



**CENTERIOR
ENERGY**

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

10 CENTER ROAD
PERRY, OHIO 44081
(216) 259-3737

Mail Address:
P.O. BOX 97
PERRY, OHIO 44081

Robert A. Stratman
VICE PRESIDENT - NUCLEAR

March 10, 1993
PY-CEI/NRR-1619 L

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Perry Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-440
Annual Report of 10 CFR 50.59
Safety Evaluations for 1992

Gentlemen:

Attached is our summary report of 10 CFR 50.59 safety evaluations for the period of September 19, 1991 through September 18, 1992, and in selected cases, more recent evaluations. An applicability check using the 10 CFR 50.59(a)(1) threshold criteria was performed on proposed changes to the design of the plant, to procedures/instructions, and to tests. All those meeting threshold criteria were further evaluated pursuant to the 10 CFR 50.59(a)(2) criteria and are summarized herein.

This report summarizes a total of 212 safety evaluations, none of which resulted in the identification of an unreviewed safety question. Safety evaluations are numbered sequentially and those not included in this summary have either been voided, withdrawn or are still under consideration although not approved at this time. Attachment 1 lists the number of safety evaluations in major categories based on the type of item being evaluated. Attachment 2 defines the acronyms and format description. Attachment 3 provides the summaries of the safety evaluations described above.

Please feel free to call if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Frank R. Stratman
Robert A. Stratman

RAS:JEE:ss

Attachments

cc: NRC Project Manager
NRC Resident Office
Region III

150016

Operating Companies
Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Toledo Edison

9303150180 930310
PDR ADOCK 05000440
R PDR

IF 47
11

Summary of
1992 Perry Safety Evaluations
by Category

The safety evaluations are divided into the major categories listed below.

<u>Category</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage of Total</u>
1. Design Changes (except setpoint changes)	57	26.9
2. Drawing Changes	29	13.7
3. USAR Changes	20	9.4
4. Procedure/Instruction Changes (revisions, temporary changes)	36	17.0
5. Lifted Lead & Jumper, Electrical Devices and Mechanical Foreign Item Changes	19	9.0
6. Nonconformance Report Evaluations	27	12.7
7. Temporary Test Instruction Evaluations	4	1.9
8. Miscellaneous	<u>20</u>	<u>9.4</u>
Total	212	100%

FORMAT DESCRIPTION

Each 50.59 Safety Evaluation summary is presented in the following format:

SE No.: A sequentially assigned number from one (001) to end of the period, preceded by the year; e.g. 86-025.

Source Document: There are several sources of evaluations which are abbreviated as shown.

DCN - Drawing Change Notice
DCP - Design Change Package
EP - Emergency Plan
FCR - Field Change Request
FPI - Pre-Fire Plan Instruction
FTI - Fuel Technical Instruction
ISS - Installation Standard Specification
LL&JED - Lifted Lead and Jumper and Electrical Device
MFI - Mechanical Foreign Item
NR - Nonconformance Report where S or N in the serial number indicates safety or nonsafety
PAP - Plant Administrative Procedure
PEI - Plant Emergency Instruction
PSP - Physical Security Plan
PSTG - Perry Specific Technical Guidelines
PTI - Periodic Test Instruction
SCN - Specification Change Notice
SCR - Setpoint Change Request
SOI - System Operating Instruction
SSCR - Safe Shutdown Capability Report
SVI - Surveillance Test Instruction
TAF - Technical Assignment file
TXI - Temporary Test Instruction
USAR CR - Updated Safety Analysis Report Change Request

Description of Change:

A short narrative describing the location and type of plant change.

Summary

- I. Response to 10 CFR 50.59(a)(2)(i) - is the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the safety analysis report increased?
- II. Response to 10 CFR 50.59 (a)(2)(ii) - is the possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously in the safety analysis report created?
- III. Response to 10 CFR 50.59(a)(2)(iii) - is the margin of safety as defined in the basis for any Technical Specification reduced?