The procedures and specifications followed in the laboratory for these analyses are as required in the Teledyne Isotopes Quality Assurance Manual and are explained in the Teledyne Isotopes Analytical Procedures. A synopsis of analytical procedures used for the environmental samples is provided in Appendix D. In addition to internal quality control measures performed by Teledyne, the laboratory also participates in the Environmental Protection Agency's Interlaboratory Comparison Program. Participation in this program ensures that independent checks on the precision and accuracy of the measurements of radioactive material in environmental samples are performed. The results of the EPA Interlaboratory Comparison are provided in Appendix E.

Radiological analyses of environmental media characteristically approach and frequently fall below the detection limits of state-of-the-art measurement methods. The "less than" values in the data tables were calculated for each specific analysis and are dependent on sample size, detector efficiency, length of counting time, chemical yield, when appropriate, and the radioactive decay factor from time of counting to time of collection. Teledyne Isotopes analytical methods meet the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) requirements given in Table 2 of the USNRC Branch Technical Position of Radiological Monitoring (November 1979, Revision 1) and the ODCM.


The following is a discussion and summary of the results of the environmental measurements taken during the 1992 reporting period.

A. Airborne Exposure Pathway


1. Air Iodine/Particulates

Charcoal cartridges used to collect airborne iodine were collected weekly and analyzed by a radiochemical separation procedure for iodine-131. The results are presented in Table B-1. All results were below the required lower limit of detection. Gross beta activity was observed in all fifty-two control samples with an average concentration of 0.015 pCi/m^3 and a range of 0.008 to 0.027 pCi/m^3 . The average measurement for the indicator locations was 0.020 pCi/m^3 with a range of 0.004 to 0.055 pCi/m^3 . The results of the gross beta activities are presented in Table B-2. The gross beta activities for 1992 were comparable to levels measured in the 1982-1991 period. Prior to that period the gross beta activities were higher due to atmospheric nuclear weapons testing by other countries.

TRENDING GRAPH-1: GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATES



TRENDING GRAPH 2: TRITIUM IN RIVER WATER-STATION



During the preoperational period river water was not measured.

During the preoperational period of July 1, 1974 through March 31, 1978 gross beta activities ranged from a low of 0.005 pCi/m^3 to a high of 0.75 pCi/m^3 .

Air particulate filters were composited by locations on a quarterly basis and were analyzed by gamma ray spectroscopy. The results are listed in Table B-3. Beryllium-7, which is produced continuously in the upper atmosphere by cosmic radiation, was measured in all 48 composite samples. The average measurement for the control location was 0.074 pCi/m^3 with a range of 0.063 to 0.089 pCi/m^3 . The indicator locations had an average concentration of 0.110 pCi/m^3 and a range of 0.071 to 0.158 pCi/m^3 . During the preoperational period, beryllium-7 was measured at comparable levels, as would be expected. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was detected in one control sample with an activity of 0.049 pCi/m^3 . Potassium-40 was detected in nine indicator samples with an average concentration of 0.024 pCi/m^3 and a range of 0.011 to 0.078 pCi/m^3 . All other gamma emitters were below the detection limits. During the preoperational period gamma ray spectroscopy measured several fission products in numerous air particulate filters. All isotopes were attributed to atmospheric nuclear weapons testing conducted before the preoperational period. Among the isotopes measured were zirconium-95, ruthenium-103, ruthenium-106, cesium-137, cerium-141 and cerium-144.

The second quarter composites of air particulate filters from all twelve stations were analyzed for strontium-89 and 90. There was no detection of these fission products at any of the eleven indicator stations nor at the control stations.

2. Precipitation

A sample of rain water was collected monthly at station 01A, on site, 0.75 miles, 138 degrees SE and analyzed for gross beta activity. The results are presented in Table B-4. The average gross beta activity for 1992 in the twelve samples was 3.40 pCi/liter with a range from 2.0 to 5.0 pCi/liter . Semi-annual composites were prepared and analyzed for gamma emitting isotopes and tritium. All gamma emitters were below their detection limits. Tritium was not detected in the semi-annual composite samples. These results were comparable to or lower than those measured in 1986 thru 1991. During the preoperational period gross beta activity in rain water was expressed in nCi per square meter of the collector surface, thus a direct comparison can not be made to the 1992 period. Tritium was measured in over half of the few quarterly composites made. The tritium activity ranged from 100 to 330 pCi/liter .

3. Soil

Soil samples which are collected every three years from twelve stations, were collected in July and analyzed by gamma ray spectroscopy. The results are presented in Table B-5. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was detected in all twelve samples with an average activity of 11112 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 2480 to 24400 pCi/kg (dry weight). The terrestrial nuclide radium-226 was monitored in eleven samples with an average activity of 2038 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 1130 to 2840 pCi/kg (dry weight). Thorium-228 also naturally occurring was detected in all samples with an average activity of 1209 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 419 to 2140 pCi/kg (dry weight). The fission product cesium-137 was detected in nine of the twelve samples at an average activity of 466 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 60.9 to 949 pCi/kg (dry weight). The cesium-137 is attributed to past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. Cesium-137 was not detected in the sample from station 24, the control station.

The twelve soil samples were analyzed for strontium-89 and strontium-90. There were no detections of strontium-89. Strontium-90 was detected in six of the twelve samples with an average activity of 73.7 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 43 to 120 pCi/kg (dry weight). These fission products occurred in previous years and are believed to be from atmospheric nuclear weapons testing in previous years.

B. *Waterborne Exposure Pathway*

1. Ground/Well Water

Water was sampled quarterly from the on site well at the metrology laboratory. These samples were analyzed for gamma radiation and for tritium. The results are presented in Table B-6. No gamma emitting isotopes or tritium were detected. The second quarter sample was analyzed for strontium-89 and strontium-90. There were no detections of these isotopes above the detection level. No gamma emitting isotopes were detected during the preoperational period. Tritium was measured in most of the samples during that period with concentrations between 80 and 370 pCi/liter.

2. River Water

A sample of water from the North Anna River was collected monthly at station 11, 5.8 miles downstream from the discharge lagoon, 128 degrees SSE. The results are presented

in Table B-7. The samples were analyzed by gamma spectroscopy, and for tritium. The second quarter samples were analyzed in addition for strontium-89 and strontium-90.

All gamma emitters were below the detection level. No detections of strontium-89 or strontium-90 occurred. Tritium was measured in eleven of the twelve samples with an average level of 4000 pCi/liter and a range of 3500 to 4600 pCi/liter. This is slightly higher than the the average level measured in 1991 of 3634 pCi/liter and a range of 3100 to 4400 pCi/liter. No river water samples were collected in the preoperational period.

3. Surface Water


Samples of surface water were collected monthly from two stations. Station 08 is at the discharge lagoon, 1.1 miles, 148 degrees SSE on Lake Anna. Station 09A is located 12.9 miles WNW. The samples were analyzed for iodine-131 by radiochemical separation. No iodine was detected in the 24 samples analyzed. The results are presented in Table B-8. The samples were also analyzed by gamma ray spectrometry. Potassium-40, a naturally occurring radionuclide was measured at 47.1 pCi/liter in one sample from station 08. All gamma emitters were below their detection levels at both station.

A quarterly composite from each station was prepared and analyzed for tritium. The tritium activity at station 08 for the quarterly composites was at an average level of 3950 pCi/liter with a range of 2700 to 4800 pCi/liter. The tritium level had been increasing since the middle of 1978 when the average level was below 300 pCi/liter. However, during 1992 the results were within the same range as those measured in 1986 thru 1991. During the preoperational period tritium was measured in several samples with concentrations between 90 and 250 pCi/liter. Tritium was not detected at station 09A.

Samples of surface water were collected by the Commonwealth of Virginia from two stations. Station W-33 is located at the discharge lagoon while station W-27 is located on the North Anna River at the RT. 208 Bridge, which is upstream of the site. Twenty-four samples were collected and analyzed by gamma ray spectroscopy and for tritium. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was measured in two samples with an average level of 59.6 pCi/liter and a range of 40.6 to 78.5 pCi/liter. The results are presented in Table B-9. All other gamma emitters were below their detection levels.


Since the tritium level had been increasing during the last several years, three samples from each station were analyzed for tritium during 1992. The average activity at station W-33 in

TRENDING GRAPH - 3: TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER - STA 08



Unit 1 critical on 06/06/78. Unit 2 critical on 12/14/80.

TRENDING GRAPH - 4: COBALT-58 IN SEDIMENT SILT



No cobalt-58 measured during pre-operational period.

all three samples was 3833 pCi/liter with a range of 3700 to 4110 pCi/liter. This is slightly higher than the 3550 pCi/liter measured during 1991 at this station. Tritium was measured in two of the three samples at station W-27 with an average activity of 2650 pCi/liter and a range of 2600 to 2700 pCi/liter. This is slightly higher than the average of 2250 pCi/liter measured at station W-27 during 1991.

C. Aquatic Exposure Pathway

1. Sediment/Silt

Sediment samples were collected during March and September from each of three locations and were analyzed by gamma spectrometry. The results are presented in Table B-10. A number of man-made and naturally occurring radioisotopes were detected in these samples. Cesium-137 was detected in four samples with an average activity of 274 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range from 31.4 to 524 pCi/kg (dry weight). The highest reading for cesium-137 was obtained from station 11 located 5.8 miles SSE.


Naturally occurring potassium-40 was observed in all six samples with an average activity of 12400 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range from 4950 to 24400 pCi/kg (dry weight). Radium-226 was measured in all samples with an average concentration of 1095 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 775 to 1390 pCi/kg (dry weight). Also naturally occurring, thorium-228 was observed in all six samples with an average concentration of 856 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 409 to 1790 pCi/kg (dry weight). The September samples were analyzed for strontium-89 and strontium-90. There were no detections of strontium-89 or strontium-90 in aquatic sediment/silt.

During the preoperational period sediment samples were analyzed by gamma ray spectroscopy. Cesium-137 was measured in most of the samples with concentrations between 33 and 1210 pCi/kg (dry weight). Strontium-90 was measured in most of the samples with concentrations between 60 and 540 pCi/kg (dry weight). Strontium-89 was not measured. Potassium-40, radium-226, and thorium-228, all naturally occurring, were measured at background levels.

2. Shoreline Soil


A sample of shoreline sediment was collected in March and September from station 09, 2.2 miles upstream of the North Anna Power Station. The samples were analyzed by gamma ray spectrometry. The results are presented in Table B-11. The naturally occurring nuclide

TRENDING GRAPH - 5: COBALT-60 IN SEDIMENT SILT




No cobalt-60 measured during pre-operational period.

TRENDING GRAPH - 6: CESIUM-134 IN SEDIMENT SILT




No cesium-134 measured during pre-operational period.

TRENDING GRAPH - 7: CESIUM-137 IN SEDIMENT SILT



TRENDING GRAPH - 8: CESIUM-134 IN FISH



potassium-40 was measured in both samples with an average activity of 8360 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 8250 to 8470 pCi/kg (dry weight). Thorium-228 was measured in both samples at an average of 1545 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 1050 to 2040 pCi/kg (dry weight). Radium-226 was measured in both samples with an average activity of 2055 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 1370 to 2740 pCi/kg (dry weight). Cesium-137, a fission product, was monitored in both samples with an average level of 534 pCi/kg (dry weight) and a range of 152 to 915 pCi/kg (dry weight).

The September sample was analyzed for strontium and there were no detections of strontium-89 or strontium-90.

D. Ingestion Exposure Pathway

1. Milk


The results of the iodine-131 analysis of milk samples are presented in Table B-12. A sample was collected monthly from two stations. A total of 24 samples were analyzed during 1992. There were no measurements of iodine-131 above the detection limits.

The milk samples were also analyzed by gamma ray spectroscopy and the results are also presented in Table B-12. A total of 24 samples were analyzed. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was measured in all of the samples with an average of 1360 pCi/liter and a range of 1110 to 2340 pCi/liter. The fission product cesium-137 has been detected sporadically in recent years and the activity has been attributed to global fallout from past atmospheric weapons testing. However, cesium-137 was not detected at levels above LLD in any milk samples in 1992. All other gamma emitters were below their detection levels. A quarterly composite was prepared from each of the two collection stations and analyzed for strontium-89 and strontium-90. Strontium-89 was not detected at levels above LLD in any of the samples monitored. Strontium-90 was detected in the eight samples monitored with an average level of 2.04 pCi/liter and a range of 0.80 to 3.4 pCi/liter. This is similar to activities determined in previous years and lower than the preoperational levels of 2.2 to 5.4 pCi/liter.


2. Fish

Aquatic biota can be sensitive indicators of radionuclide accumulation in the environment because of their ability to concentrate certain chemical elements which have radioactive isotopes. The results are presented in Table B-13. Four samples of fish were collected

TRENDING GRAPH - 9: CESIUM-137 IN FISH



TRENDING GRAPH - 10: ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION - TLD's



during 1992. These samples were analyzed by gamma ray spectroscopy and the naturally occurring isotope potassium-40 was found in all samples at an average of 1480 pCi/kg (wet weight) with a range of 1190 to 1670 pCi/kg (wet weight). The fission product cesium-137 was measured in one sample at a level of 63.1 pCi/kg (wet weight). During the preoperational period cesium-137 was measured in one-fourth of the fish samples collected with concentrations between 31 and 66 pCi/kg (wet weight).

3. Food/Vegetation

Thirty-five food samples were collected from five locations and analyzed by gamma spectrometry. The results are presented in Table B-14. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was monitored in all of the samples with an average activity level of 8507 pCi/kg (wet weight) and a range of 1820 to 29100 pCi/kg (wet weight). Cosmogenic beryllium-7 was detected in 34 of the 35 samples with an average concentration of 1132 pCi/kg (wet weight) and a range of 108 to 7680 pCi/kg (wet weight). Radium was measured in six samples with an average concentration of 371 pCi/kg (wet weight) and a range of 141 to 704 pCi/kg (wet weight). The terrestrial nuclide thorium-228 was detected in seven of the samples at an average activity of 152 pCi/kg (wet weight) and a range of 36.7 to 316 pCi/kg (wet weight).

The fission product cesium-134 was not detected at levels above LLD during 1992. Cesium-137 was detected in 9 of the 35 samples with an average activity of 41.5 pCi/kg (wet weight) and a range of 20.5 to 83.4 pCi/kg (wet weight). These results are consistent with those measured in previous years. Cesium-137 was measured in broadleaf garden vegetation during the preoperational period with concentrations between 53 and 98 pCi/kg (wet weight).

E. *Direct Radiation Exposure Pathway*

1. TLD Dosimeters

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) determine environmental radiation doses and the results are presented in Table B-15. Individual measurements of external radiation levels in the environs of the North Anna site had an average dose of 5.7 mR/standard month with a range of 3.4 to 9.0 mR/standard month. The control station, No 24 had an average reading of 4.4 mR/standard month with a range of 3.4 to 4.4 mR/standard month.

Sector TLDs are deployed quarterly at thirty-two locations in the environs of the North Anna site. Two badges are placed at each location. The results are presented in Table B-16. The average level of the 32 locations (two badges at each location) was 6.3mR/standard month with a range of 3.4 to 10.1 mR/standard month. The thirty-two control TLDs from eight locations showed an average reading of 5.1 mR/standard month with a range of 3.4 to 7.7 mR/standard month. One of the badges from the fourth quarter was missing. Although a thorough search was made of the area the TLD could not be located. During the part (starting in 1977) of the preoperational period that the calculation of the TLD dose included a correction for the in-transit dose, the doses were measured between 4.3 and 8.8 mR/standard month.

