



General Electric Company
1175 Curtner Avenue, San Jose, CA 95125

April 6, 1993

Docket No. STN 52-001

Chet Poslusny, Senior Project Manager
Standardization Project Directorate
Associate Directorate for Advanced Reactors
and License Renewal
Office of the Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Subject: Submittal Supporting Accelerated ABWR Review Schedule - **Additional EOPs**

Dear Chet:

Enclosed are copies of GE Engineering Operating Procedure EOP 40-7.00, 42-6.00 and 42-10.00 requested by Mike Janus. These EOPs are referenced in EOP 40-3.00 provided in my April 5, 1993 letter which is being used in conjunction with the resolution of Confirmatory Item 15.1-1.

Please provide a copy of this transmittal to Mike Janus.

Sincerely,

Jack Fox
Advanced Reactor Programs

cc: Norman Fletcher (DOE)
Phil Nevak (GE)

200033

JP93-80

9304210050 930406
PDR ADOCK 05200001
A PDR

DO501

CHANGE NOTICE A

DESIGN REVIEWS

Date Effective - February 24, 1992

This notice constitutes a change to the subject EOP. The changes described may be incorporated into a subsequent EOP revision without further coordination if no adverse comments are received by the EOP Procedure Coordinator (M/C 260) within 60 days after the effectivity date specified above.

1. Change paragraph 2.4 to read as follows:

As appropriate, design reviews are used to verify that product designs meet functional, contractual, safety, health, environmental, regulatory, industry codes and standards, and Company requirements.

2. Change paragraph A4.2 to read as follows:

In addition, design reviews are appropriate in cases where there is high technical risk, regulatory sensitivity, potential for adverse environmental, health or safety impact (particularly for new products), and/or financial impact. The principal factors which influence this judgement are:

- a. Significant departures from proven designs
- b. Significantly different applications for a known design
- c. New technology
- d. Significantly reduced margins
- e. Several engineering disciplines are involved
- f. Complex interfaces with other design areas
- g. Regulatory requirements for design verification
- h. High implementation costs
- i. Long term or large resource commitment
- j. Large Warranty or liability risk


3. Change paragraph A9.1(2) to read as follows:

- (2) The design meets its requirements and therefore will perform its intended function, including safety, health, environmental, reliability, operability, maintainability, licensability, interface compatibility, etc., considerations, and


4. Re-number paragraph B7 as "B9".
5. Add a new paragraph B7 as follows:


"B7 Environmental, Health or Safety Impact

- a. Does the design or design change create or increase the potential for adverse environmental, health or safety impact?
- b. Can a change in the design decrease the potential for adverse impact or create positive impact?"

 1/22/92

M. Gecox
EOP PROCEDURE COORDINATOR

_____
J. C. Larrew
MANAGER, PRODUCT QUALITY ASSURANCE

 1/27/92

D. W. Reigel
EOP COUNSEL