



Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Soddy-Daisy, Tenn. 37379-2000

Robert A. Fenech  
Vice President, Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

April 19, 1993

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 2 - DOCKET  
NO. 50-328 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-79 - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT  
(LER) 50-328/93002

The enclosed LER provides details concerning five containment boundary  
isolation 1/2-inch drain valves that were found to be improperly  
configured.

This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)  
as an operation prohibited by technical specifications.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Fenech

Enclosure  
cc: See page 2

260033

9304260081 930419  
PDR ADOCK 05000328  
S PDR

JE22

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Page 2

April 19, 1993

cc (Enclosure):

INPO Records Center  
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations  
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-3064

Mr. D. E. LaBarge, Project Manager  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
One White Flint, North  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739

NRC Resident Inspector  
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant  
2600 Igou Ferry Road  
Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37379-3624

Regional Administrator  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region II  
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323-2711

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2										DOCKET NUMBER (2)   PAGE (3) 0150003128110707									
TITLE (4) Containment Boundary Isolation Valves Discovered Misconfigured for an Indeterminate Reason																			
EVENT DAY (5)					LER NUMBER (6)					REPORT DATE (7)					OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)				
					SEQUENTIAL   REVISION					FACILITY NAMES					DOCKET NUMBER(S)				
MONTH   DAY   YEAR					NUMBER   NUMBER					MONTH   DAY   YEAR					0150003128110707				
013189393					00200041993										0150003128110707				
OPERATING MODE (9)   THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5:																			
(Check one or more of the following)(11)																			
20.402(b)					20.405(c)					50.73(a)(2)(iv)					73.71(b)				
POWER   20.405(a)(1)(i)					50.36(c)(1)					50.73(a)(2)(v)					73.71(c)				
LEVEL   20.405(a)(1)(ii)					50.36(c)(2)					50.73(a)(2)(vii)					OTHER (Specify in				
(10)   0100					20.405(a)(1)(iii)   XX					50.73(a)(2)(i)					50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)   Abstract below and in				
					20.405(a)(1)(iv)					50.73(a)(2)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)   Text, NRC Form 366A)				
					20.405(a)(1)(v)					50.73(a)(2)(iii)					50.73(a)(2)(x)				
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)																			
NAME										TELEPHONE NUMBER									
C. H. Whittemore, Compliance Licensing										615843-7210									
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)																			
CAUSE   SYSTEM   COMPONENT   MANUFACTURER   TO NPRDS					CAUSE   SYSTEM   COMPONENT   MANUFACTURER   TO NPRDS														
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)										EXPECTED   MONTH   DAY   YEAR									
										SUBMISSION									
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)   X   NO										DATE (15)									
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)																			

On March 18, 1993, at approximately 2030 Eastern standard time, during the performance of a routine containment integrity surveillance, five 1/2-inch drain valves (four component cooling water system and one essential raw cooling water system) were found by the test personnel to be misconfigured. None of the valves had the required locking device installed, and two of the valves were open approximately one turn. The valves were immediately closed and locking devices were procured and installed. Investigation identified several possible causes for the condition which included procedure weaknesses and configuration control/performance/process weaknesses. Corrective actions to address these causes include procedure reviews and revisions, extensive plant configuration verification efforts, Operations performance improvement initiatives, and process improvements.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2		SEQUENTIAL		REVISION					
		YEAR	NUMBER		NUMBER				
		050003128	93	002	000	2	OF	7	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

I. PLANT CONDITIONS

Unit 2 was in Mode 5 in a forced outage.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. Event

On March 18, 1993, at approximately 2030 Eastern standard time (EST), during the performance of a routine containment integrity surveillance, five 1/2-inch drain (EIIIS Code DRN) valves (EIIIS Code V) were found by the test personnel to be misconfigured. Four of the valves are drain valves inside containment for the excess letdown heat exchanger (EIIIS Code HX) component cooling system (CCS) (EIIIS Code CC) piping. The other valve is a drain valve in the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) (EIIIS Code BI) system for the lower compartment coolers (EIIIS Code BK), control rod drive mechanism coolers (EIIIS Code CD), and reactor coolant pump motor coolers (EIIIS Code AB). None of the valves had locking devices, and two valves were found to be approximately one turn open. The routine containment integrity surveillance instructions (SIs) require all five valves to be closed and secured. The Unit 2 assistant shift operations supervisor (ASOS), assisted by the test personnel, closed and locked the valves.