VI. Conclusions

The results of the 1992 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program for the North Anna Nuclear Power Station have been presented. The following sections discuss each pathway individually followed by a program summary.

Airborne Exposure Pathway

Air particulate gross beta concentrations of all the indicator locations for 1992 followed the gross beta concentrations at the control location. The gross beta concentrations were comparable to levels observed since 1982 except for a five week period in 1986 which was influenced by the Chernobyl accident. Gross beta concentrations in the preoperational period were highly variable, ranging from 0.0043 to 0.75 pCi/CuM, due to occasional atmospheric nuclear weapons tests. Gamma isotopic analysis of the particulate samples identified the gamma emitting isotopes as natural products (beryllium-7 and potassium-40). There were no detections above the LLD for fission products nor other man-made isotopes in the particulate media during 1992. Iodine-131 was not detected in the 623 charcoal filters analyzed during 1992.

A precipitation sample was collected monthly during 1992 and analyzed for gross beta activity. All the gross beta activities were comparable to those measured in previous years. During the preoperational period the average gross beta activity was 0.92 pCi/liter. Semi-annual composites were analyzed for gamma emitting isotopes and tritium. All gamma emitters were below their detection limits. Tritium was not observed above the LLD during 1992. During the preoperational period the average tritium activity was 165 pCi/liter.

Waterborne Exposure Pathway

No man-made or natural isotopes were monitored in the surface water of Lake Anna except tritium. The average tritium activity in 1992 at the waste heat treatment facility was 3950 pCi/liter which is 20.0% of the reporting level for a water sample. In 1991 the tritium level was 4025 pCi/liter. The preoperational level was 150 pCi/liter and has been rising since 1977. The tritium level upstream of the site, at station 09, was 2933 pCi/liter in 1991, however tritium was not detected during 1992 at the new location 10 miles farther NW, station 09A.

The samples of surface water collected by the Commonwealth of Virginia at the waste heat treatment facility had similar tritium results with a measurement of 3360 as compared to 3117

pCi/liter for 1991. The upstream location had an activity of 2650 pCi/liter as compared to 2250 pCi/liter for 1991. No gamma emitting isotopes were detected.

River water collected from the North Anna River, 5.8 miles downstream of the site had an average tritium level of 4000 pCi/liter. The average tritium in 1991 had been 3645 pCi/liter. No gamma emitters were detected.

Ground water from the environmental well on site contained no gamma emitters. There were also no detections of tritium in ground/well water during 1992.

Aquatic Pathway

Sediment/silt samples provide a sensitive indicator of discharges from nuclear power stations. The sediment from North Anna environmental samples indicated that two man-made isotopes were present. Cesium-137 was detected in four samples at three locations. During the preoperational period, cesium-137 was measured in samples of aquatic sediment. In 1992 manganese-54 was measured in one sample at 24.5 pCi/kg. Sediment contamination does not provide a direct dose pathway to man.

The samples of shoreline soil monitored downstream of the site contained one measurement of cesium-134 at a level of 114 pCi/kg. Cesium-137 was measured in both samples at an average level of 534 pCi/kg which was slightly higher than the average of 502 pCi/kg detected in 1991.

Ingestion Pathway

Iodine-131 was not detected in any of the twenty-four milk samples using the radiochemical separation method. Although cesium-137 has been detected occasionally in previous years and attributed to past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing there were no detections during 1992. Strontium-90 was measured in all eight milk samples. Strontium-90 is attributed to past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. No strontium-89 was detected in any of the milk samples. Naturally occurring potassium-40 was measured in all the milk samples at normal environmental levels.

Activity in fish and vegetation samples along with milk does present a direct dose pathway to man. Fish samples in 1992 showed the presence of one man-made isotope, cesium-137. This isotope was at an activity level somewhat higher than preoperational levels but statistically similar to levels in 1987 through 1991. Only cesium-137 was measured in preoperational environmental fish samples. Due to primary and secondary steam generator problems experienced at North Anna

during 1984/1985, a build up in activity levels both in effluents and fish did occur. Repairs to the steam generators and better liquid waste processing have reduced these activity levels in effluents and thus decreased activity levels are now being observed in the fish. The average level of activity in 1992 of cesium-137 was 3.2% of the reporting level.

Vegetation samples contained the man-made isotope cesium-137. The cesium-137 activity levels in 1992 and in preoperational samples were statistically similar to the 1991 level.

Direct Exposure Pathway

The direct exposure pathway as measured in the environment of the North Anna site by thermoluminescent dosimetry has remained essentially the same since the preoperational period in 1977 at 6 milliroentgens per month or 0.2 milliroentgens per day. The average dose levels monitored have shown a normal fluctuation about these levels which are less than the estimated whole body dose due to natural terrestrial and cosmic radiation and the internal dosage from natural radionuclides.

Program Conclusions

The results were as expected for normal environmental samples. Naturally occurring activity was observed in sample media in the expected activity ranges. Occasional samples of nearly all media showed the presence of man-made isotopes. These have been discussed individually in the text. Observed activities were at very low concentrations and had no significant dose consequence.

As a method of referencing the measured radionuclide concentrations in sample media to the dose consequence, the data may be compared to the Reporting Level Concentrations listed in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual. These concentrations are based upon 25% of the annual dose commitment recommended by 10CFR50, Appendix I, to meet the criterion "As Low as is Reasonably Achievable." Based upon the evidence of the environmental monitoring program the station is operating within regulatory limits. Thus, no unusual radiological characteristics were observed in the environs of the North Anna Nuclear Power Station in 1992.

VII. References

1. Virginia Electric and Power Company, North Anna Power Station Technical Specifications, Units 1 and 2.
2. Virginia Electric and Power Company, Station Administrative Procedure, VPAP-2103, "Offsite Dose Calculation Manual," Rev. O, May 31, 1990.
3. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 50 (10CFR50), "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities."
4. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.109, Rev. 1, "Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix I," October, 1977.
5. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 4.8 "Environmental Technical Specifications for Nuclear Power Plants," December, 1975.
6. USNRC Branch Technical Position, "Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program," Rev. 1, November 1979.
7. NUREG 0472, "Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications for PWRs," Rev. 3, March 1982.
8. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Report No. 39, "Basic Radiation Protection Criteria," Washington, D.C., January 1971.
9. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Report No. 45, "Natural Background Radiation in the United States," Washington, D.C., November 1975.
10. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Report No. 93, "Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States," Washington, D.C., December, 1987.
11. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Report No. 95, "Radiation Exposure of the U.S. Population from Consumer Products and Miscellaneous Sources," Washington, D.C., December 1987.
12. DOE/NE-0072, "Nuclear Energy and Electricity, The Harnessed Atom," US Dept. of Energy, 1986.
13. Eichholz, G., "Environmental Aspects of Nuclear Power," Lewis Publishers, Inc., 1985.
14. Eisenbud, M., "Environmental Radioactivity," Academy Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1987.
15. Fitzgibbon, W., "Energy Skill Builders, Nuclear Reactor," Enterprise for Education, Inc., 1987.
16. Glasstone, S., and Jordan, W., "Nuclear Power and its Environmental Effects," American Nuclear Society, 1982.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339 January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine Reported Measurements
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	
Air Iodine (pCi/m ³)	I-131	623	0.04	-(0/571)	NA		NA	-(0/52)	0
Airborne Particulates (1E-03 pCi/m ³)	Gross Beta	624	5	19.6(572-572) (4.4-55)	05A	3.20 mi. N	21.3(52/52) (12-35)	14.9(52/52) (8.1-27)	0
	Gamma	48							
	Be-7	48	10	110(44/44) (71.3-158)	05A	3.20 mi. N	137(4/4) (102-158)	73.9(4/4) (62.8-89.1)	0
	K-40	48	10	24.1(9/44) (11.2-78.0)	04	5.10 mi. WNW	78.0(1/4) -	48.7(1/4) -	0
	Sr-89	12	3	-(0/11) -	NA		NA	-(0/1) -	0
	Sr-90	12	0.4	-(0/11) -	NA		NA	-(0/1) -	0
Ground Well Water (pCi/liter)	Gamma	4							
	K-40	4	60	-(0/4) -	N/A		N/A	NONE	0
	Tritium	4	2000	-(0/4) -	N/A		N/A	NONE	0
River Water (pCi/liter)	Gamma	12							
	K-40	12	200	-(0/12) -	N/A		N/A	NONE	0
	Tritium	12	2000	4000(11/11) (3500-4600)	11	5.8 mi. SSE	4000(11/11) (3500-4600)	NONE	0
	Sr-89	12	3	-(0/2) -	NA		NA	NONE	0
	Sr-90	12	0.4	-(0/2) -	NA		NA	NONE	0

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339 January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 2 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine Reported Measurements
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	

Precipitation Monthly (pCi/liter)

Gross Beta	12	4	3.40 (12/12) (2.0-5.0)	01A	0.2 mi. NE	3.40(12/12) (2.0-5.0)	NONE	0
------------	----	---	---------------------------	-----	------------	--------------------------	------	---

Gamma 2
(Semi-Annually)

Be-7	2	70	-(0/2)	N/A	N/A	NONE	0
------	---	----	--------	-----	-----	------	---

Tritium	2	2000	-(0/2)	N/A	N/A	NONE	0
---------	---	------	--------	-----	-----	------	---

Surface Water (pCi/liter) Regular Monthlies

I-131	24	0.5	-(0/12)	N/A	N/A	-(0/12)	0
-------	----	-----	---------	-----	-----	---------	---

Gamma	24						
-------	----	--	--	--	--	--	--

K-40	24	200	47.1(1/12)	08	1.10 mi SSE	47.1(1/12)	-(0/12)
------	----	-----	------------	----	-------------	------------	---------

Tritium	8	2000	3950(4/4) (2700-4800)	08	1.10 mi SSE	3950(4/4) (2700-4800)	NONE
---------	---	------	--------------------------	----	-------------	--------------------------	------

Sr-89	1	2000	-(0/1)	N/A	N/A	-(0/1)	0
-------	---	------	--------	-----	-----	--------	---

Sr-90	1	2000	-(0/1)	N/A	N/A	-(0/1)	0
-------	---	------	--------	-----	-----	--------	---

Gamma	24						
-------	----	--	--	--	--	--	--

K-40	24	200	59.6(2/24) (40.6-78.5)	W-27	78.5(1/12)	NONE	0
------	----	-----	---------------------------	------	------------	------	---

Tritium	14	2000	3360(5/6) (2600-4100)	W33	3833(3/3) (3700-4100)	NONE	0
---------	----	------	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------	------	---

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 3 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine Reported Measurements
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	
Sediment Silt (pCi/kg (dry))	Gamma	6							
	K-40	6	200	11625(4/4) (4950-24400)	11	5.8 mi SSE	17055(2/2) (9710-24400)	13950(2/2) (12800-15100)	0
	Be-7	6		-(0/4)	09	2.20 mi NW	503(1/2)	503(1/2)	0
	Mn-54	6		24.5(1/4)	08	1.10 mi SSE	24.5(1/2)	-(0/2)	0
	Cs-137	6	194	355(3/4) (241-524)	11	5.8 mi SSE	524(1/2)	31.4(1/2)	0
	Ra-226	6	100	1200(4/4) (1030-1390)	11	5.8 mi SSE	1210(2/2) (1030-1390)	886(2/2) (775-997)	0
	Th-228	6	30	1077(4/4) (737-1790)	11	5.8 mi SSE	1318(2/2) (845-1790)	415(2/2) (409-421)	0
	Sr-89 (Annually)	3	4.0	-(0/2)		N/A	N/A	-(0/1)	0
	Sr-90 (Annually)	3	0.8	-(0/2)		N/A	N/A	(0/1)	0

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 4 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	Reported Measurements
Shoreline Soil (pCi/kg (dry))	Gamma	2							
	K-40	2	200	8360(2/2) (8250-8470)	9 2.2 mi. NW		8360(2/2) (8250-8470)	NONE	0
	Cs-134	2	40	114(1/2) -	9 2.2 mi. NW		114(1/2) -	NONE	0
	Cs-137	2	40	534(2/2) (152-915)	9 2.2 mi. NW		534(2/2) (152-915)	NONE	0
	Ra-226	2	100	2055(2/2) (1370-2740)	9 2.2 mi. NW		2055(2/2) (1370-2740)	NONE	0
	Th-228	2	30	1545(2/2) (1050-2040)	9 2.2 mi. NW		1545(2/2) (1050-2040)	NONE	0
	Sr-89 (Annually)	1	4.0	-(0/2)	NA		NA	NONE	0
	Sr-90 (Annually)	1	0.8	-(0/2)	NA		NA	NONE	0

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 5 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine Reported Measurements
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	
Soil	Gamma	12							
(pCi/kg (dry))	Be-7	12		692(0/11)	04	5.10 mi WNW	692 (1/1)	-(0/1)	0
				-			-	-	
	K-40	12		11896(11/11) (5320-24400)	23	0.93 mi SSE	24400(1/1)	2480(1/1)	0
							-	-	
	Cs-134	12	100	-(0/11)		N/A		-(0/1)	0
				-			-	-	
	Cs-137	12	180	466(9/11) (60.9-949)	04	5.10 mi WNW	949(1/1)	-(0/1)	0
							-	-	
	Ra-226	12	100	2090(10/11) (1130-2840)	23	0.93 mi SSE	2840(1/1)	1520(1/1)	0
							-	-	
	Th-228	12	30	1249(11/11) (419-2140)	22	1.00 mi WSW	2140(1/1)	778(1/1)	0
							-	-	
	Sr-89	12	200	-(0/11)		N/A		-(0/11)	0
				-			-	-	
	Sr-90	12	40	73.7(6/11) (43-120)	04	5.10 mi WNW	120(1/1)	-(0/1)	0
							-	-	

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339 January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 6 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine Reported Measurements
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	
Milk (pCi/liter)	I-131	24	0.5	-(0/24)	N/A		N/A	NONE	0
	Gamma	24							
	K-40	24	100	1360(24/24) (1110-2340)	12	8.3 mi. NW	1448(12/12) (1250-2340)	NONE	0
	Sr-89 (Quarterly)	8	5	-(0/8)	N/A		N/A	NONE	0
	Sr-90 (Quarterly)	8	0.8	2.04(8/8) (0.80-3.4)	13	5.60 mi. SSW	2.20(4/4) (1.1-3.4)	NONE	0
Fish pCi/kg (wet)	Gamma	4							
	K-40	4	200	1390(4/4) (1190-1590)	25	16.5 mi. NW	1570(2/2) (1470-1670)	1570(2/2) (1470-1670)	0
	Cs-137	10	40	63.1(1/2)	08	1.10 mi. SSE	63.1(1/2)	-(0/2)	0
Food Vegetation (pCi/kg (wet))	Gamma Dose	35							
	Be-7	35	-	1132(34/35) (108-7680)	14	1.20 mi. NE	1476(7/7) (108-7380)	NONE	0
	K-40	35	-	8507(35/35) (1820-29100)	14	1.20 mi. NE	10853(7/7) (3210-22500)	NONE	0
	Cs-137	35	80	41.5(9/35) (20.5-83.4)	21	1.00 mi. WNW	54.9(4/7) (36.5-83.4)	NONE	0
	Ra-226	35	80	371(6/35) (141-704)	21	1.00 mi. WNW	489(1/7)	NONE	0
	Th-228	35	-	152(7/35) (36.7-316)	21	1.00 mi. WNW	316(1/7)	NONE	0

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

Docket No. 50-338/339 January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 7 of 7

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit)	Analysis		LLD ¹	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Mean			Control Location	Non-routine Reported Measurements
	Type	Total No.		Mean Range	Name	Distance Direction	Mean Range	Mean Range	

Direct Radiation (mR/std. month) (Regular TLDs)	Gamma Dose	48	0.2	5.78(44/44) (3.9-9.0)	01	0.2 mi. NE	7.48(4/4) (7.8-9.10)	4.38(4/4) (3.4-4.8)	0
---	------------	----	-----	--------------------------	----	---------------	-------------------------	------------------------	---

Direct Radiation (mR/std. Month) (Annual TLDs)	Gamma Dose	12	0.2	6.28(11/11) (5.1-8.1)	01	0.2 mi NE	8.1(1/1) -	4.7(1/1) -	0
--	------------	----	-----	--------------------------	----	--------------	---------------	---------------	---

Direct Radiation (mR/std. Month) (Sector TLDs)	Gamma Dose	287	0.2	6.40(255/255) (3.4-10.1)	21/53	0.30 mi SW	9.20(4.4) (8.6-10.0)	5.09(32/32) (3.4-7.7)	0
--	------------	-----	-----	-----------------------------	-------	---------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	---

¹ LLD is the Lower Limit of Detection as defined and required in USNRC Branch Technical Position on an Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, Revision 1, November 1979.

