ATTACHMENT 1

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION
UNITS 2 AND 3

Docket Nos. 50-277
50-278

License Nos. DPR-44
DPR-56

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST
No. 93-07

"Incorporation of SAFER/GESTR LOCA Model"

List of Attached Pages
Units 2 and 3

140
140c

3.5 BASES (Continued)

H. Engineered Safeguards Compartments Cooling and Ventilation

One unit cooler in each pump compartment is capable of providing adequate ventilation flow and cooling. Engineering analyses indicated that the temperature rise in safeguards compartments without adequate ventilation flow or cooling is such that continued operation of the safeguards equipment or associated auxiliary equipment cannot be assured. Ventilation associated with the High Pressure Service Water Pumps is also associated with the Emergency Service Water pumps, and is specified in Specification 3.9.

I. Average Planar LHGR

This specification assures that the peak cladding temperature following the postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident will not exceed the limit specified in the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K.

The peak cladding temperature (PCT) following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident is primarily a function of the average heat generation rate of all the rods of a fuel assembly at any axial location and is only dependent, secondarily, on the rod-to-rod power distribution within an assembly. The peak clad temperature is calculated assuming a LHGR for the highest powered rod which is equal to or less than the design LGHR. This LHGR times 1.02 is used in the heatup code along with the exposure dependent steady state gap conductance and rod-to-rod local peaking factors. The Technical Specification APLHGR is the LHGR of the highest powered rod divided by its local peaking factor. The limiting value for APLHGR is shown in the applicable figure for each fuel type in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT.

Only the most limiting APLHGR operating limits are shown in the figures for the multiple lattice fuel types. Compliance with the lattice-specific APLHGR limits is ensured by using the process computer. When an alternate method to the process computer is required (i.e. hand calculations and/or alternate computer simulation), the most limiting lattice APLHGR limit for each fuel type shall be applied to every lattice of that fuel type.

The calculational procedure used to establish the APLHGR is based on a loss-of-coolant accident analysis. The analysis was performed using General Electric (G.E.) calculational models which are consistent with the requirements of Appendix K to 10 CFR Part 50. A complete discussion of each code employed in the analysis is presented in Reference 4. The plant specific results using the Reference 4 methodology are presented in Reference 8.

3.5 BASES (Continued)

H. Engineered Safeguards Compartments Cooling and Ventilation

One unit cooler in each pump compartment is capable of providing adequate ventilation flow and cooling. Engineering analyses indicated that the temperature rise in safeguards compartments without adequate ventilation flow or cooling is such that continued operation of the safeguards equipment or associated auxiliary equipment cannot be assured. Ventilation associated with the High Pressure Service Water Pumps is also associated with the Emergency Service Water pumps, and is specified in Specification 3.9.

I. Average Planar LHGR

This specification assures that the peak cladding temperature following the postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident will not exceed the limit specified in the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K.

The peak cladding temperature (PCT) following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident is primarily a function of the average heat generation rate of all the rods of a fuel assembly at any axial location and is only dependent, secondarily, on the rod-to-rod power distribution within an assembly. The peak clad temperature is calculated assuming a LHGR for the highest powered rod which is equal to or less than the design LGHR. This LHGR times 1.02 is used in the heatup code along with the exposure dependent steady state gap conductance and rod-to-rod local peaking factors. The Technical Specification APLHGR is the LHGR of the highest powered rod divided by its local peaking factor. The limiting value for APLHGR is shown in the applicable figure for each fuel type in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT.

Only the most limiting APLHGR operating limits are shown in the figures for the multiple lattice fuel types. Compliance with the lattice-specific APLHGR limits is ensured by using the process computer. When an alternate method to the process computer is required (i.e. hand calculations and/or alternate computer simulation), the most limiting lattice APLHGR limit for each fuel type shall be applied to every lattice of that fuel type.

The calculational procedure used to establish the APLHGR is based on a loss-of-coolant accident analysis. The analysis was performed using General Electric (G.E.) calculational models which are consistent with the requirements of Appendix K to 10 CFR Part 50. A complete discussion of each code employed in the analysis is presented in Reference 4. The plant specific results using the Reference 4 methodology are presented in Reference 8.

3.5.L. BASES (Cont'd)

Operating experience has demonstrated that a calculated value of APLHGR, LHGR or MCPR exceeding its limiting value predominately occurs due to this latter cause. This experience coupled with the extremely unlikely occurrence of concurrent operation exceeding APLHGR, LHGR or MCPR and a Loss-of-Coolant Accident or applicable Abnormal Operational Transients demonstrates that the times required to initiate corrective action (1 hour) and restore the calculated value of APLHGR, LHGR or MCPR to within prescribed limits (5 hours) are adequate.

3.5.M. References

1. "Fuel Densification Effects on General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Fuel", Supplements 6, 7 and 8, NEDM-10735, August 1973.

2. Supplement 1 to Technical Report on Densifications of General Electric Reactor Fuels, December 14, 1974 (Regulatory Staff).

3. Communication: V. A. Moore to I. S. Mitchell, "Modified GE Model for Fuel Densification", Docket 50-321, March 27, 1974.

4. Letter, C. O. Thomas (NRC) to J. F. Quirk (GE), "Acceptance for Referencing of Licensing Topical Report NEDE-23785, Revision 1, Volume III (P), 'The GESTR-LOCA and SAFER Models for the Evaluation of the Loss-of-Coolant Accident'," June 1, 1984.

5. DELETED.

6. DELETED.

7. "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel", NEDE-24011-P-A (as amended).

8. "Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 2 and 3 SAFER/GESTR - LOCA Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analyses," NEDC-32163P, January, 1993.

9. DELETED.

10. "Methods for Performing BWR Reload Safety Evaluations," PECo-FMS-0006-A (as amended).

3.5.L. BASES (Cont'd)

Operating experience has demonstrated that a calculated value of APLHGR, LHGR or MCPR exceeding its limiting value predominately occurs due to this latter cause. This experience coupled with the extremely unlikely occurrence of concurrent operation exceeding APLHGR, LHGR or MCPR and a Loss-of-Coolant Accident or applicable Abnormal Operational Transients demonstrates that the times required to initiate corrective action (1 hour) and restore the calculated value of APLHGR, LHGR or MCPR to within prescribed limits (5 hours) are adequate.

3.5.M. References

1. "Fuel Densification Effects on General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Fuel", Supplements 6, 7 and 8, NEDM-10735, August 1973.

2. Supplement 1 to Technical Report on Densifications of General Electric Reactor Fuels, December 14, 1974 (Regulatory Staff).

3. Communication: V. A. Moore to I. S. Mitchell, "Modified GE Model for Fuel Densification", Docket 50-321, March 27, 1974.

4. Letter, C. O. Thomas (NRC) to J. F. Quirk (GE), "Acceptance for Referencing of Licensing Topical Report NEDE-23785, Revision 1, Volume III (P), 'The GESTR-LOCA and SAFER Models for the Evaluation of the Loss-of-Coolant Accident'," June 1, 1984.

5. DELETED.

6. DELETED.

7. "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel", NEDE-24011-P-A (as amended).

8. "Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 2 and 3 SAFER/GESTR - LOCA Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analyses," NEDC-32163P, January, 1993.

9. DELETED.

10. "Methods for Performing BWR Reload Safety Evaluations," PECo-FMS-0006-A (as amended).