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems That Contributed to the Event

None.

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences

1. March 19, 1992 A containment isolation valve leak-rate test verified the valves to be closed, capped, and secured.
2. May 1, 1992 A containment integrated leak-rate test was performed, and the valves were returned to the line-up required by the SI, i.e., closed and capped.
3. May 1-6, 1992 Valve alignment checklists for the valves were performed, verifying the valves to be closed and capped.
4. May 1-7, 1992 The containment integrity verification surveillance was performed. The valves were verified closed, capped, and secured.

Because the above checklists were being performed concurrently and do not contain verification times for individual valves, it is inconclusive as to the order the checklists were performed on these valves.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2				SEQUENTIAL		REVISION			
		YEAR		NUMBER		NUMBER			
	05000328	93	--	002	--	0003	OF	07	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

5. March 18, 1993 A routine surveillance verifying the integrity of containment was performed.

D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

None.

E. Method of Discovery

The event was discovered during routine performance of a containment integrity surveillance.

F. Operator Actions

The test personnel (auxiliary unit operator [AUO]) that discovered the conditions informed the Unit 2 ASOS. The ASOS and AUO investigated the condition and immediately closed the valves and then proceeded to procure locking devices. The valves were secured before the end of the shift. The AUO and ASOS reported that the caps were still intact and not leaking.

G. Safety System Responses

None required.

III. CAUSE OF EVENT

A. Immediate Cause

The immediate cause of this event was the failure to maintain configuration control in that five containment boundary isolation valves were not locked, and two of the valves were open approximately one turn.

B. Root Cause

Investigation of this event identified several possible causes for each of the conditions, i.e., valves unlocked and valves not fully closed, as listed below. While it could not be determined which of these causes resulted in the given conditions, corrective actions are being taken to address each of the causes.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		SEQUENTIAL REVISION	
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2		YEAR NUMBER NUMBER	
	01500003 12 18 19 13	0 0 2 0 0 0	4 OF 0 7

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Relative to the valves not being secured:

1. Procedure/drawing inadequacies and inconsistencies existed. The valve alignment checklists were being performed during the same time frame as the containment integrity verification SI. The valve alignment checklist may have been performed subsequent to the "Verification of Containment Integrity" SI for the subject valves. Since the valve alignment checklist did not require the valves to be locked, the locks may have been removed after the containment integrity verification SI was completed. This seems to be the most plausible explanation; however, failure to further investigate this type of disagreement between the procedure and the as-found component configuration represents lack of appropriate sensitivity to configuration management issues. It was also determined that the associated plant drawings do not indicate the locked closed requirement for these and similar valves that are required to be locked closed.
2. The containment integrity SI may have been incorrectly performed, leaving the valves unlocked.

Relative to the valves found open:

1. The valves may have been inadvertently manipulated or bumped open. It should be noted that these are T-handle valves.
2. SIs or valve checklist instructions may have been improperly performed.

IV. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

This condition was discovered while performing a routine containment integrity surveillance during a shutdown; the previously documented movement and closure of these valves was during the routine containment integrity surveillance that was performed in May 1992. It is assumed that these valves could have been misconfigured from approximately May 1992 until March 1, 1993; Unit 2 was operating in Mode 1 at full power for most of this period.

The ERCW drain valve is inside containment on the supply line to the lower compartment coolers and was found closed and capped.

The four component cooling system (CCS) drain valves are inside containment and can be isolated by two motor-operated automatic containment isolation valves. Two of these drain valves were found to be approximately one turn open.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

## TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2			SEQUENTIAL		REVISION				
		YEAR	NUMBER		NUMBER				
	015100031218	913	--	002	--	000	05	OF	07

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

With two CCS drain valves partially open, the inboard side of the containment penetrations must be assumed to be effectively open to the containment atmosphere because no credit can be taken for the caps. The caps are not constructed of the same quality level as the containment penetrations and are not considered containment isolation barriers.

While this condition constituted some degradation of the containment integrity provisions for the subject penetrations, it is considered very unlikely that any containment atmosphere leakage would have occurred as a result of the numerous other barriers that were in place, i.e., threaded caps, CCS water-filled penetration and lines, outboard motor-operated valves, and CCS filled pressurized Class C piping outside containment. Further, any postulated leakage would have been filtered by either the annulus emergency gas-treatment system or auxiliary building gas-treatment system. Therefore, this event is not considered to have resulted in any adverse safety consequences.