TABLE B-1: IODINE-131 CONCENTRATION IN FILTERED AIR

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 2

Collection Date	STATIONS											
	01	02	03	04	05	05A	06	07	21	22	23	24
JANUARY												
01/02-01/08	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02
01/08-01/16	<.004	<.008	<.009	<.009	<.01	<.003	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
01/16-01/22	<.02 (f)	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.02
01/22-01/29	<.009	<.009	<.01	<.03	<.02	<.03	<.1 (a)	<.04	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01
FEBRUARY												
01/29-02/05	<.008	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.009	<.008	<.007(g)	<.008
02/05-02/12	<.03	<.05	<.05	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02
02/12-02/19	<.02	<.02	<.01	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02
02/19-02/26	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02
MARCH												
02/26-03/04	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02
03/04-03/11	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.02	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02
03/11-03/18	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
03/18-03/25	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
03/25-04/01	<.01	<.009	<.009	<.008	<.02	<.04	<.008	<.02	<.03	<.05	<.04	<.005
APRIL												
04/01-04/08	<.002	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003	<.003
04/08-04/15	<.008	<.009	<.009	<.008	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.007	<.01	<.01	<.008
04/15-04/22	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01
04/22-04/29	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01
MAY												
04/29-05/06	<.007	<.004	<.007	<.009	<.01	<.009	<.008	<.008	<.007	<.007	<.003	<.002
05/06-05/13	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.03
05/13-05/21	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.008	(b)	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.01
05/21-05/27(c)	<.05	<.06	<.06	<.07	<.05	<.05	<.07(d,f)	<.07	<.06	<.06	<.06	<.07
05/27-06/03	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
JUNE												
06/03-06/10	<.008	<.01	<.009	<.008	<.008	<.007	<.008	<.01	<.009	<.01	<.007	<.007
06/10-06/17	<.004	<.004	<.004	<.004	<.004	<.004	<.03(d)	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02
06/17-06/25	<.01	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.007	<.03(e)	<.008	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
06/25-07/01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.02

- (a) LLD could not be met due to a low chemical yield of 3% although lab compensated by counting 3300 minutes.
 (b) Error made during analysis at laboratory and sample was judged to be lost in analysis.
 (c) Error made in laboratory during iodine by radiochemistry procedure, therefore sample was counted by gamma spectroscopy.
 (d) Sampler found unplugged.
 (e) Sampler malfunction, low sample volume.
 (f) Estimated volume.
 (g) Power loss of 1.6 hours.

TABLE B-1: IODINE-131 CONCENTRATION IN FILTERED AIR

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 2 of 2

Collection Date	STATIONS											
	01	02	03	04	05	05A	06	07	21	22	23	24
JULY												
07/01-07/08	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.01	<.008
07/08-07/16	<.007	<.006	<.007	<.007	<.007	<.006	<.008	<.006	<.006	<.006	<.007	<.008
07/16-07/22	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.008	<.009	<.01
07/22-07/29	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.02	<.01	<.01
AUGUST												
07/29-08/05	<.01	<.009	<.009	<.009	<.008	<.008	<.008	<.01	<.009	<.007	<.009	<.01
08/05-08/12	<.009	<.008	<.009	<.009	<.008	<.01	<.009	<.008	<.01	<.009	<.01	<.01
08/12-08/19	<.003	<.006	<.007	<.009	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.004	<.005	<.006	<.004	<.004
08/19-08/26	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.009
08/26-09/02	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.005	<.01	<.01	<.006	<.005	<.006	<.02	<.01
SEPTEMBER												
09/02-09/09	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01
09/09-09/16(d)	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.02(a)	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.007
09/16-09/23(b)	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.009	<.009	<.01
09/23-09/30	<.009	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.007	<.008	<.009	<.01	<.008	<.004
OCTOBER												
09/30-10/07	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.02	<.01	<.01
10/07-10/14	<.01	<.009	<.007	<.007	<.008	<.009	<.007	<.007	<.007	<.008	<.009	<.01
10/14-10/21	<.007	<.006	<.006	<.007	<.005	<.006	<.009	<.007	<.006	<.01	<.01	<.01
10/21-10/28	<.01	<.008	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.009	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.009
NOVEMBER												
10/28-11/04	<.007	<.01	<.009	<.009	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.03(c)	<.009	<.009	<.009
11/04-11/12	<.007	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.009	<.01	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.01
11/12-11/18	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.006	<.008	<.007	<.008	<.009	<.008	<.008	<.009	<.007
11/18-11/25	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
11/25-12/02	<.008	<.008	<.009	<.007	<.007	<.006	<.007	<.007	<.008	<.008	<.01	<.009
DECEMBER												
12/02-12/09	<.008	<.008	<.009	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.007	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.008	<.01
12/09-12/16	<.008	<.008	<.009	<.009	<.008	<.009	<.009	<.009	<.009	<.01	<.008	<.008
12/16-12/23	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01(c)	<.01	<.01	<.01
12/23-12/30	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01(a)	<.02	<.02	<.01	<.01	<.02(c)	<.01	<.003	<.004

(a) Sampler malfunction; low sample volume.

(b) Stations 03 and 04 had collection dates of 09/17/92 to 09/23/92.

(c) Sampler unplugged.

(d) Stations 03 and 04 had collection dates of 09/09/92 to 09/17/92.

TABLE B-2

(Page 1 of 4)

NORTH ANNA - 1992

CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATES

1.0E-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

COLLECTION DATE	1992 01	02	03	04	05	05A	06	07	21	22	23	24	AVERAGE ± 2 s.d.
JANUARY													
01/02-01/08	20 ± 4	19 ± 4	13 ± 3	18 ± 4	17 ± 4	20 ± 4	14 ± 4	12 ± 3	23 ± 4	19 ± 4	17 ± 4	10 ± 3	17 ± 8
01/08-01/16	16 ± 3	18 ± 3	19 ± 3	26 ± 4	22 ± 3	25 ± 4	22 ± 3	14 ± 3	20 ± 3	24 ± 4	23 ± 4	14 ± 3	20 ± 8
01/16-01/22	31 ± 5 (a)	21 ± 4	18 ± 4	27 ± 4	21 ± 4	28 ± 5	21 ± 4	19 ± 4	22 ± 4	24 ± 4	22 ± 4	19 ± 4	23 ± 8
01/22-01/29	18 ± 4	20 ± 4	14 ± 4	23 ± 4	24 ± 4	27 ± 4	21 ± 4 (a)	24 ± 4	21 ± 4	22 ± 4	16 ± 4	15 ± 4	20 ± 8
FEBRUARY													
01/29-02/05	21 ± 4	25 ± 4	18 ± 3	28 ± 4	24 ± 4	31 ± 4	24 ± 4	23 ± 4	25 ± 4	21 ± 4	29 ± 4 (b)	18 ± 3	24 ± 8
02/05-02/12	13 ± 3	12 ± 3	14 ± 3	22 ± 4	15 ± 3	16 ± 4	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	19 ± 4	18 ± 4	16 ± 4	12 ± 3	16 ± 6
02/12-02/19	16 ± 3	17 ± 3	15 ± 3	16 ± 3	17 ± 3	20 ± 4	15 ± 3	18 ± 3	15 ± 3	15 ± 3	11 ± 3	14 ± 3	16 ± 4
02/19-02/26	18 ± 3	18 ± 3	12 ± 3	16 ± 3	16 ± 3	18 ± 3	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	20 ± 3	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	9.7 ± 2.7	15 ± 6
MARCH													
02/26-03/04	16 ± 4	15 ± 4	18 ± 4	17 ± 4	17 ± 4	14 ± 4	13 ± 3	17 ± 4	18 ± 4	17 ± 4	16 ± 4	8.1 ± 3.1	16 ± 6
03/04-03/11	12 ± 3	16 ± 3	15 ± 3	13 ± 3	16 ± 3	16 ± 3	14 ± 3	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	16 ± 3	17 ± 3	9.3 ± 2.8	15 ± 5
03/11-03/18	18 ± 4	21 ± 4	18 ± 4	23 ± 4	19 ± 4	23 ± 4	18 ± 4	17 ± 4	21 ± 4	21 ± 4	21 ± 4	12 ± 4	19 ± 6
03/18-03/25	15 ± 3	19 ± 3	17 ± 3	15 ± 3	18 ± 3	19 ± 3	19 ± 3	18 ± 3	18 ± 3	19 ± 4	19 ± 3	8.7 ± 2.8	17 ± 6
03/25-04/01	15 ± 3	19 ± 4	17 ± 4	18 ± 4	15 ± 3	17 ± 4	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	17 ± 4	17 ± 4	18 ± 4	9.9 ± 3.1	16 ± 5
Average ± 2 s.d.	18 ± 10	18 ± 6	16 ± 5	20 ± 10	19 ± 6	21 ± 11	17 ± 7	17 ± 7	20 ± 6	19 ± 6	18 ± 9	12 ± 7	18 ± 9

(a) Estimated volume.

(b) Power loss of 1.6 hours.

TABLE B-2

(Page 2 of 4)

NORTH ANNA - 1992

CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATES

1.0E-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

COLLECTION DATE	1992 01	02	03	04	05	05A	06	07	21	22	23	24	AVERAGE ± 2 s.d.
APRIL													
04/01-04/08	19 ± 3	16 ± 3	15 ± 3	16 ± 3	20 ± 4	18 ± 3	14 ± 3	18 ± 3	17 ± 3	19 ± 4	13 ± 3	14 ± 3	17 ± 5
04/08-04/15	25 ± 4	26 ± 4	21 ± 4	7.5 ± 2.7	21 ± 4	12 ± 3	16 ± 3	21 ± 4	21 ± 4	22 ± 4	16 ± 3	16 ± 3	19 ± 11
04/15-04/22	10 ± 3	14 ± 3	13 ± 3	8.9 ± 2.8	16 ± 3	16 ± 3	11 ± 3	12 ± 3	17 ± 3	15 ± 3	16 ± 3	9.2 ± 2.9	13 ± 5
04/22-04/29	17 ± 3	18 ± 3	13 ± 3	4.4 ± 2.4	14 ± 3	16 ± 3	16 ± 3	19 ± 3	14 ± 3	17 ± 3	17 ± 3	11 ± 3	15 ± 8
MAY													
04/29-05/06	21 ± 4	21 ± 4	16 ± 3	19 ± 3	15 ± 3	20 ± 3	17 ± 3	19 ± 3	16 ± 3	20 ± 3	15 ± 3	9.7 ± 2.8	17 ± 7
05/06-05/13	14 ± 3	14 ± 3	11 ± 3	14 ± 3	9.2 ± 2.9	12 ± 3	12 ± 3	13 ± 3	12 ± 3	11 ± 3	14 ± 3	8.8 ± 2.9	12 ± 4
05/13-05/21	19 ± 3	20 ± 3	14 ± 3	18 ± 3	16 ± 3	20 ± 3	16 ± 3	18 ± 3	14 ± 3	18 ± 3	15 ± 3	11 ± 3	17 ± 5
05/21-05/27	25 ± 4	21 ± 4	16 ± 4	21 ± 4	16 ± 4	17 ± 4	35 ± 13 (a)	17 ± 4	17 ± 4	14 ± 4	17 ± 4	12 ± 4	19 ± 12
05/27-06/03	9.6 ± 3.0	12 ± 3	12 ± 3	12 ± 3	14 ± 3	14 ± 3	10 ± 3	12 ± 3	10 ± 3	9.9 ± 3.1	11 ± 3	8.8 ± 3.0	11 ± 3
JUNE													
06/03-06/10	19 ± 4	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	20 ± 4	21 ± 4	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	19 ± 4	12 ± 3	15 ± 3	20 ± 4	16 ± 3	17 ± 5
06/10-06/17	23 ± 4	20 ± 4	17 ± 4	21 ± 4	22 ± 4	23 ± 4	55 ± 24(b)	22 ± 4	19 ± 4	19 ± 4	31 ± 5	15 ± 4	24 ± 21
06/17-06/25	15 ± 3	15 ± 3	10 ± 3	17 ± 3	19 ± 3	17 ± 3	28 ± 8 (c)	18 ± 3	18 ± 3	16 ± 3	14 ± 3	15 ± 3	17 ± 8
06/25-07/01	30 ± 4	26 ± 4	21 ± 4	30 ± 4	26 ± 4	23 ± 4	27 ± 5	32 ± 4	30 ± 4	26 ± 4	25 ± 4	24 ± 4	27 ± 7
Average ± 2 s.d.	19 ± 12	18 ± 9	15 ± 7	16 ± 4	18 ± 9	17 ± 7	21 ± 25	18 ± 10	17 ± 10	17 ± 9	17 ± 11	13 ± 9	17 ± 12

(a) Sampler malfunction; volume estimated.

(b) Sampler found unplugged; low sample volume.

(c) Sampler malfunction; low sample volume.

TABLE B-2

(Page 3 of 4)

NORTH ANNA - 1992

CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATES

1.0E-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

COLLECTION DATE	1992 01	02	03	04	05	05A	06	07	21	22	23	24	AVERAGE ± 2 s.d.
JULY													
07/01-07/08	20 ± 3	21 ± 3	16 ± 3	21 ± 3	16 ± 3	21 ± 3	21 ± 4	19 ± 3	20 ± 3	17 ± 3	18 ± 3	14 ± 3	19 ± 5
07/08-07/16	27 ± 4	30 ± 4	18 ± 3	28 ± 4	26 ± 4	25 ± 4	23 ± 3	25 ± 4	29 ± 4	22 ± 3	25 ± 4	20 ± 3	25 ± 7
07/16-07/22	31 ± 5	25 ± 4	18 ± 4	24 ± 4	21 ± 4	29 ± 5	26 ± 4	23 ± 4	24 ± 5	23 ± 4	16 ± 4	26 ± 5	24 ± 8
07/22-07/29	19 ± 3	24 ± 4	14 ± 3	17 ± 3	14 ± 3	20 ± 3	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	19 ± 3	14 ± 3	10 ± 3 (a)	13 ± 3	16 ± 8
AUGUST													
07/29-08/05	41 ± 5	27 ± 4	34 ± 4	37 ± 4	36 ± 4	19 ± 3	24 ± 4	19 ± 3	23 ± 4	24 ± 4	23 ± 4	19 ± 3	27 ± 16
08/05-08/12	26 ± 4	26 ± 4	17 ± 3	24 ± 4	24 ± 4	23 ± 4	29 ± 4	20 ± 4	26 ± 4	21 ± 4	24 ± 4	20 ± 4	23 ± 7
08/12-08/19	18 ± 3	14 ± 3	7.5 ± 2.7	15 ± 3	13 ± 3	19 ± 3	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	12 ± 3	17 ± 3	15 ± 3	12 ± 3	15 ± 6
08/19-08/26	25 ± 4	27 ± 4	22 ± 5	28 ± 4	17 ± 3	29 ± 4	28 ± 4	27 ± 4	38 ± 5	26 ± 4	27 ± 4	20 ± 3	26 ± 10
08/26-09/02	27 ± 4	24 ± 4	22 ± 4	26 ± 4	15 ± 3	24 ± 4	31 ± 4	28 ± 4	29 ± 4	26 ± 4	25 ± 4	21 ± 3	25 ± 8
SEPTEMBER													
09/02-09/09	18 ± 3	20 ± 4	13 ± 3	18 ± 3	9.1 ± 2.8	17 ± 3	18 ± 3	19 ± 3	16 ± 3	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	13 ± 3	16 ± 6
09/09-09/16 (d)	20 ± 4	20 ± 4	16 ± 3	12 ± 5 (b)	18 ± 3	15 ± 3	18 ± 3	14 ± 3	17 ± 3	16 ± 3	17 ± 3	13 ± 3	16 ± 5
09/16-09/23 (c)	17 ± 3	24 ± 4	15 ± 4	9.8 ± 2.4	12 ± 2	21 ± 4	15 ± 3	16 ± 3	19 ± 4	22 ± 4	26 ± 4	17 ± 4	18 ± 10
09/23-09/30	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	9.9 ± 2.8	11 ± 3	12 ± 3	14 ± 3	13 ± 3	16 ± 3	12 ± 3	12 ± 3	12 ± 3	11 ± 3	13 ± 4
Average ± 2 s.d.	23 ± 14	23 ± 10	17 ± 13	21 ± 16	18 ± 15	21 ± 9	21 ± 12	20 ± 9	22 ± 15	20 ± 9	20 ± 10	17 ± 9	20 ± 4

(a) Sample damaged by wet conditions. Results invalid; not included in averages.

(b) Sampler malfunction; low sample volume.

(c) Stations 03 and 04 had collection dates of 09/17/92 to 09/23/92.

(d) Station 03 and 04 had collection dates of 09/09/92 to 09/17/92.

TABLE B-2

(Page 4 of 4)

NORTH ANNA - 1992

CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATES

1.0E-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

COLLECTION DATE	1992 01	02	03	04	05	05A	06	07	21	22	23	24	AVERAGE ± 2 s.d.
OCTOBER													
09/30-10/07	20 ± 3	27 ± 4	18 ± 3	21 ± 4	18 ± 3	27 ± 4	23 ± 4	20 ± 4	23 ± 4	20 ± 4	24 ± 4	18 ± 3	22 ± 6
10/07-10/14	20 ± 4	29 ± 4	19 ± 4	21 ± 4	25 ± 4	30 ± 4	21 ± 4	25 ± 4	25 ± 4	21 ± 4	25 ± 4	19 ± 4	23 ± 7
10/14-10/21	26 ± 4	30 ± 4	17 ± 3	23 ± 4	30 ± 4	33 ± 4	25 ± 4	25 ± 4	26 ± 4	26 ± 4	28 ± 4	26 ± 4	26 ± 8
10/21-10/28	27 ± 4	35 ± 4	24 ± 4	32 ± 4	33 ± 4	35 ± 4	31 ± 4	29 ± 4	32 ± 4	30 ± 4	24 ± 4	27 ± 4	30 ± 8
NOVEMBER													
10/28-11/04	22 ± 4	26 ± 4	18 ± 4	22 ± 4	22 ± 4	26 ± 4	21 ± 4	24 ± 4	45 ± 11 (a)	23 ± 4	19 ± 4	15 ± 3	24 ± 15
11/04-11/12	16 ± 3	18 ± 3	14 ± 3	16 ± 3	20 ± 4	19 ± 3	16 ± 3	14 ± 3	17 ± 3	15 ± 3	15 ± 3	12 ± 3	16 ± 5
11/12-11/18	20 ± 4	25 ± 4	14 ± 4	19 ± 4	25 ± 4	21 ± 4	21 ± 4	17 ± 4	20 ± 4	23 ± 4	13 ± 4	17 ± 4	20 ± 8
11/18-11/25	23 ± 4	24 ± 4	19 ± 4	18 ± 4	23 ± 4	22 ± 4	23 ± 4	23 ± 4	22 ± 4	22 ± 4	20 ± 4	12 ± 4	21 ± 7
11/25-12/02	21 ± 4	25 ± 5	14 ± 4	18 ± 4	21 ± 5	27 ± 4	20 ± 4	12 ± 4	25 ± 5	18 ± 4	18 ± 4	18 ± 4	20 ± 9
DECEMBER													
12/02-12/09	19 ± 4	19 ± 4	15 ± 3	17 ± 3	22 ± 4	21 ± 4	18 ± 3	20 ± 4	21 ± 4	18 ± 4	22 ± 4	15 ± 3	19 ± 5
12/09-12/16	12 ± 3	16 ± 3	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	13 ± 3	15 ± 3	14 ± 3	15 ± 3	12 ± 3	15 ± 3	12 ± 3	12 ± 3	14 ± 3
12/16-12/23	21 ± 4	26 ± 4	19 ± 3	29 ± 4	26 ± 4	31 ± 4	21 ± 4	26 ± 4	26 ± 7 (a)	21 ± 4	24 ± 4	16 ± 3	24 ± 9
12/23-12/30	22 ± 4	26 ± 4	18 ± 3	18 ± 6 (b)	23 ± 4	28 ± 4	22 ± 4	21 ± 4	29 ± 5 (a)	23 ± 4	15 ± 3	20 ± 4	22 ± 8
Quarter Avg. ± 2 s.d.	21 ± 8	25 ± 10	17 ± 6	21 ± 10	23 ± 10	26 ± 12	21 ± 8	21 ± 10	25 ± 16	21 ± 8	20 ± 10	17 ± 10	22 ± 11
Annual Avg. ± 2 s.d.	20 ± 12	21 ± 10	16 ± 8	19 ± 13	19 ± 11	21 ± 11	20 ± 15	19 ± 10	21 ± 13	19 ± 9	19 ± 10	15 ± 10	19 ± 12

(a) Sampler unplugged.

(b) Sample volume low due to sampler malfunction.

**TABLE B-3: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM-89, AND STRONTIUM-90
CONCENTRATIONS IN AIR PARTICULATES**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

1.0 e-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 3

Station	Nuclide	First Quarter 01/02-04/01	Second Quarter 04/01-07/01	Third Quarter 07/01-09/30	Fourth Quarter 09/30-12/30	Average ± 2 s.d.
STA-01	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	105 ± 15	130 ± 17	118 ± 15	94.7 ± 13.6	112 ± 31
	K-40	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	-
	Co-60	< 1	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.8	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.7	-
	Cs-137	< 0.8	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 1	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-02	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.4	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	107 ± 13	126 ± 14	141 ± 14	113 ± 14	122 ± 30
	K-40	11.2 ± 5.5	< 20	< 20	< 10	11.2 ± 5.5
	Co-60	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	-
	Cs-137	< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 0.9	< 1	< 1	-
STA-03	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	87.9 ± 13.4	90.2 ± 14.4	82.1 ± 13.6	71.3 ± 15.0	82.9 ± 16.9
	K-40	< 30	11.8 ± 6.2	< 30	< 30	11.8 ± 6.2
	Co-60	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 1	< 1	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 1	-
	Cs-137	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 1	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-04	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	119 ± 16	128 ± 16	116 ± 14	73.6 ± 15.0	109 ± 48
	K-40	78.0 ± 12.3	< 10	< 10	< 20	78.0 ± 12.3
	Co-60	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.9	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.9	< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.7	-
	Cs-137	< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.8	-
	Th-228	< 2	< 1	< 1	< 1	-

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Strontium-89/90 analyses performed annually.

**TABLE B-3: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM-89, AND STRONTIUM-90
CONCENTRATIONS IN AIR PARTICULATES**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

1.0 e-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 2 of 3

Station	Nuclide	First Quarter 01/02-04/01	Second Quarter 04/01-07/01	Third Quarter 07/01-09/30	Fourth Quarter 09/30-12/30	Average ± 2 s.d.
STA-05	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	105 ± 14	125 ± 14	92.5 ± 12.0	77.1 ± 12.8	99.9 ± 40.5
	K-40	27.5 ± 7.6	< 20	< 20	< 20	27.5 ± 7.6
	Co-60	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Cs-137	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-05A	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	158 ± 17	147 ± 18	142 ± 17	102 ± 15	137 ± 49
	K-40	< 20	< 10	< 10	12.3 ± 6.6	12.3 ± 6.6
	Co-60	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.8	< 0.9	< 0.7	< 0.7	-
	Cs-137	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-06	Sr-89	(a)	< 3	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.3	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	77.4 ± 16.1	94.9 ± 14.7	110 ± 13	100 ± 13	95.6 ± 27.3
	K-40	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 20	-
	Co-60	< 1	< 0.7	< 0.5	< 0.7	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 0.2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.8	-
	Cs-137	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.7	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 0.9	-
STA-07	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	80.3 ± 12.2	150 ± 20	131 ± 18	83.5 ± 13.6	111 ± 69
	K-40	< 20	< 20	16.0 ± 7.2	18.5 ± 7.3	17.3 ± 3.5
	Co-60	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.9	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.9	-
	Cs-137	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 1	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 2	< 2	< 1	-

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Strontium-89/90 analyses performed annually.

**TABLE B-3: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM-89, AND STRONTIUM-90
CONCENTRATIONS IN AIR PARTICULATES**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

1.0 e-03 pCi/m³ ± 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 3 of 3

Station	Nuclide	First Quarter 01/02-04/01	Second Quarter 04/01-07/01	Third Quarter 07/01-09/30	Fourth Quarter 09/30-12/30	Average ± 2 s.d.
STA-21	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	108 ± 15	127 ± 15	105 ± 14	113 ± 16	113 ± 19
	K-40	< 30	< 20	< 20	12.1 ± 6.2	12.1 ± 6.2
	Co-60	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.8	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.7	-
	Cs-137	< 0.8	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.7	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-22	Sr-89	(a)	< 2	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.6	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	126 ± 16	98.6 ± 12.9	119 ± 14	90.1 ± 13.3	108 ± 34
	K-40	< 10	< 10	< 10	29.7 ± 6.9	29.7 ± 6.9
	Co-60	< 0.5	< 1	< 0.7	< 0.9	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.5	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.9	-
	Cs-137	< 0.6	< 1	< 1	< 0.9	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-23	Sr-89	(a)	< 1	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	106 ± 14	127 ± 15	132 ± 13	96.8 ± 15.8	115 ± 34
	K-40	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 20	-
	Co-60	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.9	-
	Cs-137	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.7	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-
STA-24	Sr-89	(a)	< 1	(a)	(a)	-
	Sr-90	(a)	< 0.2	(a)	(a)	-
	Be-7	62.8 ± 15.2	74.8 ± 14.8	89.1 ± 15.0	68.8 ± 13.7	73.9 ± 22.5
	K-40	< 10	< 30	< 30	48.7 ± 8.2	48.7 ± 8.2
	Co-60	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 1	< 0.9	-
	Ru-103	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	-
	Cs-134	< 0.8	< 0.9	< 1	< 0.9	-
	Cs-137	< 0.6	< 0.9	< 1	< 0.8	-
	Th-228	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	-

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.
(a) Strontium-89/90 analyses performed annually.

**TABLE B-4: GROSS BETA, TRITIUM, AND GAMMA EMITTER¹
CONCENTRATIONS IN PRECIPITATION**

Station 01A – (On Site)

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

COLLECTION DATES	GROSS BETA	RAINFALL (inches)
12/31/91-01/29/92	3.8 \pm 0.8	3.22
01/29/92-02/26/92	2.6 \pm 0.7	2.08
02/26/92-04/01/92	3.3 \pm 0.7	3.30
04/01/92-04/29/92	3.2 \pm 0.7	2.11
04/29/92-05/27/92	3.1 \pm 0.7	3.93
05/27/92-07/01/92	4.6 \pm 0.8	5.02
07/01/92-07/29/92	3.3 \pm 0.7	3.22
07/29/92-08/26/92	5.0 \pm 0.8	3.19
08/26/92-09/30/92	3.5 \pm 0.7	2.05
10/01/92-10/31/92	2.3 \pm 1.2	1.33
10/31/92-11/25/92	4.1 \pm 0.8	2.86
11/25/92-12/30/92	2.0 \pm 0.6	5.29
Average \pm 2 s.d.	3.4 \pm 1.8	

SEMI-ANNUAL PRECIPITATION COMPOSITES

12/26-07/01	07/01-12/30
Be-7 = < 30	Be-7 = < 40
H-3 = < 200	H-3 = < 100

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

TABLE B-5

NORTH ANNA - 1992

CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS* IN SOIL

pCi/kg \pm 2 Sigma

STATION	COLL DATES	Sr-89	Sr-90	Be-7	K-40	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ra-226	Th-228
01	07/22/92	< 80	< 20	< 500	15200 \pm 1500	< 60	< 50	2330 \pm 640	1150 \pm 110
02	07/22/92	< 100	< 40	< 700	7920 \pm 800	< 50	915 \pm 92	< 1000	419 \pm 62
03	07/22/92	< 100	110 \pm 30	< 500	6140 \pm 610	< 50	763 \pm 76	1930 \pm 610	694 \pm 69
04	07/22/92	< 100	120 \pm 30	692 \pm 401	9130 \pm 910	< 60	949 \pm 95	1800 \pm 620	1080 \pm 110
05	07/22/92	< 100	60 \pm 24	< 500	12000 \pm 1200	< 50	496 \pm 50	2140 \pm 550	1490 \pm 150
05A	07/22/92	< 100	< 40	< 600	13100 \pm 1300	< 50	60.9 \pm 35.3	1370 \pm 570	809 \pm 81
06	07/22/92	< 100	56 \pm 25	< 600	9250 \pm 930	< 60	246 \pm 50	2580 \pm 770	1990 \pm 200
07	07/22/92	< 200	53 \pm 27	< 400	5320 \pm 530	< 40	< 30	2270 \pm 590	1720 \pm 170
21	07/22/92	< 100	43 \pm 25	< 600	10500 \pm 1000	< 50	192 \pm 42	1130 \pm 500	753 \pm 75
22	07/22/92	< 200	< 70	< 700	17900 \pm 1800	< 70	247 \pm 47	2510 \pm 830	2140 \pm 210
23	07/22/92	< 200	< 50	< 500	24400 \pm 2400	< 50	324 \pm 47	2840 \pm 600	1490 \pm 150
24	07/22/92	< 100	< 30	< 400	2480 \pm 350	< 40	< 30	1520 \pm 560	778 \pm 78
Average \pm 2 s.d.			73.7 \pm 65.3	692 \pm 401	11112 \pm 11982		466 \pm 663	2038 \pm 1080	1209 \pm 1105

* All other gamma emitters were < LLD.

**TABLE B-6: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM, AND TRITIUM CONCENTRATIONS
IN GROUND AND WELL WATER**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Collection Date	H-3	Sr-89	Sr-90	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Ba-140	Th-228
--------------------	-----	-------	-------	------	------	-------	--------	--------

STATION 01A

04/01	< 100	< 1	< 1	< 30	< 100	< 0.1	< 6	< 6
07/01	< 100	< 1	< 1	< 30	< 50	< 0.2	< 6	< 7
10/01	< 100	(a)	(a)	< 30	< 70	< 0.1	< 7	< 5
12/30	< 100	(a)	(a)	< 30	< 90	< 0.2	< 7	< 6

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Strontium-89/90 analyses performed only on second quarter sample.