## V. CORRECTIVE ACTION

A. Immediate Corrective Action

Each valve was placed in the correct position and secured.

B. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence

The following corrective actions are being taken to address potential causes.

1. Procedures that manipulate valves, breaker positions, or fuse removal, etc., will be reviewed to ensure that configurations for common components are consistent. Procedures that require revisions as the result of the review mentioned above will be revised before their use supporting restart of the respective units from the current outages.
2. Drawings will be revised to ensure that requirements for locked valves are properly reflected.
3. A systematic verification of the configuration of required components will be performed on each unit. Primary and secondary process system components will be verified to be correctly configured before restart of their respective units.
4. A more positive method of locking T-handle valves will be developed.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2		SEQUENTIAL		REVISION					
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER					
	050003 2 18	9 13	0 0	2	0	0	0	6	0 7

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

5. To minimize the potential for inadvertent valve manipulation, e.g., bumping, the sequence for containment closeout and containment integrity verification closeout will be revised. Containment integrity verification will be performed sufficiently late in the schedule (as near as practicable to the administrative closure of containment).
6. Management's expectations regarding the initiation of the appropriate corrective action document on finding components in other than expected configurations will be communicated to Operations personnel.
7. Extensive actions are ongoing to strengthen the SQN configuration control process and implementation including: clear definition of expectations and ownership for Operations personnel; verification process and training upgrades; procedure and drawing reviews/revisions to ensure correct component configuration; additional training on consistent methods for verifying various types of components; and plant/design review to ensure that plant components requiring configuration control are captured in procedures.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Failed Components

None.

B. Previous Similar Events

A previous event was identified that involved mispositioned and inadequately secured valves. LER 50-328/91007 dated December 10, 1991, describes the discovery of three partially open ERCW test-connection valves that were required to be closed and secured for containment integrity. The root cause of this event was indeterminate. Procedures affecting these valves were also inconsistent in the locking requirements. The corrective action associated with this event focused only on the procedures directly affecting the three ERCW test valves. Another corrective action associated with the previous event had not yet been implemented. Containment valves that should be secured, according to design criteria, were to be identified and indicated as secured on the appropriate flow diagrams. This action is scheduled to be completed by April 30, 1993. Either of these corrective actions could possibly have prevented the subject valves from not being properly secured. The generic problem of unsecured valves as a result of procedure inconsistencies was not recognized at the time of this previous event. Initiatives are ongoing to effect improvements in the site corrective action programs to promote thorough evaluation and bounding of the extent of condition and timely implementation of comprehensive corrective actions.



LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	NUMBER	REVISION	NUMBER				
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2	050003 2 8 9 3	--	0 0 2	--	0 0 0	7	0	0	7

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Several additional occurrences were identified involving mispositioned valves. Causes included: different techniques used, broken valve position indicators, inaccurate valve indicators, personnel errors, broken valve stems, and inadequate procedures. The causes in most cases were well defined and corrective actions were specific to the cause. As indicated by corrective action 5, broad initiatives are ongoing to improve overall SQN control of configuration.

## VII. COMMITMENTS

1. Procedures that manipulate valves, change breaker position, or require fuse removal will be reviewed by May 28, 1993, to ensure that configurations for common components are consistent.
2. Procedures that require revisions as the result of the review mentioned above will be revised before their use supporting restart of the respective units from their current outages.
3. Review the design criteria for locked valves and revise the associated flow diagrams to indicate the appropriate valves locking requirements. This will be accomplished by September 7, 1993.
4. A systematic verification of the configuration of required components will be performed on each unit before its respective restart. Primary process system components will be verified to be correctly configured before restart of respective units.
5. Appropriate procedures will be revised so that containment integrity verification will be performed sufficiently late in the schedule (as near as practicable to the administrative closure of containment) to minimize the potential for undetected inadvertent bumping or jarring of equipment. This will be accomplished before restart of the respective units from their current outages.
6. A more positive method of locking T-handle valves will be developed by June 4, 1993.
7. Management's expectations regarding the initiation of the appropriate corrective action document on finding components in other than expected configurations will be communicated to Operations personnel by May 17, 1993.