**TABLE B-7: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM, AND TRITIUM CONCENTRATIONS
IN RIVER WATER**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Collection Dates	Sr-89	Sr-90	H-3	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-137	Ba-140	Ra-226	Th-228
---------------------	-------	-------	-----	------	------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------

STATION - 11

01/07	(a)	(a)	4600 \pm 100	< 30	< 80	< 0.2	< 3	< 6	< 50	< 6
02/13			4400 \pm 200	< 30	< 40	< 0.1	< 3	< 5	< 60	< 5
03/10			4400 \pm 100	< 40	< 90	< 0.2	< 4	< 6	< 80	< 8
04/09	< 0.6	< 0.6	4000 \pm 100	< 40	< 70	< 0.4	< 4	< 8	< 100	< 8
05/13			3600 \pm 200	< 30	< 100	< 0.4	< 4	< 6	< 70	< 6
06/10			3500 \pm 200	< 30	< 50	< 0.2	< 5	< 8	< 70	< 6
07/10			3500 \pm 200	< 30	< 50	< 0.4	< 4	< 9	< 70	< 6
08/13			3800 \pm 200	< 40	< 60	< 0.2	< 4	< 10	< 90	< 7
09/10			4000 \pm 200	< 40	< 100	< 0.2	< 4	< 10	< 80	< 8
10/08			3900 \pm 200	< 50	< 100	< 0.2	< 5	< 9	< 80	< 8
11/12			(b)	< 30	< 50	< 0.3	< 4	< 6	< 60	< 5
12/11			4300 \pm 200	< 40	< 100	< 0.2	< 4	< 7	< 70	< 6

Average \pm 2 s.d. 4000 \pm 769

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Sr-89/90 analyses performed on second quarter samples.

(b) Analysis not requested.

**TABLE B-8: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM, AND TRITIUM CONCENTRATIONS
IN SURFACE WATER**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Collection										
Dates	H-3	I-131 ²	Sr-89	Sr-90	Be-7	K-40	Cs-137	Ba-140	Ra-226	Th-228

STATION - 08

01/07	4800 \pm 200	< 0.2	(b)	(b)	< 30	< 50	< 4	< 8	< 70	< 6
02/13	(a)	< 0.1			< 30	47.1 \pm 20.2	< 3	< 5	< 60	< 6
03/10		< 0.2			< 40	< 100	< 5	< 5	< 90	< 8
04/09	4000 \pm 200	< 0.5	< 1	< 0.5	< 30	< 90	< 4	< 8	< 60	< 6
05/13		< 0.3			< 30	< 50	< 4	< 5	< 70	< 6
06/10		< 0.2			< 40	< 100	< 5	< 9	< 80	< 8
07/10	2700 \pm 200	< 0.3			< 30	< 60	< 3	< 7	< 80	< 7
08/13		< 0.2			< 30	< 50	< 4	< 9	< 80	< 6
09/10		< 0.2			< 30	< 80	< 4	< 10	< 70	< 7
10/08	4300 \pm 200	< 0.3			< 40	< 60	< 4	< 6	< 90	< 7
11/12		< 0.3			< 30	< 80	< 3	< 8	< 60	< 5
12/11		< 0.2			< 30	< 50	< 3	< 7	< 60	< 5

Avg. 3950 \pm 1793
 \pm 2. s.d.

47.1 \pm 20.2

STATION - 09A

01/07	< 100	< 0.2	(b)	(b)	< 50	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 90	< 8
02/13	(a)	< 0.1			< 30	< 80	< 3	< 5	< 60	< 5
03/10		< 0.2			< 30	< 80	< 3	< 4	< 50	< 6
04/09	< 100	< 0.5	< 1	< 0.4	< 30	< 80	< 3	< 6	< 60	< 5
05/13		< 0.4			< 30	< 50	< 3	< 4	< 60	< 6
06/10		< 0.2			< 40	< 100	< 4	< 6	< 70	< 7
07/10	< 200	< 0.4			< 30	< 70	< 3	< 6	< 60	< 5
08/13		< 0.2			< 30	< 80	< 4	< 8	< 70	< 7
09/10		< 0.2			< 30	< 80	< 4	< 10	< 70	< 6
10/08	< 200	< 0.3			< 30	< 60	< 5	< 7	< 70	< 6
11/12		< 0.3			< 30	< 50	< 4	< 6	< 60	< 5
12/11		< 0.2			< 30	< 70	< 3	< 5	< 50	< 4

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

² I-131 by radiochemistry

(a) Analysis performed quarterly.

(b) Analysis performed only with second quarter.

**TABLE B-9: GAMMA EMITTER¹, STRONTIUM, AND TRITIUM CONCENTRATIONS
IN SURFACE WATER**

State-Split Samples

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Collection Dates	H-3	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-137	Ba-140	Ra-226	Th-228
---------------------	-----	------	------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------

STATION - W-27

01/31	(a)	< 30	< 80	< 0.4	< 3	< 9	< 60	< 5
02/29		< 40	< 100	< 0.5	< 4	< 10	< 80	< 7
03/31		< 30	< 40	< 0.4	< 3	< 10	< 70	< 7
04/30	< 100	< 30	< 80	< 1	< 3	< 9	< 60	< 5
05/31		< 40	78.5 \pm 30.0	< 0.4	< 3	< 10	< 70	< 7
06/30		< 30	< 40	< 0.8	< 3	< 10	< 50	< 5
07/31	2600 \pm 200	< 30	< 60	< 0.5	< 3	< 10	< 40	< 4
08/31		< 30	< 50	< 1	< 3	< 9	< 70	< 6
09/30		< 30	< 40	< 0.8	< 3	< 15	< 40	< 4
10/15	2700 \pm 200	< 30	< 50	< 2 (b)	< 2	< 10	< 40	< 4
11/30		< 30	< 50	< 10 (b)	< 3	< 9	< 50	< 5
12/30		< 30	< 50	< 1	< 3	< 10	< 60	< 6

STATION - W-33

01/31	(a)	< 40	< 100	< 0.4	< 4	< 10	< 70	< 6
02/29		< 30	< 50	< 0.4	< 4	< 9	< 70	< 6
03/31		< 30	< 30	< 0.4	< 2	< 10	< 40	< 4
04/30	3700 \pm 200	< 30	< 50	< 1	< 3	< 10	< 60	< 6
05/31		< 30	< 50	< 0.5	< 3	< 10	< 70	< 6
06/30		< 30	40.6 \pm 18.8	< 1	< 2	< 9	< 60	< 5
07/31	4100 \pm 200	< 30	< 70	< 0.5	< 3	< 10	< 50	< 5
08/31		< 30	< 60	< 1	< 3	< 7	< 80	< 7
09/30		< 30	< 40	< 0.8	< 2	< 10	< 50	< 5
10/15	3700 \pm 200	< 20	< 30	< 2 (b)	< 2	< 10	< 40	< 3
11/30		< 30	< 50	< 10 (b)	< 3	< 7	< 60	< 5
12/30		< 30	< 50	< 1	< 3	< 9	< 60	< 6

Avg.
 \pm 2 s.d. 3360 \pm 1339 59.6 \pm 53.6

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Tritium analysis not requested.

(b) LLD could not be met due to delay in receipt of sample from the State of Virginia.

TABLE B-10: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN SEDIMENT SILT

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/kg \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Nuclide	STA-08 03/10	STA-09A 03/10	STA-11 03/10	STA-08 09/10	STA-09A 09/10	STA-11 09/10	Average \pm 2 Sigma
Sr-89	(a)	(a)	(a)	< 1000	< 2000	< 1000	
Sr-90	(a)	(a)	(a)	< 20	< 30	< 20	
Be-7	< 200	< 100	< 300	< 300	503 \pm 282	< 400	503 \pm 282
K-40	7440 \pm 740	12800 \pm 1300	24400 \pm 2400	4950 \pm 500	15100 \pm 1500	9710 \pm 970	12400 \pm 13821
Mn-54	24.5 \pm 12.1	< 10	< 30	< 20	< 30	< 40	24.5 \pm 12.1
Co-58	< 20	< 10	< 30	< 30	< 40	< 40	-
Co-60	< 20	< 10	< 40	< 30	< 40	< 40	-
Cs-134	< 20	< 20	< 50	< 30	< 40	< 50	-
Cs-137	299 \pm 30	31.4 \pm 9.1	524 \pm 52	241 \pm 29	< 40	< 50	274 \pm 405
Ra-226	1180 \pm 270	997 \pm 211	1030 \pm 460	1200 \pm 370	775 \pm 446	1390 \pm 590	1095 \pm 421
Th-228	934 \pm 93	409 \pm 41	845 \pm 85	737 \pm 74	421 \pm 42	1790 \pm 180	856 \pm 1013

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Strontium 89/90 analyses performed annually.

TABLE B-11: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN SHORELINE SOIL

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/kg \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Nuclide	Station-09 03/10	Station-09 09/09	Average \pm 2 Sigma
Sr-89	(a)	< 400	-
Sr-90	(a)	< 20	-
Be-7	< 500	< 300	-
K-40	8470 \pm 850	8250 \pm 830	8360 \pm 311
Mn-54	< 50	< 30	-
Co-58	< 50	< 30	-
Co-60	< 50	< 30	-
Cs-134	114 \pm 38	< 40	114 \pm 38
Cs-137	915 \pm 92	152 \pm 31	534 \pm 1079
Ra-226	2740 \pm 600	1370 \pm 580	2055 \pm 1937
Th-228	2040 \pm 200	1050 \pm 110	1545 \pm 1400

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Strontium 89/90 analyses performed annually.

TABLE B-12: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN MILK

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 2

MONTH	NUCLIDE	STATION-12	STATION-13
JANUARY	Sr-89	< 2	< 3
	Sr-90	2.5 \pm 0.3	3.4 \pm 0.4
	K-40	1340 \pm 130	1250 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 5	< 4
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.3
FEBRUARY	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1370 \pm 140	1190 \pm 120
	Cs-137	< 4	< 5
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.2
MARCH	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1250 \pm 130	1260 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 5
	I-131	< 0.2	< 0.2
APRIL	Sr-89	< 5	< 4
	Sr-90	0.80 \pm 0.18	2.5 \pm 0.3
	K-40	1340 \pm 130	1340 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 4
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.2
MAY	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1420 \pm 140	1150 \pm 120
	Cs-137	< 5	< 5
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.2
JUNE	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1360 \pm 140	1320 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 5
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.2
JULY	Sr-89	< 2	< 2
	Sr-90	2.2 \pm 0.2	1.8 \pm 0.2
	K-40	1400 \pm 140	1350 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 4
	I-131	< 0.2	< 0.2

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.
(a) Strontium 89/90 analyses performed quarterly.

TABLE B-12: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN MILK

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/l \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 2 of 2

MONTH	NUCLIDE	STATION-12	STATION-13
AUGUST	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	2340 \pm 230 (b)	1320 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 5	< 4
	I-131	< 0.2	< 0.2
SEPTEMBER	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1360 \pm 140	1320 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 5
	I-131	< 0.1	< 0.2
OCTOBER	Sr-89	< 2	< 1
	Sr-90	2.0 \pm 0.2	1.1 \pm 0.2
	K-40	1450 \pm 150	1330 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 5
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.2
NOVEMBER	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1360 \pm 140	1330 \pm 130
	Cs-137	< 4	< 4
	I-131	< 0.4	< 0.5
DECEMBER	Sr-89	(a)	(a)
	Sr-90	(a)	(a)
	K-40	1390 \pm 140	1110 \pm 110
	Cs-137	< 4	< 3
	I-131	< 0.3	< 0.3

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

(a) Strontium 89/90 analyses performed quarterly.

(b) Confirmed by reanalysis.

TABLE B-13: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN FISH

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/kg \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Collection Date	Station	Sample Type	K-40	Co-58	Cs-134	Cs-137
04/09	08		1590 \pm 190	< 20	< 20	63.1 \pm 14.0
04/10	25		1670 \pm 170	< 10	< 10	< 10
10/29	08		1190 \pm 420	< 40	< 50	< 50
10/29	25		1470 \pm 380	< 40	< 50	< 50
Avg. \pm 2 s.d.			1480 \pm 420			63.1 \pm 14.0

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

TABLE B-14: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN FOOD/VEGETATION

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/kg \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 2

Collection Date	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Ru-103	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ra-226	Th-228
-----------------	------	------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

STATION 14

04/22	709 \pm 108	5550 \pm 550	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20
05/21	583 \pm 247	21000 \pm 2100	< 20	< 40	< 40	< 40	< 600	< 60
06/25	108 \pm 45	6920 \pm 690	< 20	< 8	< 7	< 7	< 100	< 10
07/22	612 \pm 136	3790 \pm 380	< 20	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 300	< 30
08/19	195 \pm 59	3210 \pm 320	< 40	< 9	< 8	< 8	< 100	< 10
09/23	448 \pm 113	13000 \pm 1300	< 20	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20
10/21	7680 \pm 770	22500 \pm 2200	< 30	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 1000	< 90

STATION 15

04/22	1500 \pm 150	4760 \pm 480	< 8	< 9	< 8	< 8	< 100	58.6 \pm 8.9
05/21	341 \pm 82	5260 \pm 530	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20
06/25	707 \pm 164	2990 \pm 300	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 300	< 30
07/22	624 \pm 116	6200 \pm 620	< 10	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20
08/19	469 \pm 60	3900 \pm 390	< 30	< 7	< 6	29.0 \pm 4.7	< 100	< 10
09/23	537 \pm 78	9340 \pm 930	< 50	< 10	< 9	< 9	< 100	< 10
10/21	1080 \pm 110	29100 \pm 2900	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20

STATION 16

04/22	637 \pm 64	5780 \pm 580	< 10	< 6	< 6	30.7 \pm 45	379 \pm 74	267 \pm 27
05/21	1010 \pm 130	10800 \pm 1100	< 30	< 20	< 10	< 10	< 300	< 30
06/25	213 \pm 68	6610 \pm 660	< 10	< 10	< 9	< 9	< 100	< 10
07/22	468 \pm 172	5530 \pm 550	< 9	< 30	< 20	< 20	< 300	< 30
08/19	1720 \pm 170	5820 \pm 580	< 50	< 7	< 7	< 7	183 \pm 99	36.7 \pm 7.0
09/23	688 \pm 78	16900 \pm 1700	< 20	< 10	< 9	< 8	< 100	< 10
10/21	3570 \pm 360	17100 \pm 1700	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 600	< 50

STATION 21

04/22	1070 \pm 110	4230 \pm 420	< 8	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20
05/21	< 60	6940 \pm 690	< 50	< 7	< 6	36.8 \pm 5.4	< 90	< 9
06/25	132 \pm 61	3700 \pm 370	< 10	< 9	< 7	83.4 \pm 8.3	< 100	< 10
07/22	456 \pm 119	5840 \pm 580	< 8	< 20	< 20	62.7 \pm 10.9	489 \pm 181	316 \pm 32
08/19	1460 \pm 150	3830 \pm 380	< 40	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 300	< 30
09/23	867 \pm 196	7220 \pm 720	< 40	< 30	< 20	36.5 \pm 16.1	< 400	< 30
10/21	3830 \pm 380	10800 \pm 1100	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 500	< 50

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

TABLE B-14: GAMMA EMITTER¹ CONCENTRATION IN FOOD/VEGETATION

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

pCi/kg \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 2 of 2

Collection Date	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Ru-103	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ra-226	Th-228
--------------------	------	------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

STATION 23

04/22	563 \pm 71	5820 \pm 580	< 8	< 9	< 7	30.8 \pm 16.7	< 100	75.3 \pm 7.5
05/21	800 \pm 172	12100 \pm 1200	< 20	< 30	< 20	< 20	< 400	204 \pm 20
06/25	350 \pm 51	1820 \pm 180	< 30	< 8	< 6	< 6	141 \pm 77	< 10
07/22	423 \pm 87	2470 \pm 250	< 8	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 200	< 20
08/19	1010 \pm 100	4480 \pm 450	< 40	< 10	< 10	20.5 \pm 9.6	330 \pm 143	104 \pm 10
09/23	1450 \pm 240	9850 \pm 990	< 50	< 30	< 30	< 30	704 \pm 346	< 40
10/21	2190 \pm 220	9630 \pm 960	< 60	< 30	< 30	43.5 \pm 22.3	< 500	< 50

Avg. 1132 \pm 2866 8507 \pm 12466
 \pm 2 s.d.

41.5 \pm 39.3 371 \pm 415 152 \pm 221

¹ All gamma emitters other than those listed were <LLD.

TABLE B-15: DIRECT RADIATION MEASUREMENTS – QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL TLD RESULTS

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

mR/Std. Month (30.4 days) \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 1

Station Number	First Qtr 12/28/91 03/31/92	Second Qtr 03/01/92 07/01/92	Third Qtr 07/01/92 09/30/92	Fourth Qtr 10/01/92 12/30/92	Quarterly Average	Annual TLD 07/03/91 07/01/92
01	9.0 \pm 0.5	7.8 \pm 0.2	8.0 \pm 1.2	7.8 \pm 0.8	8.2 \pm 1.1	9.1 \pm 1.1
02	5.6 \pm 0.5	5.0 \pm 0.4	4.5 \pm 0.9	4.0 \pm 0.2	4.8 \pm 1.4	5.1 \pm 0.2
03	4.4 \pm 0.2	3.9 \pm 0.3	4.2 \pm 0.3	4.4 \pm 0.3	4.2 \pm 0.5	5.3 \pm 0.3
04	5.4 \pm 0.5	4.4 \pm 0.1	5.7 \pm 0.8	4.7 \pm 0.3	5.1 \pm 1.2	5.4 \pm 0.7
05	6.3 \pm 0.2	5.0 \pm 0.4	5.6 \pm 0.8	5.7 \pm 0.4	5.7 \pm 1.1	6.2 \pm 0.2
05A	6.4 \pm 0.8	4.8 \pm 0.2	5.9 \pm 0.4	5.7 \pm 0.3	5.7 \pm 1.3	6.2 \pm 0.6
06	7.8 \pm 0.3	6.2 \pm 0.1	7.4 \pm 0.8	6.5 \pm 0.8	7.0 \pm 1.5	7.4 \pm 0.5
07	5.4 \pm 0.2	4.5 \pm 0.1	5.4 \pm 0.6	4.6 \pm 0.2	5.0 \pm 1.0	6.0 \pm 0.1
21	5.7 \pm 0.5	4.6 \pm 0.1	5.3 \pm 0.4	4.4 \pm 0.3	5.0 \pm 1.2	6.0 \pm 0.5
22	6.5 \pm 0.4	7.1 \pm 0.4	6.4 \pm 1.2	5.6 \pm 0.3	6.4 \pm 1.2	6.3 \pm 1.6
23	7.7 \pm 0.4	6.1 \pm 1.3	6.8 \pm 0.5	6.0 \pm 0.3	6.7 \pm 1.6	7.1 \pm 1.1
24	4.8 \pm 0.8	4.7 \pm 0.2	4.6 \pm 0.7	3.4 \pm 0.1	4.4 \pm 1.3	4.7 \pm 0.5
Average \pm 2 s.d.	6.3 \pm 2.7	5.3 \pm 2.4	5.8 \pm 2.3	5.2 \pm 2.4	5.7 \pm 0.9	6.2 \pm 2.0

**TABLE B-16: DIRECT RADIATION MEASUREMENTS –
SECTOR QUARTERLY TLD RESULTS**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

mR/Std. Month (30.4 days) \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 1 of 2

Station Number	First Qtr 12/28-03/31	Second Qtr 03/31-07/01	Third Qtr 07/01-09/30	Fourth Qtr 10/01-12/30	Average \pm 2 S.d.
N-1	7.4 \pm 0.4	7.7 \pm 0.7	5.2 \pm 0.9	6.7 \pm 0.9	6.8 \pm 2.2
N-2	6.2 \pm 0.4	6.3 \pm 0.5	5.3 \pm 3.1	5.9 \pm 0.0	5.9 \pm 0.9
NNE-3	7.8 \pm 0.6	8.3 \pm 0.9	6.3 \pm 0.5	7.4 \pm 0.3	7.5 \pm 1.7
NNE-4	6.3 \pm 0.2	5.9 \pm 1.4	5.0 \pm 0.9	5.8 \pm 0.6	5.8 \pm 1.1
NE-5	8.4 \pm 0.5	8.8 \pm 1.0	7.4 \pm 1.1	7.0 \pm 0.2	7.9 \pm 1.7
NE-6	5.1 \pm 0.3	3.9 \pm 0.2	3.5 \pm 0.4	4.0 \pm 0.3	4.1 \pm 1.4
ENE-7	7.4 \pm 0.5	6.2 \pm 0.3	5.6 \pm 2.9	6.9 \pm 0.3	6.5 \pm 1.6
ENE-8	4.7 \pm 0.1	4.3 \pm 0.1	4.1 \pm 0.7	4.3 \pm 0.2	4.4 \pm 0.5
E-9	7.4 \pm 0.4	6.9 \pm 0.2	6.6 \pm 1.2	6.6 \pm 0.7	6.9 \pm 0.8
E-10	6.0 \pm 0.5	5.2 \pm 0.1	5.3 \pm 0.7	6.5 \pm 0.5	5.8 \pm 1.2
ESE-11	7.4 \pm 0.2	5.9 \pm 0.2	6.4 \pm 1.4	5.4 \pm 0.2	6.3 \pm 1.7
ESE-12	8.9 \pm 1.3	6.9 \pm 0.2	5.9 \pm 0.7	7.0 \pm 0.2	7.2 \pm 2.5
SE-13	8.3 \pm 1.0	6.6 \pm 0.1	6.1 \pm 0.7	6.8 \pm 0.5	7.0 \pm 1.9
SE-14	9.2 \pm 0.3	8.3 \pm 0.2	7.5 \pm 1.4	8.1 \pm 1.0	8.3 \pm 1.4
SSE-15	7.6 \pm 0.4	8.1 \pm 3.2	6.4 \pm 0.9	7.0 \pm 0.4	7.3 \pm 1.5
SSE-16	6.6 \pm 0.3	6.1 \pm 0.3	4.7 \pm 1.2	4.6 \pm 0.3	5.5 \pm 2.0
S-17	8.3 \pm 0.2	8.6 \pm 0.5	7.6 \pm 0.6	8.2 \pm 0.4	8.2 \pm 0.8
S-18	4.3 \pm 0.4	4.6 \pm 0.1	3.8 \pm 0.5	(a)	4.2 \pm 0.8
SSW-19	9.8 \pm 0.6	9.5 \pm 1.6	7.7 \pm 1.2	8.5 \pm 0.5	8.9 \pm 1.9
SSW-20	5.0 \pm 0.1	4.0 \pm 0.2	4.4 \pm 0.9	3.8 \pm 0.2	4.3 \pm 1.1
SW-21	8.9 \pm 0.3	10.0 \pm 2.1	8.6 \pm 0.9	9.3 \pm 1.0	9.2 \pm 1.2
SW-22	6.9 \pm 0.6	5.9 \pm 0.4	6.3 \pm 0.6	7.0 \pm 0.2	6.5 \pm 1.0
WSW-23	7.1 \pm 1.1	5.0 \pm 0.2	5.2 \pm 0.5	5.8 \pm 0.4	5.8 \pm 1.9
WSW-24	7.4 \pm 0.7	7.6 \pm 0.5	6.1 \pm 0.5	5.8 \pm 0.4	6.7 \pm 1.8
W-25	9.9 \pm 1.8	7.7 \pm 0.2	7.7 \pm 0.5	7.7 \pm 1.4	8.3 \pm 2.2
W-26	5.4 \pm 0.3	4.7 \pm 0.1	3.6 \pm 1.3	4.5 \pm 0.3	4.6 \pm 1.5
WNW-27	5.6 \pm 0.3	6.2 \pm 0.5	5.6 \pm 0.8	4.8 \pm 0.2	5.6 \pm 1.1
WNW-28	5.9 \pm 0.4	5.7 \pm 0.4	5.7 \pm 2.8	5.2 \pm 0.3	5.6 \pm 0.6
NW-29	8.8 \pm 0.7	8.4 \pm 1.0	7.4 \pm 0.6	7.2 \pm 0.3	8.0 \pm 1.5
NW-30	5.1 \pm 0.2	5.5 \pm 0.1	4.8 \pm 1.2	5.0 \pm 0.5	5.1 \pm 0.6
NNW-31	7.1 \pm 0.4	5.2 \pm 0.2	5.5 \pm 0.9	5.8 \pm 0.3	5.9 \pm 1.7
NNW-32	6.5 \pm 0.3	5.4 \pm 0.4	5.3 \pm 0.7	4.9 \pm 0.2	5.5 \pm 1.4
N-33	7.7 \pm 0.5	7.4 \pm 0.3	6.4 \pm 0.9	5.6 \pm 0.3	6.8 \pm 1.9
N-34	5.9 \pm 0.3	5.1 \pm 0.2	5.3 \pm 0.2	5.7 \pm 0.1	5.5 \pm 0.7
NNE-35	8.0 \pm 1.3	6.7 \pm 0.2	7.4 \pm 0.5	6.3 \pm 0.2	7.1 \pm 1.5
NNE-36	6.1 \pm 0.5	5.3 \pm 0.4	5.2 \pm 0.9	6.2 \pm 0.5	5.7 \pm 1.0
NE-37	8.7 \pm 0.2	8.0 \pm 0.9	7.6 \pm 1.4	7.0 \pm 0.4	7.8 \pm 1.4
NE-38	4.2 \pm 0.2	3.6 \pm 0.2	3.5 \pm 0.5	3.4 \pm 0.2	3.7 \pm 0.7
ENE-39	7.1 \pm 0.4	7.0 \pm 0.5	6.0 \pm 0.7	6.1 \pm 0.3	6.6 \pm 1.2
ENE-40	5.8 \pm 1.5	4.1 \pm 0.2	4.3 \pm 0.9	4.4 \pm 0.1	4.7 \pm 1.6
E-41	8.0 \pm 0.6	6.7 \pm 0.2	6.5 \pm 0.4	6.3 \pm 0.4	6.9 \pm 1.5
E-42	6.6 \pm 0.7	5.5 \pm 0.2	6.4 \pm 0.7	6.2 \pm 0.2	6.2 \pm 1.0
ESE-43	6.6 \pm 0.3	6.1 \pm 0.3	5.8 \pm 0.4	5.4 \pm 0.3	6.0 \pm 1.0
ESE-44	7.2 \pm 0.2	9.1 \pm 2.2	6.6 \pm 0.6	6.0 \pm 0.3	7.2 \pm 2.7
SE-45	8.0 \pm 0.4	7.3 \pm 1.4	6.5 \pm 0.3	6.5 \pm 0.4	7.1 \pm 1.4

(a) TLD Vandalized

**TABLE B-16: DIRECT RADIATION MEASUREMENTS –
SECTOR QUARTERLY TLD RESULTS**

North Anna Power Station, Louisa County, Virginia - 1992

mR/Std. Month (30.4 days) \pm 2 Sigma

January 1 to December 31, 1992

Page 2 of 2

Station Number	First Qtr 12/28-03/31	Second Qtr 03/31-07/01	Third Qtr 07/01-09/30	Fourth Qtr 10/01-12/30	Average \pm 2 S.d.
SE-46	8.8 \pm 0.9	9.4 \pm 1.6	8.1 \pm 1.7	7.8 \pm 0.5	8.5 \pm 1.4
SSE-47	7.3 \pm 0.2	6.5 \pm 0.7	6.7 \pm 1.8	6.1 \pm 0.8	6.7 \pm 1.0
SSE-48	5.7 \pm 0.3	5.2 \pm 0.1	6.1 \pm 0.6	4.7 \pm 0.2	5.4 \pm 1.2
S-49	8.8 \pm 0.4	7.9 \pm 0.1	8.2 \pm 0.6	7.8 \pm 0.3	8.2 \pm 0.9
S-50	5.0 \pm 0.1	4.2 \pm 0.2	4.4 \pm 0.8	5.1 \pm 0.8	4.7 \pm 0.9
SSW-51	10.1 \pm 3.0	7.5 \pm 1.1	8.7 \pm 0.6	8.2 \pm 0.4	8.6 \pm 2.2
SSW-52	5.5 \pm 1.1	5.4 \pm 0.4	4.1 \pm 0.5	4.4 \pm 1.3	4.9 \pm 1.4
SW-53	9.3 \pm 0.6	8.5 \pm 0.1	8.1 \pm 0.7	8.9 \pm 0.2	8.7 \pm 1.0
SW-54	8.1 \pm 0.4	6.2 \pm 0.2	5.2 \pm 0.5	6.8 \pm 0.2	6.6 \pm 2.4
WSW-55	5.9 \pm 0.4	5.8 \pm 0.5	6.0 \pm 0.7	4.9 \pm 0.2	5.7 \pm 1.0
WSW-56	7.5 \pm 0.6	6.5 \pm 0.6	5.7 \pm 0.3	7.1 \pm 0.2	6.7 \pm 1.6
W-57	7.8 \pm 0.4	7.1 \pm 1.1	6.7 \pm 0.5	6.7 \pm 0.3	7.1 \pm 1.0
W-58	6.3 \pm 0.2	4.8 \pm 0.1	5.5 \pm 0.8	5.4 \pm 0.1	5.5 \pm 1.2
WNW-59	5.7 \pm 0.3	5.2 \pm 0.1	5.1 \pm 0.4	4.9 \pm 0.3	5.2 \pm 0.7
WNW-60	6.5 \pm 0.2	5.6 \pm 0.7	4.8 \pm 1.1	5.8 \pm 0.3	5.7 \pm 1.4
NW-61	9.1 \pm 0.7	8.8 \pm 2.0	7.4 \pm 1.0	7.3 \pm 0.3	8.2 \pm 1.9
NW-62	5.8 \pm 0.3	6.0 \pm 0.7	4.6 \pm 0.5	4.5 \pm 0.0	5.2 \pm 1.6
NNW-63	6.4 \pm 0.2	6.0 \pm 1.0	5.3 \pm 0.9	4.9 \pm 0.3	5.7 \pm 1.4
NNW-64	6.0 \pm 0.3	5.4 \pm 0.3	5.0 \pm 1.0	6.0 \pm 0.3	5.6 \pm 1.0
C-1	5.6 \pm 0.1	5.0 \pm 0.1	4.5 \pm 0.8	5.5 \pm 0.7	5.2 \pm 1.0
C-2	6.7 \pm 1.2	4.9 \pm 0.2	5.2 \pm 1.0	5.3 \pm 0.7	5.5 \pm 1.6
C-3	4.9 \pm 0.3	4.7 \pm 0.1	5.1 \pm 2.5	3.5 \pm 0.2	4.6 \pm 1.4
C-4	5.5 \pm 0.7	3.7 \pm 0.2	3.4 \pm 0.5	3.8 \pm 0.1	4.1 \pm 1.9
C-5	4.6 \pm 0.2	4.0 \pm 0.1	4.3 \pm 0.7	4.0 \pm 0.2	4.2 \pm 0.6
C-6	5.7 \pm 1.1	4.2 \pm 0.1	4.2 \pm 0.8	3.8 \pm 0.3	4.5 \pm 1.7
C-7	6.7 \pm 0.4	6.0 \pm 0.1	6.5 \pm 0.5	6.5 \pm 0.5	6.4 \pm 0.6
C-8	7.7 \pm 0.2	5.6 \pm 0.1	6.3 \pm 0.7	5.7 \pm 0.3	6.3 \pm 1.9
Average \pm 2 s.d.	6.9 \pm 2.9	6.3 \pm 3.1	5.8 \pm 2.6	6.0 \pm 2.7	6.3 \pm 1.0

(a) TLD Vandalized

APPENDIX C
LAND USE CENSUS - 1992

VIRGINIA POWER

NORTH ANNA POWER STATION

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAND USE CENSUS DATA FOR 1992

JULY (1 - 31)

SECTOR	NEAREST RESIDENT M	NEAREST SITE BOUNDARY M	MILK* COW M	MEAT ANIMAL M	MILK* GOAT M	VEG. GARDEN 500 SQFT. M
N	1.35	0.87		2.01		1.91
NNE	1.35	0.85		2.62		1.35
NE	1.10	0.82		1.56		1.56
ENE	1.97	0.81		2.56		1.97
E	1.26	0.83				1.57
ESE	1.62	0.85		4.81		3.50
SE	1.37	0.88		1.37		1.37
SSE	0.91	0.91		2.38		0.91
S	1.04	0.94		1.44		1.04
SSW	1.43	1.01		4.11		4.11
SW	3.00	1.06				3.00
WSW	1.78	1.09		1.78		1.78
W	1.53	1.06				4.09
WNW	1.37	1.02		3.83		2.54
NW	1.01	0.97				1.10
NNW	1.07	0.90		2.22		1.19

* NOTE: No milk cows or goats within a five mile radius of North Anna Power Station.

M = MILE

VIRGINIA POWER

NORTH ANNA POWER STATION

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAND USE CENSUS DATA FOR 1992

JULY (1 - 31)

SECTOR	NEAREST RESIDENT KM	NEAREST SITE BOUNDARY KM	MILK* COW KM	MEAT ANIMAL KM	MILK* GOAT KM	VEG. GARDEN 500 SQFT. KM
N	2.17	1.40		3.23		3.07
NNE	2.17	1.36		4.22		2.17
NE	1.90	1.32		2.51		2.51
ENE	3.17	1.31		4.12		3.17
E	2.03	1.33				2.53
ESE	2.60	1.37		7.74		5.63
SE	2.20	1.41		2.20		2.20
SSE	1.47	1.47		3.83		1.47
S	1.67	1.52		2.32		1.67
SSW	2.30	1.62		6.61		6.61
SW	4.83	1.70				4.83
WSW	2.86	1.75		2.86		2.86
W	2.46	1.71				6.58
WNW	2.20	1.64		6.13		4.09
NW	1.63	1.56				1.77
NNW	1.72	1.45		3.57		1.91

* NOTE: No milk cows or goats within a five mile radius of North Anna Power Station.

KM = KILOMETER

VIRGINIA POWER
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION
COMPARISON OF THE 1992 TO 1991 LAND USE CENSUS

- I. No changes In Nearest Resident As Compared To Previous Year.
- II. No Changes Were Observed In The Nearest Site Boundaries Distances.
- III. No Changes Were Observed In The Nearest Milk Cow Status.
- IV. Changes In Nearest Vegetable Garden As Compared To Previous Year.
 - a. SSW Sector: 2.30 Km (1991) to 6.61 Km (1992)
- V. No Changes Were Observed In The Nearest Milk Goat Status.
- VI. Changes In Nearest Meat Animal As Compared To Previous Year.
 - a. SSW Sector: 5.92 Km (1991) to 6.61 Km (1992)
 - b. WNW Sector: 7.13 Km (1991) to 6.13 Km (1992)

APPENDIX D
SYNOPSIS OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Analytical Procedures Synopsis

Appendix D is a synopsis of the analytical procedures performed on samples collected for the North Anna Power Station's Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program. All analyses have been mutually agreed upon by VEPCO and Teledyne Isotopes and include those recommended by the USNRC Branch Technical Position, Rev. 1, November 1979.

<u>ANALYSIS TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Gross Beta Analysis of Samples	93
Airborne Particulates	93
Analysis of Samples for Tritium (Gas)	94
Water	94
Analysis of Samples for Tritium (Liquid Scintillation)	95
Analysis of Samples for Strontium-89 and -90	96
Water	96
Milk	96
Soil and Sediment	96
Organic Solids	97
Air Particulates	97
Analysis of Samples for Iodine-131	100
Milk or Water	100
Gamma Spectrometry of Samples	101
Milk and Water	101
Dried Solids other than Soils and Sediment	101
Fish	101
Soils and Sediments	101
Charcoal Cartridges (Air Iodine)	101
Airborne Particulates	102
Environmental Dosimetry	104

GROSS BETA ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Airborne Particulates

After a delay of five or more days, allowing for the radon-222 and radon-220 (thoron) daughter products to decay, the filters are counted in a gas-flow proportional counter. An unused air particulate filter, supplied by the customer, is counted as the blank.

Calculations of the results, the two sigma error and the lower limit of detection (LLD):

$$\text{RESULT (pCi/m}^3\text{)} = ((S/T) - (B/t))/(2.22 \text{ V E})$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR (pCi/m}^3\text{)} = 2((S/T^2) + (B/t^2))^{1/2}/(2.22 \text{ V E})$$

$$\text{LLD (pCi/m}^3\text{)} = 4.66 (B/t/T)^{1/2}/(2.22 \text{ V E})$$

where:

- S = Gross counts of sample including blank
- B = Counts of blank
- E = Counting efficiency
- T = Number of minutes sample was counted
- t = Number of minutes blank was counted
- V = Sample aliquot size (cubic meters)

ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FOR TRITIUM

(Gas Counting)

Water

Approximately 2 ml of water are converted to hydrogen by passing the water, heated to its vapor state, over a granular zinc conversion column heated to 400° C. The hydrogen is loaded into a one liter proportional detector and the volume is determined by recording the pressure.

The proportional detector is passively shielded by lead and steel and an electronic, anticoincidence system provides additional shielding from cosmic rays.

Calculation of the results, the two sigma error and the lower limit detection (LLD) in pCi/l:

$$\text{RESULT} = 2(3.234) T_N V_N (C_G - B) / (C_N V_S)$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR} = 2(3.234) T_N V_N (E)^{1/2} / (C_N V_S)$$

$$\text{LLD} = 3.3 (3.234) T_N V_N (E)^{1/2} / (C_N V_S)$$

where:	T_N	=	tritium units of the standard
	3.234	=	conversion factor changing tritium units to pCi/l
	V_N	=	volume of the standard used to calibrate the efficiency of the detector in psia
	V_S	=	volume of the sample loaded into the detector in psia
	C_N	=	the net cpm of the standard of volume V_N
	C_G	=	the gross cpm of the sample of volume V_S
	B	=	the background of the detector in cpm
	Δt	=	counting time for the sample
	E	=	$S/T^2 + B/t^2$

ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FOR TRITIUM

(Liquid Scintillation)

Water

Ten milliliters of water are mixed with 10 ml of a liquid scintillation "cocktail" and then the mixture is counted in an automatic liquid scintillator.

Calculation of the results, the two sigma error and the lower limit detection (LLD) in pCi/l:

$$\text{RESULT} = (N-B)/(2.22 V E)$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR} = 2((N + B)/\Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 V E)$$

$$\text{LLD} = 4.66 (B/\Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 V E)$$

where:

N = the gross cpm of the sample

B = the background of the detector in cpm

2.22 = conversion factor changing dpm to pCi

V = volume of the sample in ml

E = efficiency of the detector

Δt = counting time for the sample

ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FOR STRONTIUM-89 AND -90

Water

Stable strontium carrier is added to 1 liter of sample and the volume is reduced by evaporation. Strontium is precipitated as $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ using nitric acid. A barium scavenge and an iron (ferric hydroxide) scavenge are performed followed by addition of stable yttrium carrier and a minimum of 5 day period for yttrium ingrowth. Yttrium is then precipitated as hydroxide, dissolved and re-precipitated as oxalate. The yttrium oxalate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is counted in a low level beta counter to infer Sr-90 activity. Strontium-89 activity is determined by precipitating SrCO_3 from the sample after yttrium separation. This precipitate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is covered with an 80 mg/cm^2 aluminum absorber for low level beta counting.

Milk

Stable strontium carrier is added to 1 liter of sample and the sample is first evaporated, then ashed in a muffle furnace. The ash is dissolved and strontium is precipitated as phosphate, then is dissolved in 3M HNO_3 . This solution is passed through a crown ether extraction column to isolate elemental strontium. Stable yttrium carrier is added and the sample is allowed to stand for a minimum of 5 days for yttrium ingrowth. Yttrium is then precipitated as hydroxide, dissolved and re-precipitated as oxalate. The yttrium oxalate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is counted in a low level beta counter to infer Sr-90 activity. Strontium-89 is determined by precipitating SrCO_3 from the sample after yttrium separation. This precipitate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is covered with an 80 mg/cm^2 aluminum absorber for low level beta counting.

Soil and Sediment

The sample is first dried under heat lamps and an aliquot is taken. Stable strontium carrier is added and the sample is leached in hydrochloric acid. The mixture is filtered and strontium is precipitated from the liquid portion as phosphate. Strontium is precipitated as $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ using fuming (90%) nitric acid. A barium chromate scavenge and an iron (ferric hydroxide) scavenge are then performed. Stable yttrium carrier is added and the sample is allowed to stand for a minimum of 5 days for yttrium

ingrowth. Yttrium is then precipitated as hydroxide, dissolved and re-precipitated as oxalate. The yttrium oxalate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is counted in a low level beta counter to infer Sr-90 activity. Strontium-89 activity is determined by precipitating SrCO_3 from the sample after yttrium separation. This precipitate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is covered with an 80 mg/cm^2 aluminum absorber for low level beta counting.

Organic Solids

A wet portion of the sample is dried and then ashed in a muffle furnace. Stable strontium carrier is added and the ash is leached in hydrochloric acid. The sample is filtered and strontium is precipitated from the liquid portion as phosphate. Strontium is precipitated as $\text{Sr(NO}_3)_2$ using fuming (90%) nitric acid. An iron (ferric hydroxide) scavenge is performed, followed by addition of stable yttrium carrier and a minimum of 5 days period for yttrium ingrowth. Yttrium is then precipitated as hydroxide, dissolved and re-precipitated as oxalate. The yttrium oxalate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is counted in a low level beta counter to infer strontium-90 activity. Strontium-89 activity is determined by precipitating SrCO_3 from the sample after yttrium separation. This precipitate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is covered with an 80 mg/cm^2 aluminum absorber for low level beta counting.

Air Particulates

Stable strontium carrier is added to the sample and it is leached in nitric acid to bring deposits into solution. The mixture is then filtered and the filtrate is reduced in volume by evaporation. Strontium is precipitated as $\text{Sr(NO}_3)_2$ using fuming (90%) nitric acid. A barium scavenge is used to remove some interfering species. An iron (ferric hydroxide) scavenge is performed, followed addition of stable yttrium carrier and a 7 to 10 day period for yttrium ingrowth. Yttrium is then precipitated as hydroxide, dissolved and re-precipitated as oxalate. The yttrium oxalate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is counted in a low level beta counter to infer strontium-90 activity. Strontium-89 activity is determined by precipitating SrCO_3 from the sample after yttrium separation. This precipitate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is covered with 80 mg/cm^2 aluminum absorber for level beta counting.

Calculations of the results, two sigma errors and lower limits of detection (LLD) are expressed in activity of pCi/volume or pCi/mass:

$$\text{RESULT Sr-89} = (N/\Delta t - B_C - B_A) / (2.22 \text{ V } Y_S \text{ DF}_{\text{Sr-89}} E_{\text{Sr-89}})$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR Sr-89} = 2((N/\Delta t + B_C + B_A) / \Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 \text{ V } Y_S \text{ DF}_{\text{Sr-89}} E_{\text{Sr-89}})$$

$$\text{LLD Sr-89} = 4.66((B_C + B_A) / \Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 \text{ V } Y_S \text{ DF}_{\text{Sr-89}} E_{\text{Sr-89}})$$

$$\text{RESULT Sr-90} = (N/\Delta t - B) / (2.22 \text{ V } Y_1 Y_2 \text{ DF IF E})$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR Sr-90} = 2((N/\Delta t + B) / \Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 \text{ V } Y_1 Y_2 \text{ DF E IF})$$

$$\text{LLD Sr-90} = 4.66(B/\Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 \text{ V } Y_1 Y_2 \text{ IF DF E})$$

where:	N	=	total counts from sample (counts)
	Δt	=	counting time for sample (min)
	B_C	=	background rate of counter (cpm) using absorber configuration
	2.22	=	dpm/pCi
	V	=	volume or weight of sample analyzed
	B_A	=	background addition from Sr-90 and ingrowth of Y-90
	B_A	=	$0.016 (K) + (K) (E_{Y/abs}) (IG_{Y-90})$
	Y_S	=	chemical yield of strontium
	DF SR-89	=	decay factor from the mid collection date to the counting date for SR-89
	E_{SR-89}	=	efficiency of the counter for SR-89 with the 80 mg/cm.sq. aluminum absorber
	K	=	$(N/\Delta t - B_C)_{Y-90} / (E_{Y-90} IF_{Y-90} DF_{Y-90} Y_1)$
	DF _{Y-90}	=	the decay factor for Y-90 from the "milk" time to the mid count time
	E_{Y-90}	=	efficiency of the counter for Y-90
	IF _{Y-90}	=	ingrowth factor for Y-90 from scavenge time to milking time
	IG _{Y-90}	=	the ingrowth factor for Y-90 into the strontium mount from the "milk" time to the mid count time
	0.016	=	the efficiency of measuring SR-90 through a No. 6 absorber
	$E_{Y/abs}$	=	the efficiency of counting Y-90 through a No. 6 absorber
	B	=	background rate of counter (cpm)
	Y_1	=	chemical yield of yttrium
	Y_2	=	chemical yield of strontium
	DF	=	decay factor of yttrium from the radiochemical milking time to the mid count time
	E	=	efficiency of the counter for Y-90
	IF	=	ingrowth factor for Y-90 from scavenge time to the radiochemical milking time

ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FOR IODINE-131

Milk or Water

Two liters of sample are first equilibrated with stable iodide carrier. A batch treatment with anion exchange resin is used to remove iodine from the sample. The iodine is then stripped from the resin with sodium hypochlorite solution, reduced with hydroxylamine hydrochloride and extracted into toluene as free iodine. It is then back-extracted as iodide into sodium bisulfite solution and is precipitated as palladium iodide. The precipitate is weighed for chemical yield and is mounted on a nylon planchet for low level beta counting. The chemical yield is corrected by measuring the stable iodide content of the milk or the water with a specific ion electrode.

Calculations of results, two sigma error and the lower limit of detection (LLD) in pCi/l:

$$\text{RESULT} = (N/\Delta t - B)/(2.22 E V Y DF)$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR} = 2((N/\Delta t + B)/\Delta t)^{1/2} (2.22 E V Y DF)$$

$$\text{LLD} = 4.66(B/\Delta t)^{1/2} / (2.22 E V Y DF)$$

where:	N	=	total counts from sample (counts)
	Δt	=	counting time for sample (min)
	B	=	background rate of counter (cpm)
	2.22	=	dpm/pCi
	V	=	volume or weight of sample analyzed
	Y	=	chemical yield of the mount or sample counted
	DF	=	decay factor from the collection to the counting date
	E	=	efficiency of the counter for I-131, corrected for self absorption effects by the formula
	$E = E_s(\exp(-0.0085M))/(\exp(-0.0085M_s))$		
	E_s	=	efficiency of the counter determined from an I-131 standard mount
	M_s	=	mass of PdI_2 on the standard mount, mg
	M	=	mass of PdI_2 on the sample mount, mg

GAMMA SPECTROMETRY OF SAMPLES

Milk and Water

A 1.0 liter Marinelli beaker is filled with a representative aliquot of the sample. The sample is then counted for approximately 1000 minutes with a shielded Ge(Li) detector coupled to a mini-computer-based data acquisition system which performs pulse height analysis.

Dried Solids Other Than Soils and Sediments

A large quantity of the sample is dried at a low temperature, less than 100°C. As much as possible (up to the total sample) is loaded into a tared 1-liter Marinelli and weighed. The sample is then counted for approximately 1000 minutes with a shielded Ge(Li) detector coupled to a mini-computer-based data acquisition system which performs pulse height analysis.

Fish

As much as possible (up to the total sample) of the edible portion of the sample is loaded into a tared Marinelli and weighed. The sample is then counted for approximately 1000 minutes with a shielded Ge(Li) detector coupled to a mini-computer-based data acquisition system which performs pulse height analysis.

Soils and Sediments

Soils and sediments are dried at a low temperature, less than 100°C. The soil or sediment is loaded fully into a tared, standard 300 cc container and weighed. The sample is then counted for approximately six hours with a shielded Ge(Li) detector coupled to a mini-computer-based data acquisition system which performs pulse height and analysis.

Charcoal Cartridges (Air Iodine)

Charcoal cartridges are counted up to five at a time, with one positioned on the face of a Ge(Li) detector and up to four on the side of the Ge(Li) detector. Each Ge(Li) detector is calibrated for both positions. The detection limit for I-131 of each charcoal cartridge can be determined (assuming no positive I-131) uniquely from the volume of air which passed through it. In the event I-131 is observed in the initial counting of a

set, each charcoal cartridge is then counted separately, positioned on the face of the detector

Airborne Particulates

The thirteen airborne particulate filters for a quarterly composite for each field station are aligned one in front of another and then counted for at least six hours with a shielded Ge(Li) detector coupled to a mini-computer-based data acquisition system which performs pulse height analysis.

A mini-computer software program defines peaks by certain changes in the slope of the spectrum. The program also compares the energy of each peak with a library of peaks for isotope identification and then performs the radioactivity calculation using the appropriate fractional gamma ray abundance, half life, detector efficiency, and net counts in the peak region. The calculation of results, two sigma error and the lower limit of detection (LLD) in pCi/volume of pCi/mass:

$$\text{RESULT} = (S-B)/2.22 \, t \, E \, V \, F \, DF$$

$$\text{TWO SIGMA ERROR} = 2(S+B)^{1/2}/(2.22 \, t \, E \, V \, F \, DF)$$

$$\text{LLD} = 4.66(B)^{1/2}/(2.22 \, t \, E \, V \, F \, DF)$$

where:

S = Area, in counts, of sample peak and background (region of spectrum of interest)

B = Background area, in counts, under sample peak, determined by a linear interpolation of the representative backgrounds on either side of the peak

t = length of time in minutes the sample was counted

2.22 = dpm/pCi

E = detector efficiency for energy of interest and geometry of sample

V = sample aliquot size (liters, cubic meters, kilograms, or grams)

F = fractional gamma abundance (specific for each emitted gamma)

DF = decay factor from the mid-collection date to the counting date

ENVIRONMENTAL DOSIMETRY

Teledyne Isotopes uses a $\text{CaSO}_4:\text{Dy}$ thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD) which the company manufactures. This material has a high light output, negligible thermally induced signal loss (fading), and negligible self dosing. The energy response curve (as well as all other features) satisfies NRC Reg. Guide 4.13. Transit doses are accounted for by use of separate TLDs.

Following the field exposure period the TLDs are placed in a Teledyne Isotopes Model 8300. One fourth of the rectangular TLD is heated at a time and the measured light emission (luminescence) is recorded. The TLD is then annealed and exposed to a known Cs-137 dose; each area is then read again. This provides a calibration of each area of each TLD after every field use. The transit controls are read in the same manner.

Calculations of results and the two sigma error in net milliRoentgen (mR):

RESULT $D = (D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + D_4)/4$

TWO SIGMA ERROR $= 2((D_1 - D)^2 + (D_2 - D)^2 + (D_3 - D)^2 + (D_4 - D)^2/3)^{1/2}$

WHERE: D_1 = the net mR of area 1 of the TLD, and similarly for D_2 , D_3 , and D_4

$$D_1 = I_1 K/R_1 - A$$

I_1 = the instrument reading of the field dose in area 1

K = the known exposure by the Cs-137 source

R_1 = the instrument reading due to the Cs-137 dose on area 1

A = average dose in mR, calculated in similar manner as above, of the transit control TLDs

D = the average net mR of all 4 areas of the TLD.

APPENDIX E
EPA INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

EPA Interlaboratory Comparison Program

Teledyne Isotopes participates in the US EPA Interlaboratory Comparison Program to the fullest extent possible. That is, we participate in the program for all radioactive isotopes prepared and at the maximum frequency of availability. In this section trending graphs (since 1981) and the 1992 data summary tables are presented for isotopes in the various sample media applicable to the North Anna Power Station's Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program. The footnotes of the table discuss investigations of problems encountered in a few cases and the steps taken to prevent reoccurrence.

VEPCO - NORTH ANNA
US EPA INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM 1992
(Page 1 of 3)

EPA Preparation	Date TI Mailed Results	Date EPA Issued Results	Media	Nuclide	EPA Results(a)		TI Results(b)		Norm Dev. (Known)	**Warning ***Action
01/17/92	03/24/92	06/25/92	Water	Sr-89	51.0 ±	5.0	45.67 ±	1.53	-1.85	
				Sr-90	20.0 ±	5.0	18.67 ±	1.53	-0.46	
01/31/92	02/25/92	03/24/92	Water	Gr-Alpha	30.0 ±	8.0	25.00 ±	4.00	-1.08	
				Gr-Beta	30.0 ±	5.0	31.67 ±	0.58	0.58	
02/07/92	02/26/92	04/22/92	Water	I-131	59.0 ±	6.0	61.00 ±	1.73	0.58	
02/14/92	03/24/92	06/25/92	Water	Co-60	40.0 ±	5.0	38.00 ±	2.65	-0.69	
				Zn-65	148.0 ±	15.0	145.00 ±	1.73	-0.35	
				Ru-106	203.0 ±	20.0	191.00 ±	21.66	-1.04	
				Cs-134	31.0 ±	5.0	29.00 ±	2.00	-0.69	
				Cs-137	49.0 ±	5.0	53.67 ±	2.52	1.62	
				Ba-133	76.0 ±	8.0	75.67 ±	7.51	-0.07	
02/21/92	03/18/92	04/22/92	Water	Tritium	7904.0 ±	790.0	7800.00 ±	100.00	-0.23	
03/27/92	05/13/92	06/29/92	Air Filter	Gr-Alpha	7.0 ±	5.0	11.33 ±	0.58	1.50	
				Gr-Beta	41.0 ±	5.0	43.00 ±	1.00	0.69	
				Sr-90	15.0 ±	5.0	12.67 ±	0.58	-0.81	
				Cs-137	10.0 ±	5.0	11.00 ±	1.73	0.35	
04/14/92	05/25/92	08/18/92	Water	Gr-Beta	140.0 ±	21.0	98.00 ±	2.00	-3.46	(c)
				Sr-89	15.0 ±	5.0	16.00 ±	1.00	0.35	
				Sr-90	17.0 ±	5.0	14.33 ±	1.15	-0.92	
				Co-60	56.0 ±	5.0	55.00 ±	1.73	-0.35	
				Cs-134	24.0 ±	5.0	22.67 ±	1.53	-0.46	
				Cs-137	22.0 ±	5.0	24.67 ±	3.06	0.92	
				Gr-Alpha	40.0 ±	10.0	34.33 ±	2.08	-0.98	
				Ra-226	14.9 ±	2.2	13.33 ±	2.08	-1.23	
				Ra-228	14.0 ±	3.5	15.33 ±	0.58	0.66	
04/24/92	06/25/92	08/18/92	Milk	Sr-89	38.0 ±	5.0	36.00 ±	4.58	-0.69	
				Sr-90	29.0 ±	5.0	26.00 ±	0.00	-1.04	
				I-131	78.0 ±	8.0	71.67 ±	4.04	-1.37	
				Cs-137	39.0 ±	5.0	46.67 ±	2.31	2.66	(d)
				K	1710.0 ±	86.0	1680.00 ±	72.11	-0.60	

Footnotes located at end of table.

VEPCO - NORTH ANNA
US EPA INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM 1992
(Page 2 of 3)

EPA Preparation	Date TI Mailed Results	Date EPA Issued Results	Media	Nuclide	EPA Results(a)		TI Results(b)		Norm Dev. (Known)	**Warning ***Action
05/08/92	07/07/92	08/19/92	Water	Sr-89	29.0 ±	5.0	24.00 ±	1.73	-1.73	
				Sr-90	8.0 ±	5.0	6.33 ±	0.58	-0.58	
05/15/92	06/12/92	07/06/92	Water	Gr-Alpha	15.0 ±	5.0	10.00 ±	1.00	-1.73	
				Gr-Beta	44.0 ±	5.0	44.67 ±	1.15	0.23	
06/05/92	07/09/92	09/11/92	Water	Co-60	20.0 ±	5.0	21.33 ±	0.58	0.46	
				Zn-65	99.0 ±	10.0	107.00 ±	3.61	1.39	
				Ru-106	141.0 ±	14.0	127.00 ±	11.53	-1.73	
				Cs-134	15.0 ±	5.0	15.00 ±	1.00	0.00	
				Cs-137	15.0 ±	5.0	16.00 ±	1.00	0.35	
				Ba-133	98.0 ±	10.0	93.33 ±	6.03	-0.81	
06/19/92	07/13/92	08/18/92	Water	H-3	2125.0 ±	347.0	2100.00 ±	0.00	-0.12	
08/07/92	09/18/92	11/02/92	Water	I-131	45.0 ±	6.0	43.33 ±	6.03	-0.48	
08/28/92	10/06/92	12/238/92	Air Filter	Gr-Alpha	30.0 ±	8.0	27.33 ±	0.58	-0.58	
				Gr-Beta	69.0 ±	10.0	69.00 ±	1.00	0.00	
				Sr-90	25.0 ±	5.0	22.67 ±	1.15	-0.81	
				Cs-137	18.0 ±	5.0	16.67 ±	2.31	-0.46	
08/28/92	10/21/92	11/24/92	Water	Gr-Alpha	45.0 ±	11.0	45.00 ±	2.00	0.00	
				Gr-Beta	50.0 ±	5.0	45.00 ±	1.73	-1.73	
09/11/92	11/04/92	12/22/92	Water	Sr-89	20.0 ±	5.0	126.00 ±	1.00	-1.39	
				Sr-90	15.0 ±	5.0	13.00 ±	1.0	-0.69	
10/09/92	11/16/92	12/28/92	Water	Co-60	10.0 ±	5.0	11.00 ±	1.00	0.35	
				Zn-65	148.0 ±	15.0	156.67 ±	0.58	1.00	
				Ru-106	175.0 ±	18.0	164.33 ±	7.51	-1.03	
				Cs-134	8.0 ±	5.0	8.67 ±	0.58	0.23	
				Cs-137	8.0 ±	5.0	8.67 ±	0.58	0.23	
				Ba-133	74.0 ±	7.0	75.67 ±	9.29	0.41	

VEPCO - NORTH ANNA
US EPA INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM 1992
(Page 3 of 3)

EPA Preparation	Date TI Mailed Results	Date EPA Issued Results	Media	Nuclide	EPA Results(a)		TI Results(b)		Norm Dev. (Known)	**Warning ***Action
10/23/92	11/22/92	10/23/92	Water	H-3	5962.0 ±	596.0	5666.67 ±	57.74	-0.86	
09/25/92	11/18/92	01/04/93	Milk	Sr-89	15.0 ±	5.0	16.00 ±	2.00	0.35	
				Sr-90	15.00 ±	5.0	12.67 ±	1.15	-0.81	
				I-131	100.0 ±	10.0	99.00 ±	7.21	-0.17	
				Cs-137	15.0 ±	5.0	15.67 ±	1.15	0.23	
				K	1750.0 ±	88.0	1660.00 ±	85.44	-1.77	
10/20/92	12/02/92	02/01/93	Water	Gr-Beta	53.0 ±	10.00	49.00 ±	2.65	-0.69	
				Sr-89	8.0 ±	5.0	8.67 ±	0.58	0.23	
				Sr-90	10.0 ±	5.0	8.00 ±	1.00	-0.69	
				Co-60	15.0 ±	5.0	15.00 ±	12.00	0.00	
				Cs-134	5.0 ±	5.0	5.00 ±	0.00	0.00	
				Cs-137	8.0 ±	5.0	8.67 ±	0.58	0.23	
				Gr-Alpha	29.0 ±	7.0	27.33 ±	4.16	-0.41	


(a) Average ± experimental sigma.

(b) Expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination)


(c) There was large fraction of low energy beta emitters (Co-60 and Cs-134) in the sample. Detector efficiency decreases with decreasing energy. We are required to calibrate with the high energy beta emitters (Cs-137 and Sr-90). No corrective action is necessary.

(d) There is no apparent reason for the high Cs-137 results. The sample geometry and detector efficiencies were verified to be correct. The Total K and I-131 by gamma spectroscopy were in good agreement with EPA values. There is no trend and results were within ± sigma so no action taken.


EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
GROSS ALPHA IN AIR PARTICULATES




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATES




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
STRONTIUM-90 IN AIR PARTICULATES




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM CESIUM-137 IN AIR PARTICULATES




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM STRONTIUM-89 IN MILK



EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
STRONTIUM-90 IN MILK




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM POTASSIUM-40 IN MILK




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM

IODINE-131 IN MILK




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM


CESIUM-137 IN MILK




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
GROSS ALPHA IN WATER




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
GROSS BETA IN WATER (pg. 2 of 2)



EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
GROSS BETA IN WATER (pg. 1 of 2)




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
TRITIUM IN WATER (pg. 2 of 2)



TRITIUM IN WATER (pg. 1 of 2)




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
COBALT-60 IN WATER (pg. 2 of 2)




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM


COBALT-60 IN WATER (pg 1 of 2)



EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
CESIUM-134 IN WATER (pg. 2 of 2)




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
CESIUM-134 IN WATER (pg. 1 of 2)




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM


IODINE-131 IN WATER




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
CESIUM-137 IN WATER (pg. 2 of 2)



EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
CESIUM-137 IN WATER (pg. 1 of 2)




EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
STRONTIUM-89 IN WATER (pg. 2 of 2)



EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM

STRONTIUM-90 IN WATER



EPA CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
STRONTIUM-89 IN WATER (pg. 1 of 2)

